

RWSA BOARD OF DIRECTORS				
Minutes of Regular Meeting				
August 25, 2020				

A regular meeting of the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority (RWSA) Board of Directors was held on Tuesday, August 25, 2020 at 2:47 p.m. via Zoom.

Board Members Present: Mike Gaffney, Dr. Tarron Richardson, Lloyd Snook (left the meeting at 2:59 p.m.), Dr. Liz Palmer, Jeff Richardson, Gary O'Connell, and Lauren Hildebrand.

Board Members Absent: None.

Rivanna Staff Present: Bill Mawyer, Katie McIlwee, Lonnie Wood, Jennifer Whitaker, Matt Bussell, Andrea Terry, John Hull, and Andrea Terry.

Attorney(s) Present: Kurt Krueger.

Also Present: Access to the meeting was available via Zoom for members of the public and media representatives.

1. CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Gaffney called the August 25, 2020 regular meeting of the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority to order at 2:47 p.m.

2. STATEMENT FROM THE CHAIR

Mr. Gaffney read the following statement aloud: "Notwithstanding any provision in our Bylaws to the contrary, as permitted under the City of Charlottesville's Continuity of Government Ordinance adopted on March 25, 2020, Albemarle County's Continuity of Government Ordinance adopted on April 15th, 2020, and Chapter 1283 of the 2020 Acts of the Virginia Assembly effective April 24, 2020, and the Resolution of the Authority authorizing the adoption of procedures for electronic public meetings and public hearings, adopted by the Authority on May 26, 2020, we are holding this meeting by real time electronic means with no board member physically present at a single, central location.

"All board members are participating electronically. This meeting is being held pursuant to the second resolution of the City's Continuity of Government Ordinance and Section 6(e) of the County's Continuity of Government Ordinance. All board members will identify themselves and state their physical location by electronic means during the roll call which we will hold next. I note for the record that the public has real time audio-visual access to this meeting over Zoom as provided in the lawfully posted meeting notice and real time audio access over telephone, which is also contained in the notice. The public is always invited to send questions, comments, and suggestions to the Board through Bill Mawyer, the Authority's Executive Director, at any time."

Mr. Gaffney called the roll.

- Ms. Lauren Hildebrand stated she was located at 305 4th Street Northwest in Charlottesville, VA.

 Mr. Gary O'Connell stated he was located at 168 Spotnap Road.

 Dr. Lizbeth Palmer stated she was located at 2958 Mechum Banks Drive in Charlottesville, VA.
- Mr. Jeff Richardson stated he was located at 401 McIntire Road (Albemarle County Office

Building) in Charlottesville, VA.

55

61

67

73

76

77

79

81

84 85

87

Dr. Tarron Richardson stated he was located at 605 East Main Street in Charlottesville, VA.

Mr. Lloyd Snook stated he was located at 408 East Market Street in Charlottesville, VA.

- Mr. Mike Gaffney stated he was located at 3180 Dundee Road in Earlysville, VA.
- Mr. Gaffney stated the following Authority staff members were joining the meeting: Bill Mawyer
- 63 (Executive Director), Lonnie Wood (Director of Finance & Administration), Jennifer Whitaker
- (Director of Engineering and Maintenance), Dave Tungate (Director of Operations), Andrea Terry
- (Water Resources Manager), Katie McIlwee (Communications Manager & Executive Coordinator),
- and John Hull (Software Analyst).
- Mr. Gaffney stated they were also joined electronically by Mr. Kurt Krueger (Counsel to the Authority).
- As it was a joint session, Mr. Krueger noted that the RSWA meeting was in recess and that the RWSA was open.
- Mr. Mawyer noted they would go through the regular agenda and keep the RSWA Board members in the meeting.
 - 3. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS BOARD MEETINGS
- a. Minutes of Regular Board Meeting on July 28, 2020
- 80 Mr. Gaffney asked board members if they had comments or changes.
- Dr. Palmer moved that the board approve the minutes of the previous board meeting. The motion was seconded by Mr. O'Connell and passed unanimously (7-0).
 - 4. RECOGNITION
- There were no recognitions.
- 88 5. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT
- Mr. Mawyer stated he wanted to recognize Mr. Matthew Mitchell, who is an RWSA employee.
- He stated he started with Rivanna less than a year ago as an unlicensed Water Operator trainee.
- He stated in less than a year, Mr. Mitchell completed all the training and experience
- requirements to take his Class II Water Operator's license test, which he took and passed. He

stated the only classification above this level was Class I. He congratulated Mr. Mitchell and applauded the initiative he has taken to do the work at the Authority, as well as at home, to prepare himself for the test.

96 97

98

99

100

Mr. Mawyer stated there was a lot of work going on at the reservoirs. He stated on August 6, they activated the Emergency Action Plan because there were more than 3 inches of rain in 24 hours coming across the Sugar Hollow Reservoir Dam. He stated he notified all board members that RWSA had activated the EAP. He stated it all worked out fine, and was an example of how they manage the reservoirs, particularly during storms.

101 102 103

104

105

Mr. Mawyer stated that in Sparta, North Carolina, there was a 5.1 earthquake on August 9. He stated following this, RWSA's two Dam Safety Engineers (Ms. Whitaker and Ms. Victoria Fort) spent a full day in the field inspecting all the dams to make sure they were okay. He stated thankfully, they were.

