RWSA BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Minutes of Regular Meeting

## March 22, 2016

A regular meeting of the Rivanna Water & Sewer Authority (RWSA) Board of Directors was held on Tuesday, March 22, 2016 at 2:15 p.m. in the 2nd floor conference room, Administration Building, 695 Moores Creek Lane, Charlottesville, Virginia.

**Board Members Present:** Mr. Tom Foley, Mr. Mike Gaffney, Ms. Kathy Galvin, Mr. Maurice Jones, Ms. Judith Mueller, Mr. Gary O’Connell and Dr. Liz Palmer.

**Board Members Absent:** None.

**Staff Present:** Mr. Tim Castillo, Ms. Victoria Fort, Mr. Tom Frederick, Dr. Rich Gullick, Ms. Teri Kent, Mr. Doug March, Mr. Scott Schiller, Ms. Michelle Simpson, Ms. Andrea Terry, Ms. Jennifer Whitaker and Mr. Lonnie Wood.

**Also Present:**  Mr. Kurt Krueger – RWSA Counsel, members of the public and media representatives.

1. **Call to Order**

The regular meeting of the RWSA Board of Directors was called to order by Mr. Gaffney on Tuesday, March 22, 2016 at 2:18 p.m., and he noted that a quorum was present.

1. **Minutes of Previous Board Meeting**
2. *Minutes of the Regular Meeting of the Board on February 23, 2016*

**Mr. O’Connell moved to approve the minutes with no requested changes. Ms. Mueller seconded the motion, which passed 7-0.**

1. **Executive Director’s Report**

*Sugar Hollow Reservoir Natural Inflows*

Mr. Frederick reported that there was much interest in how the new flow release regime was working and how it affected reservoir levels. He reminded the Board that there had been a drop in the levels of Sugar Hollow Reservoir last summer as had been expected during transfer of water to Ragged Mountain Reservoir, and noted that the RWSA worked with the Department of Game and Inland Fisheries to shut off the transfer[[1]](#footnote-1).

Mr. Frederick stated that the use of the Mechums River gauge as an indicator would not be perfect but that Gannett Fleming had determined that it would be a reasonable indicator to use it for safe yield calculations and that errors would be balanced over time. He noted that the RWSA was now examining the phenomenon more closely to determine if the Mechums River gauge may be over-predicting the true natural in-flows at Sugar Hollow Reservoir more often than under-predicting.

Mr. Frederick reported that Sugar Hollow was currently down two feet which had never previously occurred in history during the month of March, but also clarified this was the first March in history in which RWSA was releasing ten million gallons a day from the reservoir into the river.

Mr. Frederick stated that he and Dr. Gullick had a positive meeting with Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) officials on March 3, 2016. He stated that the DEQ had offered to visit the Sugar Hollow reservoir a couple of times during the summer with a temporary flow gauge to provide additional data that would allow an estimation of unmeasured seepage across the dam between the reservoir and the downstream river.

Mr. Frederick stated that there may be a need to install a water meter on the Sugar Hollow pipeline to measure flows transferred to the Ragged Mountain Reservoir; there is already a meter on the flow released from the Sugar Hollow Reservoir to the Moormans River and both DEQ and Ms. Whitaker have formulas for estimating evaporation. Mr. Frederick stated the RWSA will look at all the known factors to estimate the true natural inflow entering the Sugar Hollow Reservoir, and correlate these flows to the calculated natural inflow that uses the Mechums River gauge as an indicator. He affirmed that data over a lengthier period of time would be preferable to understand the correlation, and the correlation would be useful to understand how to modify the calculation of natural inflow for determining the rate of flow to be released to the Moormans River.

Mr. Frederick stressed that no changes will be made at this time to how flow releases are performed, as the method is expressly stated in a DEQ permit, but he did stress the need for more data to assess if in the future a refinement of the calculation of natural inflow were needed for increased accuracy. He stated that two options for possibly improving the natural inflow calculation were discussed from which there was agreement by DEQ to consider: (1) install a new stream gauge above Sugar Hollow on the north fork or south fork of the Moormans River to use for calculating natural inflow; and (2) a “mass balance” equation using metered flow release, metered water transfer to Ragged Mountain, daily change in Sugar Hollow storage from new bathymetry, estimated dam seepage, and estimated reservoir evaporation to solve for inflow. Mr. Frederick stated that “mass balance” is more difficult to discuss and may not seem as transparent to the public but “mass balance” would be a cheaper alternative than purchasing, operating and maintaining a new stream gauge. He noted that the Board will be kept informed of progress.

