RWSA BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Minutes of Regular Meeting

September 24, 2019

A regular meeting of the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority (RWSA) Board of Directors was held

on Tuesday, September 24, 2019 at 2:58 p.m. in the 2nd floor conference room, Administration

Board Members Present: Dr. Tarron Richardson, Kathy Galvin, Mike Gaffney, Dr. Liz Palmer.

Rivanna Staff Present: David Rhoades, Phil McKalips, Michelle Simpson, Austin Marrs, Andrea

Terry, Victoria Fort, Jennifer Whitaker, Scott Schiller, Liz Coleman, Dr. Bill Morris, Dyon Vega,

Dr. Palmer moved that the Board approve the minutes of the August 27 meeting. The

motion was seconded by Ms. Hildebrand and passed unanimously (6-0). Mr. O'Connell was

Mr. Mawyer presented to the Board. He stated they continue to focus on the strategic plan goal

of Communication and Collaboration and will have community outreach tomorrow with the

United Way's Day of Caring, for which they will help to clean up Camp Holiday Trails. He

Virginia Association of Municipal Wastewater Agencies quarterly meeting during which they

Building, 695 Moores Creek Lane, Charlottesville, Virginia.

Jeff Richardson, Lauren Hildebrand.

Katie McIlwee, Bill Mawyer.

1. CALL TO ORDER

3. RECOGNITION

There were no recognitions.

Board Members Absent: Mr. Gary O'Connell.

Attorney(s) Present: Kurt Krueger, RWSA counsel

Mr. Gaffney called the meeting to order at 2:58 p.m.

2. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS BOARD MEETINGS

a. Minutes of Regular Board Meeting on August 27, 2019

Mr. Gaffney invited members to comment or to offer changes.

Also Present: Members of the public and media representatives.

2 3

1

4 5

6 7 8

9

10 11

12

13 14 15

16 17

18

19

23

26

29

30

20 21 22

?4 25

27 28

31 32

35 36

33

34

37 38

39

40

41

42 43

stated he met with the Blue Ridge Homebuilders Association the previous day and discussed both solid waste and the long-range community water supply plan. He stated he attended the 44 45

16

discussed the monitoring of the State's nutrient reduction requirements that are being drafted into

absent from the meeting and the vote.

4. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

2a

Phase 3 of the State's water improvement plan, which includes the cleanup of the Chesapeake Bay. He indicated that wastewater treatment plants will be expected to do more to reduce nutrients.

Mr. Mawyer informed the Board that staff is working on many programs to address the strategic plan goal of Infrastructure and Master Planning, which includes master plans, easements, water lines, and leases for the Observatory Water Treatment Plant. He stated an informational pre-bid meeting will be held Thursday to generate interest in the upcoming Observatory and South Rivanna WTP construction project. He stated they continue to work diligently on the wholesale water meter project, for which they will install a meter today, next week they will correct a test port, and they expect to have all five main meters calibrated in the coming weeks and to start using the system next month. He stated they will report on the usage by the County and City one month after the system has been in effect.

Mr. Mawyer stated that the Consent Agenda includes a request to reallocate and optimize staff resources, a draft of general administrative procedures to provide structure and consistency to some processes, the awarding of a nonprofessional services contract to address the removal of large trees that may be floating against the dam and to provide investigative services, to authorize professional engineering services to begin work on the Route 29 water pump station design at Meeting Street and Airport Roads, and approval of the Observatory Water Treatment Plant Raw Water Pumping and Piping Upgrade Cost and Capacity Allocation Agreement. He stated that Mr. Tungate will address the Board about bio-solid waste disposal alternatives and Mr. Krueger has worked with attorneys from the County, Service Authority, and City, who are all on board to terminate the Buck Mountain Surcharge.

Mr. Mawyer emphasized that staff has been very busy and acknowledged the work of Jennifer Whitaker, Lonnie Wood, Scott Schiller, Victoria Fort, Michelle Simpson, Andrea Terry, Liz Coleman, and Dyon Vega. He observed that the weather has been dry, though they are not in a drought, and the South Rivanna Dam continues to overflow at a trickle, which they are watching. He pointed out that the northwest portion of the State has been abnormally dry while the middle James area has seen normal stream flow and reservoir water levels, as of September 22, while some other areas of Virginia are starting to experience below normal levels. He explained that, should the water level at South Rivanna drop one foot below the dam, they would increase production to the Observatory treatment plant. He stated they are currently making about 10M/gallons per day at South Rivanna and 2M/gallons per day at Observatory. They want to use all the water at South Rivanna that they can since it has been overflowing, and should the water level at South Rivanna drop they would increase production at Observatory to 4-5M/gallons per day and reduce South Rivanna to 8M/gallons per day. He concluded his report and invited questions.