106 107

Mr. Mawyer stated RWSA had a meeting with the federal Natural Resources Conservation
Service the previous Friday as they began the Beaver Creek Reservoir Dam Modification
Project. He stated the NRCS is funding a planning study for that project, and RWSA hopes to
receive construction funding from them as well, which Ms. Whitaker would speak to in her
presentation later.

113

Mr. Mawyer stated that Ms. Liz Coleman (Safety Manager) was able to obtain a grant for RWSA from the Virginia Risk Sharing Association. He stated this will be \$4,000 and will be applied to safety equipment.

117 118

119

120

121

Mr. Mawyer presented an update on the easement acquisitions for the Rivanna to Ragged Mtn reservoir pipeline. He noted that the black outline on the map represented locations where they obtained easements, and the green line was the Birdwood line where work was completed. He stated there was the first reading by City Council to grant easements at the Ragged Mountain property for the pipeline. He stated progress was being made in acquiring the easements.

122123

Mr. Mawyer stated the consent agenda included a large project for a 5kv electrical system upgrade. He stated these are major electrical cables that serve many of the major wastewater facilities at Moores Creek. He stated after a facility inspection, the engineer recommended that the project be accelerated. He stated the project had been slated for 2026, and RWSA now wants to move it to the 2021-2024 timeframe.

129

Mr. Mawyer stated there were bids and an award in the consent agenda to Alleghany
Construction to replace the rubber gate that is atop the Sugar Hollow Reservoir. He stated
Alleghany is the contractor that installed the original rubber gate 20 years ago and was the low
bidder on the recent project. He stated they plan to do the work in the fall and following spring.

134

Mr. Mawyer stated also on the consent agenda was a project to design a pipeline extension from the South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant, beneath the South Rivanna River, to an existing waterline on north Route 29. He stated in combination with the existing water line beneath the river, they would have two redundant water feeds beneath the South Rivanna River. He stated as that area continues to grow and expand, RWSA can provide more water to the northern section of its water zones.

6. ITEMS FROM THE PUBLIC

Mr. Gaffney opened "Items from the Public."

Ms. Dede Smith (City of Charlottesville resident) stated she would like to speak to the recent water demand study by Hazen and Sawyer and how it relates to RWSA's plans to build an \$80 million pipeline. She stated in 2005, when the current Community Water Plan was selected, the water demand data used predicted a need for 18.7 million gallons per day (MGD) by 2055. She stated the 2055 demand number in this recent Hazen report has fallen to 13 MGD. She stated the problem is that RWSA needed the 18.7 MGD number to justify the pipeline.

Ms. Smith stated in September 2007, a year after the plan was approved, RWSA held a meeting to disclose the true cost of the plan and stated they couldn't build it all at once without rates going through the roof. She stated Rivanna recommended that the dam be built first, and the pipeline later when it was needed.

Ms. Smith stated at an August 2009 Rivanna Board meeting, in response to objections to this approach from the Friends of the Mormons, Executive Director Tom Frederick stated, "There is a suggestion here that the Ragged Mountain Reservoir won't work without a new pipeline. That depends on demand. We actually used an interim target of 2020 demand from Gannett Fleming's demand analysis and ran the model for those conditions and showed the Sugar Hollow Pipeline still worked under those conditions."

Ms. Smith noted that in Gannett Fleming's 2020 analysis Mr. Frederick referred to, it was 14 MGD. She stated this was worth repeating: Rivanna's computer model showed that the plan works without a pipeline with a demand of at least 14 MGD, which is the new 2070 demand.

Ms. Smith stated she understood the benefits of the expensive pipeline and why some board members really want it. She stated for some, it is fear of the future. She stated for others, it is interests outside of the water supply. She stated the fact is that the data does not support building the pipeline within the next 50 years and more. She stated it is a want, not a need. She stated the elephant in the room is the fact that the ratepayers who will have to pay for the board's comfort level live in the City and Urban Ring, and they are disproportionately low income, Black, and brown. She stated in this post-George Floyd era, there is a whole new language for this kind of policy.

Mr. Neil Williamson, President of the Free Enterprise Forum, stated as a point of history, for the record, the South Fork Rivanna Reservoir was constructed in 1966, which is the year he was born. He stated when it was constructed, it was done with the vision of the future. He stated they didn't have computer models, but they built what they needed for infrastructure.

- Mr. Williamson stated they went through a difficult time developing the community-vetted Water Supply Plan, and the pipeline was always a part of that plan. He stated they stood with
- their friends from the Southern Environmental Law Center, Piedmont Environmental Council,