Mr. O’Connell asked if the transfer to Sugar Hollow to Ragged Mountain had been stopped.

Mr. Frederick responded that the transfer was stopped around early March when the temperatures warmed but the transfer was restarted on March 18 when the temperature dropped into the 30’s to keep the pipe from freezing. He stated further that the transfer was stopped again on March 21.

Mr. Frederick stated that when the pipeline was built through rocky terrain in the 1920s, it was typical to build above the rock because equipment had not yet been invented to easily blast through rock. He stated that the Sugar Hollow pipeline needed to operate during freezing weather as the pipe was above the “frost zone” in many locations.

Dr. Palmer asked if there was any historic data on when water flows over the Sugar Hollow Reservoir.

Mr. Frederick responded that the RWSA does have that data and it was very unusual not to be full and overflowing in March. He further noted that this was the first March in history in which the current rules to release calculated natural inflow up to 10 million gallons per day had been in effect.

*Floating Bridge Installation*

Mr. Frederick updated the RWSA Board on the installation of the floating bridge at the Ragged Mountain Reservoir. He stated that at the February meeting, Board members wanted to ensure it was safe for hikers; consequently, RWSA officials have been talking with officials in Charlottesville’s Parks and Recreation Department as well as Mr. Jones.

Mr. Frederick reported that the City has authorized installation of the floating bridge. He stated that the City had told the RWSA that a trail had been built almost to the western end of the bridge and that the City’s “bio-blitz” did not determine any plant species of concern between the end of the current trail and the site of the proposed bridge. Mr. Frederick mentioned that on the eastern end of the proposed bridge site there were some remaining biological issues to be discussed with City Council that would delay the trail installation, and he stated that a sign and barricade would be erected at the east end of the floating bridge to keep hikers from walking beyond the bridge – but the bridge would be opened so people could experience walking on the structure.

Mr. O’Connell sought clarification about direction.

Ms. Galvin joked that it was a “bridge to nowhere” and there was laughter.

*Save the Dates*

Mr. Frederick noted that National Drinking Water Week would be May 1 through May 7 and that the Albemarle County Service Authority had adopted a proclamation, and he suggested that the City Council could follow suit. He stated that the RWSA planned to celebrate by holding a public event at the Ragged Mountain Reservoir on May 5 from 10:30 a.m. to 12:00 p.m., and there would be an invitation-only event at 10:00 a.m. at which some Board members would speak, with the unveiling of a bronze plaque to commemorate the construction and dedication of the new dam.

Mr. Frederick reported that the General Assembly had adopted a resolution proclaiming June 30 of every year as the Commonwealth’s Water and Wastewater Professionals Day. Mr. Gaffney asked if that would mean such professionals would have a day off. Mr. Frederick responded that RWSA operators work 24.7, including during holidays, as tap water was always running.

Dr. Palmer stated it is wonderful that the RWSA was looking into the issue at Sugar Hollow.

Mr. O’Connell asked if the floating bridge was now open and was told by Mr. Gaffney that it would be scheduled to be installed.

Ms. Whitaker stated it would be installed in April depending on cooperative weather.

Mr. Frederick stated that a note would be sent to the Board when a date was confirmed with the contractor.

1. **Items from the Public**

Mr. Gaffney invited comments from the public.

There were none offered, and the Chair closed the public comment portion of the meeting.

**5.0 Responses to Public Comments – No Responses This Month**

There were no responses to public comments from the February meeting.

**6.0 Consent Agenda**

Mr. Gaffney asked if there were any items that Board members wanted to pull for comments or questions from the Consent Agenda.

*a) Staff Report on Finance – February 2016*

*b) Staff Report on Operations*

*c) Staff Report on Ongoing Projects*

*d) Contract Award – On-Call Maintenance Construction Services*

*e) Change Order – Crozet WTP Clearwell Expansion*

*f) Personnel Manual – Modification of Section on Compensation Plan and Administration*

Mr. O’Connell stated that the operations report indicated a 13-million gallon per day average in the waste water flows, and he noted that it was a “large jump upwards” that he could not correlate to a specific event.