Mr. Gaffney observed that Wintergreen has been under voluntary water restrictions for about 30 days.

Dr. Palmer observed that the weather report does not predict any precipitation for the coming week.

Mr. Krueger interjected that the Board report indicates the Authority was seeking consent of the 93 bond trustee to the joint resolution to terminate the surcharge, and stated that they actually have 94 this now and are all set to go. 95 96 97 5. ITEMS FROM THE PUBLIC 98 Mr. Gaffney opened the Items from the Public portion of the meeting. As no one came forward 99 to address the Board Mr. Gaffney closed this portion of the meeting. 100 101 6. RESPONSES TO PUBLIC COMMENTS 102 103 7. CONSENT AGENDA 104 a. Staff Report on Finance 105 106 b. Staff Report on Ongoing Projects 107 108 c. Staff Report on Operations 109 110 d. Position Reclassification Request- Grounds Maintenance to Civil Engineer 111 112 e. General Administrative Procedures 113 114 115 f. Award of Nonprofessional Services Contract: On-Call Dam Maintenance Services -Bander Smith, Inc. 16 ₁17 g. Authorization of Professional Engineering Services, Route 29 Water Pump Station and 118 Water Main Project - Short Elliot Hendrickson Engineers 119 120 h. Approval of Observatory Water Treatment Plant, Raw Water Pumping and Piping 121 Upgrade Cost and Capacity Allocation Agreement 122 123 Mr. Gaffney asked Board members if they would like to pull any items from the Consent 124 Agenda. 125 126 Dr. Palmer referred to #12 under Ongoing Projects: Ragged Mountain to Observatory water 127 treatment plant raw water line and raw water pump station. She quoted a portion from this 128 section as follows: "The opportunity to integrate the Observatory water treatment plant raw 129 water supply line with the proposed South Rivanna Reservoir to Ragged Mountain raw water 130 main project is currently being investigated as part of the 50-year community water plan" 131 She stated she thought it was a given that the pump station would serve both water lines. 132 133 Mr. Mawyer replied that the new pump station lies in between the Ragged Mountain Reservoir 134 and the treatment plant and will replace the two existing pump stations, as the new one would be 135

capable of pumping raw water from Ragged Mountain to Observatory, would also pump raw

envisioned for the South Rivanna to Ragged Mountain waterline project.

water from Ragged Mountain back to South Rivanna Reservoir, and is one of two pump stations

136

137

Dr. Palmer asked for confirmation that there will still be one pump station building and the pumps to transfer water from Ragged Mountain to S. Rivanna WTP will be put in later.

Mr. Mawyer confirmed this. He reminded the Board that it had previously requested updates be in bold and so they have provided project updates in bold in the Ongoing Projects Report.

Dr. Palmer moved that the Board approve the Consent Agenda. The motion was seconded by Ms. Galvin and passed unanimously (6-0). Mr. O'Connell was absent from the meeting and the vote.

8. OTHER BUSINESS

- a. Presentation: Biosolids Disposal Alternatives; Dave Tungate, Director of Operations
- b. Presentation and Approval: "Joint Resolution" to Terminate the Buck Mountain Surcharge; Lonnie Wood, Director of Finance and Administration

Mr. Tungate presented. He acknowledged that the Board discussed composting and biosolids disposal during today's RSWA meeting. He noted that this is part of the strategic plan under Operational Optimization. He presented a photograph of the Moores Creek facility and explained that the sewage comes in from the Moores Creek and Rivanna pump stations and the sewage goes through the headworks. He reviewed the different steps of the treatment process and pointed out clarifiers, aeration basins, digesters, gravity thickeners, and centrifuge. He explained that biosolids are nutrient-rich organic materials resulting from the treatment of domestic sewage, which can be recycled as fertilizer to improve and maintain productive soil and to stimulate plant growth. He stated the Department of Environmental Quality regulates sewage sludge and biosolids, including the land application program.

Mr. Tungate stated that he brought in a sample of biosolids collected the previous day, after spending 14 days in the digester and passing through the centrifuge, and stated it does not have an odor. He presented a photograph of sewage sludge in the aeration basin. He next presented a photograph of the three anaerobic sludge digesters located to the left of the entrance road. He presented a photograph of the newer of two centrifuges, noting that polymer is added to the sludge after the anaerobic digester to thicken the sludge, after which it is spun in the centrifuge and then discharged through a chute and spread out in a truck trailer owned by the Authority, which is taken by a contractor to McGill Environmental. He stated that Rivanna produces approximately 14,000 tons annually of Class B biosolids, which have a higher level of detectable pathogens than Class A. He stated the current contract expires January 31, 2020 and their annual transportation and disposal cost for the use of McGill Environmental disposal service is approximately \$600,000 - \$700,000/year.