Charlottesville Area Association of Realtors, and the Chamber of Commerce to say yes to 185 building the Community Water Supply Plan. He stated this was not the plan he thought was 186 going to be approved, and thought they were going to be putting a straw into the river. He stated 187 in time, however, the entirety of the plan was embraced by the community. 188 189 Mr. Williamson stated he was hopeful that revisionist history doesn't change this. He stated he 190 firmly believed that the water the area needs will be there for them because of this advanced 191 planning. 192 193 Mr. Gaffney closed public comments. 194 195 7. RESPONSES TO PUBLIC COMMENT 196 Mr. Mawyer stated he had a nice discussion with Ms. Dede Smith the week prior for about an 197 hour. He stated he would continue to communicate with her about her concerns. He stated the 198 South Rivanna to Ragged Pipeline is in the CIP from 2027 to 2033, and the current budget is \$80 199 million. He stated an update is currently being done on that cost estimate. 200 201 Dr. Palmer stated she appreciated all the information staff has given the board on the way that 202 they are now looking at the demand analysis and where the DEQ may be going with demand 203 analysis recommendations in the future. 204 205 Dr. Palmer reminded everyone that the Sugar Hollow Reservoir watershed is 17 square miles, 206 whereas the South Fork Rivanna Reservoir watershed is 259 square miles. She stated the plan, 207 from the beginning, was to have a pump storage situation where they collect the water at South 208 Fork (which is a great place to collect, but not a great place to store) and pump it over to Ragged 209 Mountain Reservoir, which has a 2-square-mile watershed and is a wonderful place to store 210 water. She stated she appreciated the information staff provided the board on the demand 211 analysis and on the value of this pipeline. 212 213 8. CONSENT AGENDA 214 Staff Report on Finance 215 216 b. Staff Report on Operations 217 218 Staff Report on Ongoing Projects c. 219 220 d. Staff Report on Wholesale Metering 221 222 Approval of Flexible Benefits "Cafeteria Plan" e. 223 224 f. Updated Employee Handbook 225 226

Reimbursement Resolution – CIP Funding

227

228

g.

229		h.	Financial Policy Update		
230					
231		i.	Capital Improvement Plan Amendment and Approval of Engineering Services –		
232	Moores Creek 5kv Electrical System Upgrade – Hazen & Sawyer				
233					
234		j.	Construction Contract Award and Capital Improvement Plan Amendment–Sugar		
235		Hollow	v Dam Rubber Crest Gate Replacement		
236					
237		k.	Approval of Engineering Services – South Fork Rivanna River Crossing Project –		
238	Michael Baker International				
239					
240		<i>l</i> .	Construction Change Order Authorization – Albemarle-Berkeley Wastewater		
241			Station Storage Basin Demolition – Pleasant View Construction		
242		титр	Station Storage Basin Demotition 1 teasant view Construction		
243		т.	Amendment of Bylaws to Update the Purchasing Limitations of the Executive		
243	Directo		Amenament of Bytaws to Opacie the Furchasting Limitations of the Executive		
245	Directe	,,			
246	Dr. Pal	lmer m	noved that the board approve the Consent Agenda. The motion was seconded		
247			x and passed unanimously (7-0).		
248					
249	At 2:59	9 p.m., 1	Mr. Snook left the meeting.		
250		<i>-</i>			
251	<i>9. O</i>	THEK	BUSINESS		
252	a	Drasa	entation: Crozet Water Projects Update; Jennifer Whitaker, Director of		
253	и.		neering & Maintenance		
254		Engin	geering & Maintenance		
255	Ms. Jer	nnifer V	Whitaker stated she would update the board on the current work and future plans		
256	for the Crozet water system. She stated as RWSA embarks on a more outward-facing planning				
257	and design effort, they want to make sure that the board and public are kept up to date on the				
258	path forward.				
259					
260			presented a map and listing of currently owned RWSA facilities. She stated the		
261	Crozet community is designated as a water and sewer growth area and service area for				
262	Albemarle County. She stated RWSA provides the municipal wholesale water service to the area, while ACSA provides the retail service.				
263	area, w	niie AC	SA provides the retail service.		
264 265	Me W	hitaker	presented a slide of the current facilities. She stated the Beaver Creek Dam, raw		
266	water pump station, and intake are on each side of the dam. She stated the raw water piping goes				
267	from the dam and pump station up to the Crozet Water Treatment Plant. She stated the finished				
268	water piping and finished water pump station are located on the left side of the treatment plant				
269	_		e west to feed the ACSA system.		
270					

Ms. Whitaker stated most of these facilities were constructed in the mid-1960s, meaning that

they were currently about 60 years old and, as such, require either rehabilitation or capacity expansion.

Ms. Whitaker stated given the current rehabilitation needs for the facilities and the population growth in the area, RWSA completed a Drinking Water Infrastructure Master Plan, the findings of which have been discussed both at the board meetings and at the CCAC (Crozet Community Advisory Council). She stated the master plan was completed in 2019 and updated with a supplement in 2020 to reflect current demand patterns that RWSA believes may be COVID-related in the Crozet community.

Ms. Whitaker stated the key takeaways from the plan include that RWSA believes that Beaver Creek Reservoir is an adequate water supply for the community through the 2075 planning horizon. She stated they will have to get a future water withdrawal permit from the Virginia DEQ, which will include mandatory downstream releases from the reservoir.

Ms. Whitaker stated additionally, the water treatment plant capacity must be upgraded to meet the maximum day demand and, ultimately, will eventually have to go to approximately 2.8 MGD.

Ms. Whitaker stated the Beaver Creek Dam requires significant upgrades to meet the recent dam safety requirements. She stated these upgrades are mandatory and not optional. She stated it will require a significant spillway improvement, which will pass through the earthen dam. She stated a new raw water pump station will be associated with that, and RWSA will be working on water distribution piping projects together with the ACSA.

Ms. Whitaker presented a map, noting it was similar to that of the Community Water Supply Plan for the urban system. She stated this map highlighted the projects in Crozet including existing projects, completed projects, and future projects. She stated there is a need to invest approximately \$42 million in the Crozet water system over the next five years.