Dr. Gullick noted there were six inches of rain this February compared to one inch in February 2015.

Mr. O’Connell stated that he could not get that data to match with the precipitation chart but he was satisfied with the answer.

Mr. O’Connell also asked for the Board to be informed if the bids for the Moores Creek AWRRF Odor Control Phase 2 when received were over budget.

Mr. Frederick said the project’s designer had notified RWSA the morning of March 22 that there were some addenda to the contract that would require a one week delay in the bid opening but the RWSA still hopes to award a contract in April. He stated the Board would be notified of the results of the bid opening.

Mr. O’Connell also asked if there was a “net no change” on the total capital budget for both amounts of the change order for the Crozet Water Treatment Plant Clearwell Expansion and Crozet Ground Storage Tank and Mr. Wood responded affirmatively.

Ms. Mueller said she had several questions about the personnel manual before the meeting but received answers from Mr. Frederick.

**Ms. Mueller moved to approve the Consent Agenda as presented. Mr. Foley seconded the motion, which passed by a 7-0 vote.**

**7.0 Other Business**

1. *Introduction of FY 2016-17 Operating Budget*
2. *Preliminary Rate Resolution and Call for Public Hearing on FY 2016-17 Budget*

Mr. Frederick reported that the proposed budget was for the fiscal year that would begin on July 1, 2016 and that the RWSA Board was being asked to approve a preliminary rate resolution authorizing the proposed wholesale rates be advertised for comments at a May public hearing. He suggested that the public hearing be held on May 24 at 2:15 p.m. Mr. Frederick reminded the Board that adoption of the preliminary rate resolution allowed RWSA staff to publish a public notice in the local newspaper and on the RWSA website, and that state law required the notice to be published twice – with the latter at least 14 days before the public hearing.

Mr. Frederick stated there were few significant changes in next year’s proposed budget and that many line items have been held to the same expense levels as the past. Mr. Frederick added that the budget process was a time to reflect on strategic initiatives important to the RWSA and a chance for changes to be made. He highlighted that this was the first proposed budget where monthly fixed debt service payments were being shown, reflecting terms of the recent amendment to the Four Party Agreement, and he mentioned further that the allocations of those debt service costs for Urban Wastewater between the City and ACSA had been adjusted to represent 2015 flow projections as required by the negotiated Wastewater Cost Allocation Agreement.

Mr. Frederick reported that the construction of granular activated carbon (GAC) facilities was now in progress at five water treatment plants, and these new facilities would begin to go into operation approximately July 2017. He noted that because the operating costs for drinking water would increase at that time, the RWSA was suggesting an increase in the wholesale rate at this time to graduate the operating cost increase over three years. Mr. Frederick stated that such provision would require the budget for urban water operating costs to increase 7% this year rather 3%, with the additional money collected this year to go into a reserve to apply against the increase in operating costs in FY 2018.

Dr. Palmer stated that there should be significant publicity when the granular activated carbon treatment would become operational.

Mr. Gaffney suggested a taste comparison between the last glass of water delivered under the existing system to the first glass delivered under GAC.

Dr. Palmer stated that one approach would be to point out how the water quality is better than that of bottled water.

Ms. Galvin suggested that the local craft beer industry could be engaged, pointing out that many people are concerned about the quality of drinking water in other parts of the country and that RWSA water’s high quality should be celebrated.

Ms. Mueller agreed.

Ms. Mueller stated that the RWSA is “often chastised” for not putting current rates into the newspaper advertisements alongside the proposed rates. She stated that she wanted current rates to be included in the advertisement as well as an explanation of why the debt service was changed from being a part of the consumption rate and became a fixed monthly charge.

Mr. Gaffney stated that a press release should go out explaining the changes.

Ms. Mueller stated that the press release could also be on the front of the RWSA web page.

Ms. Kent asked for clarification if the Albemarle County Service Authority and the City of Charlottesville would also issue press releases.

Mr. Gaffney asked if the City would know its rates at that time.

Ms. Mueller responded that it would not, but stated the public should be notified of the difference between wholesale rates and retail rates.