Dr. Palmer asked which sewage treatment plants produce Class A biosolids and what makes the process different.

Mr. Tungate replied that it is heated longer.

Dr. Palmer asked if they use biological heating or add heat. 185

36

Mr. Tungate replied that they add heat to the digesters to maintain 100°F temperature for 15 days **⊥87**

and then take the sludge and store it in a small storage tank from which it is pumped to the 188

centrifuge. 189

190

Mr. Gaffney asked what the temperature is for Class A. 191

192

Mr. Tungate did not have this information but offered to find out. 193

194

Dr. Palmer wondered why some treatment plants produce Class A instead of Class B, since it is 195 going to get composted. 196

197 198

Mr. Tungate replied that not all biosolids are composted, as some are land-applied, some are land-filled, and some get incinerated.

199 200 201

202

204

Mr. Tungate presented a map and pointed out the route taken by trucks to go from the Moores Creek facility to Waverly. He next offered alternatives to their current contract with McGill, He

stated they could contract with one of two area full-service disposal providers, Synigrow or 203

Nutriblend, to compost, land-apply, landfill, and/or incinerate the material, at the discretion of

the provider. 205

206 207

Mr. Tungate presented biosolids transportation and disposal costs for 2017; 12,945 tons at

\$46.95/ton; and noted that the contract allows for escalator costs to account for factors such as

increases in diesel fuel costs. He stated they could continue to compost at \$50/ton, a landfill -_09

210 land-apply option would run \$30 - \$35/ton for 14,000 tons, which would save \$245K/year.

landfill only would cost \$35 - \$40/ton, with potential savings of \$175K/year. He stressed that 211 212

these are only estimates obtained from discussions with partners and that a procurement process

would determine the actual costs. He asked for Board guidance and invited questions. 213

214

Ms. Hildebrand asked if there is an advantage from any additional scenarios to produce Class A 215 biosolids.

216

217 218

Mr. Tungate stated it would take some extra treatment steps, which they can investigate.

219

Mr. Gaffney asked what this would change and if there is a closer location to which they could 220 take the biosolids. 221

222

Mr. Tungate responded that they didn't price out that option and that they would use the same 223 landfill. 224

225

227

228

Mr. Mawyer interjected that if they were to landfill or land-apply they would not want to incur 226

the additional expense to make Class A, which would be appropriate if they were to sell it in

bags to residents, since it contains fewer pathogens than Class B. He recalled that RWSA used to

have a sales program for compost made from Class B biosolids.

Dr. Palmer pointed out that if they were to create Class A biosolids and sell them they would be 231 competing with Class B for use in fields, which is cheaper than Class A. 232 233 Ms. Whitaker added that the Class A treatment scenario would be most appropriate for direct 234 resale, since it has fewer vectors and pathogens. 235 236 Dr. Palmer noted that some Board of Supervisor members are very much against land application 237 of biosolids. 238 239 Mr. Gaffney asked if the City and County would be opposed to land-apply - landfill or landfill-240 only, as opposed to composting. 241 242 Dr. Palmer replied that she suspected that with the Board of Supervisors' new climate change 243 initiatives they would prefer composting. 244 245 Mr. Gaffney pointed out the potential savings of \$250K. 246 247 Dr. Palmer remarked that it will depend upon what price they place on greenhouse gas 248 emissions. 249 250 Mr. Gaffney stated that discussion would be a part of the work session discussed at the Rivanna 251 Solid Waste Authority Board meeting. 252 253 Dr. Palmer agreed. 254 255 Mr. Gaffney asked for the typical length of time of a contract with a hauler. 256 257 Mr. Tungate replied that the contract has been renewed since 2015 and they are now required to 258 place it out for bid. He stated that in the past they have done one-year contracts with two or three 259 renewals. 260 261 Mr. Krueger clarified that they must commit to at least a one-year contract and can have options 262 to renew. 263 264 Mr. Mawyer pointed out that with a longer term commitment you tend to get a better price than 265 with a one-year contract. 266 267 Mr. Gaffney emphasized that since the City and County want to hold a work session on this topic 268 they can't lock up a contract for too long a period. He estimates it will take about two years for 269 them to make a decision so a contract up to two years would be appropriate. 270 271

Mr. Krueger pointed out that they would likely receive a better price from McGill if they were to

Dr. Palmer suggested a one-year contract with options to renew.

commit to two, three, or five years.

272273

274

- 277 Dr. Palmer stated she can bring this matter up for discussion with the Board of Supervisors,
- though she believes she knows what the answer will be as the Board does not support using the
- landfill option to save \$175K and will not support land application. She pointed out that the
- savings will be shared with the City.