 Ms. Whitaker presented an image of the newly completed finished water pump station that is located on the west side of the water treatment plant and provides water from the water treatment plant to the rest of the system. She stated it is built in a way such that it can be expanded in the future simply by replacing pumps. She stated it currently meets the needs of the community.

Ms. Whitaker stated as has been discussed, there was also a recent granular activated carbon project where RWSA installed carbon contactors for water quality improvements such that they can remove organic materials and minimize disinfection byproducts. She stated this project cost \$3.4 million and was completed in 2018.

Ms. Whitaker stated currently, RWSA was underway with the Crozet Water Treatment Plant upgrade. She stated this plant was an approximately \$8.5-million project and that its capacity was being expanded from 1 MGD to 2.1 MGD. She stated it is expected to be completed near the end of the year.

Ms. Whitaker presented a list of projects that were likely gaining some public-facing discussion.

- She stated this included the Beaver Creek Dam, its associated raw water pump station, and the
- piping modifications. She indicated on the slide to a dam that was located elsewhere but was
- being used as an example of a similar spillway that will be installed in the Beaver Creek Dam.
- She stated this was a 3.5-cycle labyrinth spillway that will have a bridge over it carrying vehicle
- traffic on Browns Gap Turnpike. She stated this area goes through the center of the earthen dam.
- 323 She indicated to a picture on the slide that showed the current raw water pump station in that
- 324 area.

- Ms. Whitaker stated all these upgrades were intended to satisfy current dam safety requirements
- to upgrade the dam to be able to pass 100% of the probable maximum flood which, in this
- vicinity, is in the upper 20s to low 30s in inches of rain in a 24-hour period. She stated they were
- thus looking at approximately 30 inches of rain in a 24-hour period without a dam failure, and
- the intent was to be able to pass that water over the structure and to the downstream area without
- a problem with the dam.

332

- Ms. Whitaker presented the site analysis for the raw water pump station map. She stated RWSA
- has looked at six locations, with each of these showing on the image as a dot. She stated there
- was a site selection memo that was created for RWSA, and they have currently narrowed it down
- to Sites 1 and 3. She stated they are currently looking at having environmental work ongoing out
- in the field. She stated they will also be looking at a joint permit submission to DEQ and the
- Army Corps of Engineers in the fall. She stated they are working with NRCS to do a
- supplemental watershed plan and environmental assessment study, which will take them through
- the summer of 2022. She stated from 2022 to 2023, RWSA anticipates design, with construction
- in 2024 through 2026.

342

Mr. O'Connell stated the update was helpful and that the summary graphic was useful.

344345

Dr. Palmer asked Ms. Whitaker if she could share the presentation with everyone.

346

Ms. Whitaker replied she would send it out to the whole board.

348

- Mr. O'Connell asked Ms. Whitaker if she could quickly speak to the recent water demand and if
- this had changed the picture at all. He stated it had been very high for over a month.

351

- Ms. Whitaker replied that currently, the average daily demands in Crozet were still within the
- projected numbers RWSA looked at in the original Drinking Water Infrastructure Plan. She
- stated what they were currently seeing was the peak day number consistently staying fairly high,
- particularly associated with warm weather.

356

- Ms. Whitaker stated another item RWSA was seeing, because Crozet is 80% residential, is an
- impact from people working from home more extensively than perhaps they historically have.
- She stated that number seems to be staying higher than it was the year prior.

- Ms. Whitaker stated RWSA has published a supplement to the Drinking Water Infrastructure
- Plan and looked at the recent year's numbers compared to the last several years. She stated it
- does project their ultimate demand numbers to be just a few tenths higher than they originally

anticipated. She stated some accommodations have been made for that. She stated they will continue to update and review those demand numbers post-COVID to see whether it is a transient item or a more permanent trend.

Dr. Palmer asked how the negotiations with the surrounding properties for the dam infrastructure were going. She stated she supposed RWSA was still looking at the location for the pump station.

Mr. Mawyer replied that RWSA is talking to those people who live on the west side of the Beaver Creek Reservoir, near the proposed pump station site. He stated they have not been very happy that RWSA may need to go across their property. He stated it would be an easement for an underground pipeline and a road over top the pipeline. He stated the pump station itself is actually on Albemarle County property around the reservoir. He stated they are talking with the family to understand the concerns He stated they are nice people and that, for the most part, they understand what it is RWSA is trying to accomplish.

Mr. Mawyer stated RWSA understands that the family may not be so happy that an easement is needed across their property, so they are looking at all the alternatives. He stated they possibly brought Site 1 back into review so they can assess whether there is any way that would be a better location for the pump station, with a different pipeline route. He stated they try to evaluate the environmental concerns, costs, and the preferences of the people in the area to come up with the best solution and are still in that process.

b. Presentation: Buck Mountain Master Plan; Andrea Terry, Water Resources Manager

Ms. Andrea Terry stated she would talk about the Buck Mountain Land Use Master Plan. She stated it is approximately 1,300 acres owned by RWSA in Northern Albemarle County. She stated the impetus for the plan was that in April 2019, a former property owner, Dr. Harry Wellons, came to the board requesting that he be able to buy back the land that RWSA had taken through eminent domain in 1983.