Mr. Frederick first offered that the current rates were already in the drafted advertisement for the newspaper that was in the Board packet, noting that the ad itself was a different attachment and not the same as the text of the preliminary rate resolution. He then stated that an explanation of the difference between wholesale and retail rates would be provided on the website but he offered the suggestion that such explanation not be included in the advertisement. He asked for clarification on whether the ad should explain the process change for debt service to monthly fixed payments.

Ms. Mueller stated she would like to see a draft and wanted Ms. Kent to work with the ACSA and the City on communication that would help the public better understand.

Mr. Frederick suggested to the RWSA Board that when a motion is made to adopt the rate resolution, a caveat be made that the public notice would be edited to include a statement on fixed debt service charges and that the draft notice will be reviewed by City and ACSA staff.

Dr. Palmer noted that constituents had mentioned to her that there was lead in the joints of the Sugar Hollow Pipeline. She asked for Mr. Frederick to clarify.

Mr. Frederick explained that the pipe joints on the inside where in contact with water is made of oakum, which does not contain lead, and while there is a metal solder on the outside that may have had some minor amount of lead content, that metal was not in contact with the water.

Mr. Frederick drew attention to an emphasis within the proposed budget on the supervisory control and data acquisition system (SCADA), a digital system programmed to monitor key parameters at all RWSA facilities and also makes some automated responses, such as comparable adjustments to chemical feed in response to changes in metered flow. He explained that there are computer screens that can be monitored by RWSA operators that included alarm conditions, such as a motor got too hot and shuts down, operators can be notified instantly. Mr. Frederick stated that SCADA was also capable of collecting a historical data such as chemical use or electrical consumption that could be used for improving decisions to optimize efficiency and performance. He noted that the historical features had not worked well since the Moores Creek Water Resources Recovery Facility was upgraded to nutrient removal and a management consultant firm was hired several months ago to evaluate how RWSA manages SCADA. The consultant concluded that the RWSA’s personnel resources for SCADA management were too lean and the consultant recommended hiring a new SCADA specialist. Mr. Frederick stated that this decision should lead to more efficiency at the facility. He stated that the proposed operating budget provides for one new position, the SCADA Specialist, and further management of the SCADA system was proposed to be relocated from the maintenance department into the RWSA’s IT department.

Mr. Frederick also told the RWSA Board that there was a 2% merit pool increase in the proposed operating budget.

**Mr. Tom Foley left the meeting room at 2:53 p. m.**

**Ms. Mueller made a motion to adopt the preliminary resolution as presented calling for a public hearing on May 24 and authorizing notice of proposed wholesale rates with the additions just discussed with the executive director by the Board during the discussion of this agenda item. Dr. Palmer seconded the motion, which passed unanimously (6-0). Mr. Foley was absent from the meeting during the vote.**

1. *Resolution – Allowance of Membership in Virginia Investment Pool*

Mr. Wood stated that this item was requesting the RWSA Board to approve a resolution allowing the RWSA to join and open an account in the Virginia Investment Pool (VIP), an investment program created by the Virginia Municipal League (VML) and the Virginia Association of Counties (VACO). He stated that the VIP allowed local governments and other political subdivisions to pool their assets into one big account similar to a mutual fund in order to maximize return on investments.

Mr. Wood explained that the RWSA currently used the Local Government Investment Pool (LGIP), which was managed by the Commonwealth of Virginia, and joining the VIP would allow the RWSA to move assets to whichever pool was performing better on investment earnings. He mentioned that the VIP was currently earning twice as much return as the LGIP, with the VIP at about 0.99% and the LGIP at about 0.43%.

**Mr. Foley returned to the meeting at 2:56 p. m.**

Mr. Gaffney asked how much total interest was earned last year.

Mr. Wood stated that he did not have that information on hand in total dollars, and stated that it was “timing-based”[[2]](#footnote-2), but if the interest doubled the Rivanna Authorities would likely realize an additional $80,000 to $100,000 in interest earnings.

Mr. O’Connell asked if he would split the assets across both pools.

Mr. Wood responded that the RWSA would split the interest earnings across all six rate centers.

Mr. O’Connell asked if he would split between the LGIP and the VIP.

Mr. Wood explained that he would keep some of the operating funds in the LGIP because that fund was more “liquid”, and would take some of the longer term funds like the rate stabilization fund and leave them in the VIP. He stated that investments across the two funds would vary as total available bond funds varied with “draw down” for expenses.