281

Mr. Mawyer added that it is a 50/50 cost sharing component with the City, based on current retail flows.

284

Dr. Palmer remarked that with their climate initiative they are not going to want to replace composting with landfill.

287

Mr. Gaffney proposed they seek a two-year contract with three one-year renewal options.

289

Ms. Galvin agreed with this proposal, as City Council is not prepared to conduct an exhaustive review of this and has an RFP out, for what will be a more regional approach.

292

- Mr. Mawyer pointed out that, under the middle hybrid option of land-apply/landfill, they may
- have to landfill the material during some winter months when there is snow cover, though they
- have quantities that must be disposed of almost every day. He added that Department of
- Environmental Quality has a list of approved sites that can accept biosolids for land application.

297 298

Ms. Galvin pointed out that the City has less agricultural surface area.

299

Mr. Tungate added that biosolids from other municipalities are applied in Albemarle County.

JOI

Ms. Hildebrand remarked that there would have to be very large tracts of land that have a need for nutrients.

304

Dr. Palmer recognized that this is a political issue and also has health-related aspects. She stated there are those on the Board of Supervisors who don't believe DEQ should allow for land application of biosolids, though she acknowledged that she does not know enough about the pathogens to be able to speak to this.

309

Mr. Mawyer reminded the Board that in 2010 it considered the same question and decided to not allow land application of Rivanna biosolids. He stated they can continue to compost for a couple of years and see where it goes from there.

313

Dr. Palmer remarked that they may find a private company that wants to do this and they just don't know how this is going to evolve.

316

Mr. Mawyer remarked that Harrisonburg-Rockingham Regional Sewer Authority (HRRSA) has a large wastewater treatment plant and land applies 100% of its biosolids.

319

Mr. Tungate added that HRRSA has just begun a new treatment process and produces Class A biosolids.

Mr. Richardson attempted to summarize the Board's position. He stated he has heard that the Board would explore going from Class B to Class A biosolids.

325

Mr. Gaffney added that they need to learn what the Board of Supervisors thinks, since if they still don't want to do land-applied then it doesn't make sense to spend more money to treat it.

328

Mr. Mawyer informed the Board that he will present on the matter of terminating the Buck
Mountain surcharge. He reminded the Board that staff presented to the Board in June on this
issue. He reminded them that they acquired 1,300 acres for the proposed Buck Mountain
Reservoir, found the James Spiny Mussel, they could not construct a water supply reservoir, and
have used 600 acres preserved as a mitigation effort for the environmental impacts of the Ragged
Mountain Reservoir Dam project.

335

Mr. Mawyer stated the 1983 agreement between the City and Service Authority asked Rivanna 336 to buy the property and the City and Service Authority committed to the imposition of a Buck 337 Mountain Surcharge for every new water connection in the City or County, with these funds to 338 be transferred to Rivanna to help pay for the bonds, which they have been for the last 36 years. 339 He stated the bonds have been paid off, they feel that it is time to terminate the surcharge, and a 340 joint resolution has been drafted by Mr. Krueger, after conferring with City, County, and Service 341 Authority attorneys. He continued that, should the Board approve the resolution, it would then be 342 taken up by the Board of Supervisors, City Council, and Service Authority. He stated the 343 surcharge fee is about \$230 for a 5/8" lot residential connection and increases with larger meter 344 sizes. 345

346 347

348

349

350

Mr. Krueger emphasized that they can't say exactly when the bonds have been paid off, due to the way they were refinanced before Lonnie came on board, and there is no way to trace it, though they all know that at this point it has been paid. He stated they need to certify to the bond trustee that the elimination of the surcharge would not have an impact on the Authority's ability to pay its bond debt and operational costs, which has been certified by the engineer.

351 352 353

354

Dr. Palmer moved that the Board approve the joint resolution to terminate the Buck Mountain surcharge. The motion was seconded by Ms. Galvin and passed unanimously (6-0). Mr. O'Connell was absent from the meeting and the vote.

355 356 357

9. OTHER ITEMS FROM BOARD/STAFF NOT ON AGENDA

358 There were none presented.

359 360

- 10. CLOSED MEETING
- There was no closed meeting held.

362 363

- 11. ADJOURNMENT
- At 3:35 p.m., Mr. Richardson moved that the Board adjourn the meeting. The motion was seconded by Ms. Galvin and passed unanimously (6-0). Mr. O'Connell was absent from the meeting and the vote.

367

369	Respectfully submitted,
70	
371	
372	
373	
374	

375

Mr. Jeff Richardson Secretary-Treasurer