Ms. Terry stated as a follow-up, staff provided a presentation to the board in June of 2019. She stated at that time, the board requested that RWSA prepare a Buck Mountain Master Plan. She stated RWSA hired a local planning firm called Land Planning and Design Associates, and that their team members were on the call and available to answer questions. She stated these team members were Mr. Bill Mechnick and Mr. Tristan Cleveland of LPDA, and their project team also included VHB (Mr. Tim Davis) and PROS Consulting (Mr. Mike Svetz).

Ms. Terry stated in the 1980s, RWSA acquired 38 parcels in the Buck Mountain Creek watershed with the intent of building a reservoir. She stated the parcels ranged from 1 to 160 acres. She stated of the approximately 1,300 acres in total, it cost \$6.95 million. She stated studies of the reservoir site in the late 1990s identified the presence of the James spinymussel, which shut the project down.

Ms. Terry stated RWSA is currently using the land as a stream mitigation site for the Ragged Mountain Reservoir expansion. She stated the mitigation started in 2014 and includes 11,511 linear feet of streams that now have land conservation buffers. She stated there are sections

where the stream was restored and along that area, RWSA planted over 40,500 trees. She stated they have placed the buffer areas into deed restrictions, which is approximately 600 acres.

Ms. Terry stated the Buck Mountain Master Plan is an evaluation of uses and management of the Buck Mountain property with respect to RWSA's vision, mission, and values. She stated she specifically included in the presentation which plan goals the plan addresses.

Ms. Terry stated the plan looks at environmental stewardship with water quality protection of the streams. She stated the Buck Mountain watershed is located in the watershed of the South Fork Rivanna Reservoir and, as such, provides protection to a portion of the water quality flowing into the South Rivanna.

Ms. Terry stated the plan looks at operational optimization and efficient use of resources. She stated it also considers infrastructure and master planning with regards to the current water supply as well as the future.

Ms. Terry stated the consultants did a lot of work on this and presented a summary of the different sections of work they performed. She stated she would touch on those sections in the presentation, and that the board members would find in their packets that RWSA included the master plan report itself. She stated there is an environmental document that goes into detail with a lot of information, as well as a recreational market analysis.

Ms. Terry stated the master plan evaluations look at current land use and zoning, infrastructure, roads, utilities in the area, and potential development constraints (e.g. leases, steep slope restrictions, and deed restrictions). She stated the plan addresses the potential of the land as a future reservoir site and includes environmental factors, regulatory history, natural resources description, land management implications, real estate market analysis, and recreation analysis.

Ms. Terry presented a map, noting that the existing zoning of the property is primarily Rural Area (RA) and that this consists of 1,159 acres. She stated there is a section of it that is Planned Unit Development (PUD), which is 155 acres. She stated this made her wonder why there was a PUD there. She stated the property is adjacent to Hickory Ridge, which is an existing PUD, which explains the existing zoning. She stated Rural Areas are good areas for preservation of agricultural and forested lands; water supply protection; and the conservation of natural, scenic, and historic resources.

Ms. Terry presented a map showing RWSA's existing leases on the property. She stated there are 15 parcels that are leased by 9 leaseholders totaling 484.41 acres. She stated all of those leases are now in 2-year terms. She stated at one point, RWSA had some 5-year leases and some 20-year leases, but they changed that and are now only doing 2-year terms. She stated 8 of the parcels are in agriculture (cattle or horses) and are fenced out of the streams. She stated the remainder are used for quiet enjoyment. She stated the leases currently generate \$1,900 annually.

- Ms. Terry indicated on the map to Property 18-11A and stated this was the parcel that Dr.
 Wellons had talked about wanting to be able to buy back. She stated other leaseholders have also
- talked to her about wanting this as an option.

Ms. Terry presented the current leasing fee structure. She stated pasture is leased at \$10 per acre, forested is leased at \$3 per acre, and the deed-restricted area is leased at \$0 per acre. She stated the total lease value is \$1,900. She stated LPDA and its consultants also did a market analysis, showing that there is a more appropriate range for what RWSA is leasing, which was something to consider. She stated this would change the amount they are receiving each year to somewhere in the range of \$6,300 to \$8,800.

Ms. Terry presented the considerations used when looking at the site for a future reservoir. She stated there is a good discussion about that on the regulatory side of things, and in the environmental report. She stated there are significant regulatory impediments to use of this as a reservoir in the future. She stated not only do they know that it has been a James spinymussel habitat in the past, but they now also have mitigation sites that would have to be relocated. She stated a lot of money would have to paid into a mitigation bank if RWSA ever wanted to move them, and that they are not even confident that they could be moved.

Ms. Terry stated another thing to consider is the fact that the Community Water Supply Plan meets the demands of the public water users for the next 100 years. She stated another consideration was that climate change could create unanticipated water demands and regulatory changes and then, perhaps it could become a reservoir.

Ms. Terry presented a compilation of all of the land uses at Buck Mountain. She indicated to a map and stated the main road coming across the center of the property was Buck Mountain Road, and the one going up north is Catterton Road. She stated there are two branches to Buck Mountain Creek: Piney Creek on the left, and Buck Mountain Creek on the right. She stated the yellow highlighted areas on the map are the areas RWSA placed into deed restrictions (or conservation areas) and totaled 610 acres. She stated the map also showed fields and pastures in light green, which totaled 226 acres. She stated the forested acres were represented in dark green, which totaled 475 acres.