Dr. Palmer asked if one fluctuated more than the other.

Mr. Wood explained that the LGIP was a “daily liquid fund”, and the VIP was meant to be a full “30-day fund” even though money could be moved around every few weeks –the longer-term nature of that fund helped explain why it received a better return.

# Mr. Foley made a motion to adopt the following resolution as presented:

# A RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING PARTICIPATION IN THE VACO/VML VIRGINIA INVESTMENT POOL TRUST FUND FOR THE PURPOSE OF INVESTING FUNDS BELONGING TO THE RIVANNA WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY IN CERTAIN AUTHORIZED INVESTMENTS IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTIONS

#  2.2-4501 AND 15.2-1300 OF THE VIRGINIA CODE.

**WHEREAS**, Section 15.2-1500 of the Virginia Code provides, in part, that every locality shall provide for all the governmental functions of the locality, including, without limitation, the organization of all departments, offices, boards, commissions and agencies of government, and the organizational structure thereof, which are necessary to carry out the functions of government; and

**WHEREAS**, Section 2.2-4501 of the Virginia Code provides that all municipal corporations and other political subdivisions may invest any and all moneys belonging to them or within their control, other than sinking funds, in certain authorized investments; and

**WHEREAS**, Section 15.2-1300 of the Virginia Code provides that any power, privilege or authority exercised or capable of exercise by any political subdivision of the Commonwealth of Virginia may be exercised and enjoyed jointly with any other political subdivision of the Commonwealth having a similar power, privilege or authority pursuant to agreements with one another for joint action pursuant to the provisions of that section; and

**WHEREAS**, any two or more political subdivisions may enter into agreements with one another for joint action pursuant to the provisions of Section 15.2-1300 of the Virginia Code provided that the participating political subdivisions shall approve such agreement before the agreement may enter into force; and

**WHEREAS**, the City of Chesapeake, Virginia and the City of Roanoke, Virginia have jointly established and are participating in the VACo/VML Virginia Investment Pool (the “Trust Fund”), and have provided in their trust agreement (attached as Exhibit A) for participation by other eligible governmental entities who execute a Trust Joinder Agreement (attached as Exhibit B); and

**WHEREAS**, it appearing to the governing body of Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority that it is otherwise in the best interests of Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority to become a participant in the Trust Fund; and

 **WHEREAS**, Lonzy E. Wood, III, as financial officer of the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority, has the authority and responsibility under Virginia law to determine the manner in which funds under his (her) control will be invested;

**NOW, THEREFORE THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS OF THE RIVANNA WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY HEREBY RESOLVES:**

§ 1 That, pursuant to Sections 2.2-4501 and 15.2-1300 of the Virginia Code, the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority hereby authorizes participation in a pooled trust, for the purpose of investing funds, other than sinking funds, that are determined to derive the most benefit from this investment strategy, belonging to Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority or within its control, in certain authorized investments, under the terms set forth in the VACo/VML Virginia Investment Pool Trust Fund Agreement a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

§ 2 That the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority hereby agrees to become a “Participating Political Subdivision” in the “VACo/VML Virginia Investment Pool” as further defined in Exhibits A and B.

§ 3 That the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority hereby designates Lonzy E. Wood, III, Director of Finance and Administration to serve as its trustee with respect to the Trust Fund and determine what funds shall be invested in the Trust Fund.

§ 4 That the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority hereby authorizes its above-designated trustee to execute and deliver the Trust Joinder Agreement for Participating Political Subdivisions under VACo/VML Virginia Investment Pool, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

§ 5 This resolution shall be in force and effect upon its adoption.

Exhibits: VACo/VML Virginia Investment Pool Trust Fund Agreement (“Exhibit A”)

 Trust Joinder Agreement (“Exhibit B”)

**Mr. Jones seconded the motion, which passed unanimously (7-0).**

**8.0 Other Items from Board/Staff not on Agenda**

There were none presented.

