

Ragged Mountain Alternative

Benefits of this Alternative	Challenges of this Alternative
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Dam needs to be repaired anyway (currently on a one year conditional use permit)• Will have storage capacity (Unlike the James River option)• Better water quality• Already have a water treatment plant• Can provide the entire 9.9 million gallons per day that are needed• Lowest cost option• This is “our” watershed	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Incomplete information (Due diligence is not finished), so we don’t know enough yet.• Appears to be the most environmentally damaging to the entire watershed• Loss of walking area (or at least relocation of it)• Danger of spills into reservoir (although this possibility currently exists)• Possible increased sediment from pumping

Breakthrough Ideas

- More recreational opportunities
- Agree to a release rate on Sugar Hollow
- Dual system/grey water reuse
- Integrated water management with Mechums

Note: “It looks like this option has more benefits than any other.”

Parking Lot

- Where is the water coming from (other than its own water shed)?
- Safety concerns on Interstate 64 crossing.
- What is the Federal Government’s position on increasing the pool adjacent to Interstate 64?
- What sediment loads are associated with pumping?
- Construction costs are conceptual as are maintenance costs.

Note: “We need to change our view of this overall project from managing antagonism to creating win-win solutions.”

Note: “We should convert proposed project costs to average cost increases for customers so that it will be less theoretical.”