Ms. Terry noted how the two main roads (Buck Mountain Road and Catterton Road) allow road access to approximately 197 acres, represented on the map in a crosshatch. She stated the steep slopes were also included.

Ms. Terry stated she had talked to the board in the past about some property management issues RWSA has on the land. She stated they currently own a bridge with a stream crossing and provide maintenance on the bridge. She stated there is a pond on one of the properties that RWSA originally acquired as part of a reservoir. She stated the pond requires some permitting and maintenance and therefore, some funding to do that. She stated there is a house that was previously rented but is no longer in a condition to be rented.

Ms. Terry stated of the 600 acres with deed restrictions, there needs to be wildlife management and dealing with invasive vegetation. She stated trespassing, ATVS, and hunting are often issues

for RWSA. She stated there are 10 miles of existing gates and fences throughout the property 500 and that RWSA needs a couple better access road agreements on different parts of the property. 501 502 Ms. Terry indicated to a corner of the land, noting that none of the parcels there were currently 503 504 leased by anyone. She stated their total acreage is 46.1 acres. She stated they have an assessed value of \$655,000 and an average value of \$14,200 per acre. She stated one thing to consider 505 would be what they would be giving up if they sold those properties. 506 507 508 Ms. Terry stated on the upper-left corner of the pink area on the map, there is a stream that comes along the boundary, straight down and across to the one in the middle. She stated there are 509 buffers in those areas and if RWSA sold this, they would be relinquishing control of what is 510 happening next to Piney Creek, which is one of the waters that flows into the Buck Mountain 511 Creek. 512 513 Ms. Terry stated from the master plan, LPDA developed three different scenarios. She stated one 514 was divestment, involving selling the entire property. She stated Level Two was to sell portions 515 of the property that have the highest sale value and/or are outside the future reservoir boundaries. 516 She stated the second scenario of land management was to retain ownership and manage the 517 property. She stated Scenario Three was active amenitization, meaning to put in amenities. She 518 stated LPDA's suggestion was Scenario Three, Level One, which was to retain ownership and 519 encourage passive recreational use. 520 521 Ms. Terry stated in taking all of this into consideration, staff was bringing forward 522 recommendations for the board's consideration. She stated like LPDA, staff also thinks RWSA 523 should retain ownership for the primary purpose of protecting water quality. She stated in doing 524 that, they would like to manage the property with a focus on additional sustainability uses that 525 they could then possibly use to help offset some of their existing carbon footprint. She stated 526 some of these things would include silviculture, reforestation, and solar sites. She stated RWSA 527 does agree with updating the leases to the market value. 528 529 530 Ms. Terry stated to do all these things, RWSA will need to develop a comprehensive property management plan and budget. 531 532 Ms. Terry concluded her presentation and offered to answer questions. 533 534

Ms. Hildebrand stated she was curious if RWSA considered looking at, without impacting the mitigation or future reservoir areas, possibly selling off any of the valuable parcels and then designating those funds to help with the management of the site. She asked if increasing the lease value would help to offset some of the management of the site.

535

536

537

- Mr. Gaffney stated he wanted to add a follow-on question to Ms. Hildebrand's question. He
- stated the four lots that Ms. Terry spoke about on Buck Mountain Road totaled 46 acres, but a
- building lot for a home in the County would be 2 acres. He stated they could actually cut off the
- majority of the bottom land there, around the streams, and any of the land in the buffer to get
- four building sites. He stated this would capture the majority of that value of the 46 acres. He
- stated this would be something to consider, which would go toward what Ms. Hildebrand was
- suggesting, which was using that money to help keep up the property.

- Mr. Krueger stated the subdivision by-right ordinance would be true if RWSA had acquired the
- whole parcel. He stated what he didn't know, and that perhaps Ms. Terry did know, was if those
- particular parcels were partial condemnations of existing parcels, in which case RWSA has faced
- that issue before and unless they have paid money to the owner of the property of which they
- have taken a portion of the property for the remaining development rights, the idea is that only
- one development right would come from the division by right when they condemn.

554

- Mr. Gaffney stated he did not follow this. He asked if the map was showing four separate
- parcels.

557

Mr. Krueger stated the question was if there were five development rights on those parcels.

559

- Mr. Gaffney stated no. He asked if, out of each parcel, they would just keep the 2 acres by Buck
- Mountain Road. He stated they could do a property division where the back parts have no
- development right, but this is retained by RWSA. He stated they would end up with four parcels
- like the one at the bottom of the map, assuming this was about a 2-acre parcel.

564565

Mr. Krueger asked if this were assuming they got some subdivision by right.

566

- Mr. Gaffney stated they didn't need to divide any of those to get additional parcels. He stated
- there were four parcels and that he assumed, based on the assessed value the County has on that,
- that each one has a building right.

570

Mr. Krueger stated that it would, but that they could not necessarily subdivide it further.

572

573 Mr. Gaffney stated they couldn't add division rights.

574

575 Mr. Krueger stated this was correct.

576

- Mr. Gaffney stated they would get four building parcels of 2 acres or more and keep all of the
- bottom land they need to maintain the deed restrictions.