**9.0 Closed Meeting**

**Dr. Palmer moved, seconded by Mr. Jones, to adopt the following resolution as read by Mr. Krueger:**

**RESOLVED that the Board of Directors of the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority enter into a closed meeting to discuss a personnel matter as permitted by Section 2.2-3711.A1 of the Code of Virginia.**

**The motion passed by a vote of 7-0.**

**The RWSA Board went into closed session at 3:02 p.m.**

*Resumption of Open Meeting*

**The Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority Board of Directors meeting was resumed in open session at 3:42 p.m.**

**Mr. Foley moved, seconded by Mr. O’Connell, to adopt the following resolution as read by Mr. Krueger:**

**WHEREAS, the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority has convened a closed meeting on this date pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and**

**WHEREAS, Section 2.2-3712.D of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity with Virginia law;**

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority hereby certifies that, to the best of each member’s knowledge, (i) only public business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law and, (ii) only such public business matters as were identified in the motion by which the closed meeting was convened were heard, discussed or considered in the closed meeting by the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority.**

**Mr. Krueger called for a roll call vote: Ms. Mueller – aye; Mr. Jones – aye; Ms. Galvin – aye; Mr. Gaffney – aye; Dr. Palmer – aye; Mr. Foley – aye; and Mr. O’Connell – aye. The motion passed by a 7-0 vote.**

**9.1 Appointment of Interim Executive Director**

Mr. Gaffney stared it was extremely challenging to announce that Mr. Frederick had accepted a position as the Deputy General Manager of Loudoun Water. He asked Mr. Frederick if he wanted to make any comments.

Mr. Frederick stated that he did not want to steal Mr. Gaffney’s “thunder”. Mr. Frederick thanked the board for a “tremendous opportunity to serve” and that he was proud of what the RWSA had accomplished. He stated the organization was much stronger than it was 12 years ago but it was not a single person’s job but the entire staff and board that had worked hard. Mr. Frederick thanked the Board and staff but stated a very promising new opportunity had come his way and he would continue to serve the industry he loved.

Mr. Gaffney thanked Mr. Frederick for his 12 years of service and stated he had not only changed the solid waste and water authorities, but the community at-large and prepared it for the next few years. Mr. Gaffney stated getting the community water supply plan approved was “nothing short of Herculean” and that seeing the new Ragged Mountain Reservoir full represented a tremendous accomplishment for Mr. Frederick and for everyone.

Mr. Gaffney commented that the RWSA and RSWA had a great staff, they had finances in hand and each had a great Board.

Mr. Gaffney reported that Mr. Frederick would stay in his position through the end of April, and a national search for an executive director would be conducted. He stated that he proposed Mr. Lonnie Wood to serve as acting executive director and Mr. Gaffney expressed his confidence in Mr. Wood.

**Mr. Foley made a motion that Mr. Lonnie Wood be appointed interim executive director until a permanent appointment to the position were approved by the Board, effective upon Mr. Frederick’s departure on April 30, 2016. Mr. Jones seconded the motion, which passed 7-0.**

Mr. Gaffney asked the Board if there were any other comments.

Mr. Jones thanked Mr. Frederick for his service and stated he had done an excellent job.

Dr. Palmer thanked Mr. Frederick for “our wonderful sewer system.”

Ms. Galvin stated it was fundamental to a society that utilities be run well and the water supply be clean and fresh. She lauded the RWSA’s and RSWA’s state-of-the-art facilities.

Mr. Foley thanked Mr. Frederick for his perseverance.

Mr. Frederick thanked Ms. Galvin for her public comments and leadership related to the public discussion about the quality of drinking water, and for steering the conversation in a positive direction without tolerating public comments that attempted to blame RWSA staff and Board members.

Mr. Frederick called all the RWSA Board members “true leaders” who aspired to excellence.

**10.0 Adjournment**

**Mr. Jones moved to adjourn the RWSA Board meeting. Mr. O’Connell seconded the motion, which was approved by a vote of 7-0.**

**There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 3:51 p.m.**

Respectfully submitted,

 \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

 Mr. Thomas C. Foley

 Secretary-Treasurer

1. Editor’s Note: The role of the Department of Game and Inland Fisheries (DGIF) was to advise RWSA when closing the transfer to Ragged Mountain became important to protect habitat within the Sugar Hollow Reservoir favorable for the trout fisheries that DGIF had stocked. [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Editor’sNote: By “timing-based”, Mr. Wood was referring to the fact that the VIP program has a longer term investment objective in comparison the LGIP [↑](#footnote-ref-2)