

**EVALUATION RESULTS: 52 Members of the public in attendance
9 Evaluations were received from the public**

**Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority
Public Outreach Meeting on Community Water Supply Plan Update
Monticello High School Forum
January 6, 2005**

Meeting Evaluation

On a scale of one to five (1 being a low score and 5 being a high score) please give us your feedback on this event. Circle your answer and provide comments at the end.

Information

The information presented was clear, thorough and presented in a way that was easy to understand.
1 2 3 4 5 **37/9 = 4.1**

Participants were able to ask questions to help them understand the information.
1 2 3 4 5 **38/9 = 4.2**

Presenters gave a time framework for the plan and next steps.
1 2 3 4 5 **30/9 = 3.3**

The public meeting was an effective tool to convey information to the public.
1 2 3 4 5 **33/9 = 3.6**

This public meeting in addition to the others I have attended has increased my overall understanding of the issues.
1 2 3 4 5 **32/9 = 3.5**

Participation

Participants were asked questions that helped them express their opinions and concerns.
1 2 3 4 5 **34.9 = 3.7**

Public participation was from a variety of perspectives.
1 2 3 4 5 **35/9 = 3.8**

Physical Setting

The space was comfortable, with good traffic flow and lighting.
1 2 3 4 5 **40/9 = 4.4**

The setting created an inclusive atmosphere.
1 2 3 4 5 **37/9 = 4.1**

Comments: 5 Written Comments as follows:

- *Good meeting so far.*
- *I came being critical of RWSA actions; left better informed and critical of critics.*
- *Public information could be advanced if this public session and RWSA could place the entire strategy for water needs and uses together with the need for this alternative. Will the selection of James River foreclose efforts on preserving Rivanna reservoir?*
- *Too many loose ends. Engineering very good. Ecological considerations poor. Long-term prospects dubious.*
- *Very well organized, publicized and stayed with the agenda. Thank you for your efforts.*