- Mr. Krueger stated he may have made an assumption he should not have made. He stated he was 580 assuming that Mr. Gaffney's proposal would have them subdivide those top three parcels into 581 two parcels, with a smaller buildable lot at the road. He stated RWSA would then keep the back 582 of those lots. 583 584 585 Mr. Gaffney stated this was correct and they would be separate. 586 Ms. Terry asked if this would allow them to keep the back where the stream runs through but 587 588 allow them to keep the deed-restricted area. 589 Mr. Krueger stated he believed this would require them to have at least one subdivision right to 590 do that. 591 592 Mr. Gaffney stated based on \$655,000 in assessed value for that, in four parcels, he assumed that 593 otherwise, they would not be worth that. He stated obviously, this would need to be checked out. 594 595 596 Mr. Krueger stated he did not know if there is an ability to do that one split or subdivision per parcel there. He stated it depends on how they took it when they condemned it. 597 598 Mr. Gaffney stated they should look into it. 599 600 601 Dr. Palmer stated if they considered that, she would like to know quite a bit about these specific properties, the condemnation situation, and whether those previous owners are still around. She 602 stated this sounded somewhat problematic to her as she was listening to it. 603 604 605 Mr. Krueger stated there is the issue of condemning property for a public purpose, then reselling it potentially for a private purpose. 606 607 Dr. Palmer stated this was her concern. 608 609 610 Ms. Hildebrand asked if it would be considered a public purpose if they designated the money to 611 maintain the rest of the property. 612 Mr. Krueger stated he was not sure this was doable and would want to look into that. He stated in 613 some sense, it would prove too much. 614 615
- Dr. Palmer stated the additional money Ms. Hildebrand mentioned from taking into consideration the assessed value when they are charging for the leasing agreements would certainly go to that management, which she believed would be a reasonable thing.

Mr. Krueger pointed out that those leaseholders are, in a sense, their eyes and ears on the ground 620 for illegal hunting and various nefarious activities that may go on there. He stated those 621 leaseholders help call up the RWSA to report those things, so they serve as a monitoring 622 function. 623 624 625 Ms. Terry stated it is a huge benefit that their leaseholders will communicate with them when they see issues. She stated they often know things before she does. 626 627 628 Dr. Palmer thanked Ms. Terry for reminding the board of this, as she recalled her telling them this at a previous session. 629 630 Mr. Gaffney asked if with all the fences and roads Ms. Terry talked about needing maintenance, 631 if any of the leaseholders maintain some of those fences. 632 633 634 Ms. Terry replied yes. She stated they are allowed to use the buffer areas and deed-restricted areas for quiet enjoyment, and she does believe they do maintain some of the fencing. 635 636 637 Mr. Gaffney asked how this would affect a change where they allow passive recreation (e.g. hiking, mountain biking), as he assumed this would cross some of those lease holdings. 638 639 640 Ms. Terry replied that people cannot trespass on someone else's leasehold unless they have permission to use it. She stated there are some instances where different leaseholders are fine 641 with that. 642 643 Ms. Terry stated the area has a lot of old trails, and one of the recommendations in the 644 645 environmental report was if RWSA is going to manage this into the future, they should make a better network of trails for them to facilitate going in and out of the buffer areas. 646 647 Mr. Gaffney asked, given that the streams are fenced off, if they would lease the streams as well, 648 or if they would have enough room to put paths along the streams. He asked if the leases cross 649 650 the major streams. 651 Ms. Terry replied that they do, in places. 652 653 654 Mr. Mawyer stated RWSA is not allowed to build any paths within the conservation area, however. He stated within the conservation area next to the stream, no development is allowed. 655 He stated walking is the only thing one can do in there, and they cannot build a path for walking. 656 657 Dr. Palmer stated she agreed with the recommendations in the report. She stated she always likes 658 to remind the public that this property was used for the water supply plan for mitigation, which 659

560 561	was important at the time they would have had to buy those credits elsewhere. She stated it was considered to be a huge plus to be able to do the remediation there, in their own watershed. She
562	stated there are now plenty of communities that are buying land in the watersheds of their water
563	supplies.
564	
565	Dr. Palmer stated she would like to know what it costs to make some of the improvements that
566	were suggested in the plan. She stated she would prefer to go forward with the recommendations
567 568	in the plan, with finding out how much it costs and what the timeline would be in which the improvements would be implemented.
569	
570	Ms. Terry stated the next step would be for RWSA to develop a comprehensive property
571	management plan and budget. She stated this was something the RWSA would want them to do
572	to outline the costs and what might need to happen.
573	Do Delace at the delice and delic
574	Dr. Palmer stated this would be her preference.
575	Mr. O'Connell stated this made sense.
576	Wr. O Connen stated this made sense.
577 578	Ms. Hildebrand stated it was a good idea. She stated they will then know what kind of dollars
579	they were talking about.
580	they were taiking about.
581	Dr. Palmer moved that the RWSA develop the comprehensive property management plan
582	and budget and bring this back to the board. The motion was seconded by Mr. O'Connell
583	and passed unanimously (6-0). (Mr. Snook was absent from the vote.)
584	
585	At 3:46 p.m., Dr. Palmer moved to reopen the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority meeting. Mr.
586	Oberdorfer seconded the motion, which passed unanimously (6-0). (Mr. Snook was absent
587	from the vote.)
588	
589	The joint session of the RSWA and RWSA boards began.
590	
591	Mr. Gaffney called the roll of RSWA board members. Mr. Oberdorfer, Dr. Palmer, Dr.
592	Richardson, Mr. Richardson, Mr. Stewart, and Mr. Gaffney all stated their presence.
593 594 595	c. Presentation: Strategic Plan Update; Katie McIlwee, Communications Manager/Executive Coordinator
596 597 598	Ms. Katie McIlwee stated she would provide a quick update of implementation of year two of the Strategic Plan.

Ms. McIlwee presented the values, vision, and mission that Rivanna has been following since 699 implementation began. She stated year two implementation's overall completion rate was lower 700 than expected. She stated that this was due to the COVID-19 pandemic, which impacted each 701 goals teams' ability to meet and complete their tactics. She stated many of the tactics that had 702 703 been developed had a very public-facing nature and either had to be put on hold or changed as they went through the implementation of the second half of the year. 704 705 Ms. McIlwee stated there were six goals: workforce development, operational optimization, 706 communication and collaboration, environmental stewardship, solid waste services, and 707 infrastructure master planning. She stated they worked on 14 strategies and had developed 58 708 tactics to complete those strategies. 709 710 711 Ms. McIlwee stated that workforce development implemented numerous training programs 712 throughout the year. She stated some were through PVCC, and there was another being researched that would use the LinkedIn platform. Additionally, she stated that a new employee 713 orientation video was created, as well as an entirely new employee orientation program. 714 715 716 Ms. McIlwee stated in response to COVID-19, Rivanna quickly developed a work-from-home policy and were able to send part of the workforce home on certain days to try to minimize 717 impacts in the administrative and engineering buildings, whenever possible. 718 719 720 Ms. McIlwee stated Rivanna would like to further expand new employee orientations to 721 incorporate IT into the process. She stated they also want to look at implementing more training 722 platforms as previously mentioned. 723 724 Ms. McIlwee stated that operational optimization completed several studies, which had been presented to the board, such as biosolids disposal. She stated they had fiber installed at the South 725 Rivanna Water Treatment Plant to help increase internet service. She stated a new piece of lab 726 equipment was purchased which will allow for increased testing efficiency and expansion of 727 types of test the lab is able to conduct. 728 729 730 Ms. McIlwee stated as next steps, they are continuing to upgrade or test the internet at South Rivanna to ensure it has been optimized. She stated there will be an additional sensor research to 731 improve the wastewater treatment process. 732 733 Ms. McIlwee stated that through the safety strategy of organizational optimization, the Water 734 Infrastructure Protection Plan was submitted to the EPA in March of 2020. She stated additional 735 cameras have been installed at some of the facilities so they can be remotely monitored. She 736 stated additional AEDs had been acquired for each facility to further protect the workforce, as 737

well as additional fall protection equipment and a complete update and review of the safety 738 manual that was completed that year. 739 740 Ms. McIlwee stated next steps will be to submit their emergency response plan to the EPA in 741 742 September. She stated Rivanna will continue installing web-based cameras so they can observe all facilities remotely. 743 744 Ms. McIlwee stated many new ways of communicating and collaborating came into play during 745 746 the last 5-6 months due to COVID-19. She stated Rivanna is heavily using Microsoft Teams for meetings, so that business can continue as normal. She stated they are getting closer to fully 747 implementing their document management system. She also stated they continue to publish their 748 newsletter to the workforce. 749 750 751 Ms. McIlwee stated next steps for the communication and collaboration goal team include continuing implementation of the document management software, and continuing to 752 communicate with staff through the newsletter, employee council, employee portal, and other 753 754 means, as necessary. 755 Ms. McIlwee stated Rivanna was also able to complete several facility videos and updated 756 pictures on their website through their video and photography contracts. She stated they continue 757 to plan and determine where these photos and videos can be expanded. 758 759 Ms. McIlwee stated one area that has definitely been affected by COVID-19 is the "Imagine a 760 Day Without Water" event that Rivanna held jointly with the City and ACSA. She stated she has 761 been meeting with representatives to figure out how to hold the event virtually for 2020. She 762 stated they hope that events like this can continue, but they need to find new ways to do them 763 since there are currently in-person constraints. 764 765 Ms. McIlwee stated the next steps include researching use of social media, which is something 766 Rivanna has been looking at for some time and hopes to start implement soon. She stated with 767 768 things being more remote, it seemed like a good time to carry that forward. She stated they have also researched streaming board meetings (once they return to in-person meetings) in order to 769 continue the virtual nature of the meetings and allow people who cannot make it in person to 770 watch the meetings. 771 772 Ms. McIlwee stated in terms of environmental stewardship, there were many good events such as 773 the Day of Caring, river cleanup, and roadside cleanup at the beginning of year two, but they had 774 tapered off towards the end of the year due to COVID-19. She stated Rivanna looked for ways to 775 still participate, but in a socially distant and responsible matter. She stated the same was true for 776

"Imagine a Day Without Water," Rivanna Flow Fest, and stormwater partnerships. She stated
 they can still collaborate with these entities, though in a virtual manner.
 Ms. McIlwee stated environmental activities at Rivanna facilities include completion of the Buck

Mountain Master Plan. She stated oyster shell recycling has been started at the McIntire

Recycling Center, as well as several other recycling programs that Rivanna has picked up

throughout the year, which included political sign collection, pizza box composting, and cooking

784 oil collection.

785 786

Ms. McIlwee stated next steps include evaluating the potential use of solar at Rivanna facilities and implementing the Buck Mountain property management plan.

787 788

Ms. McIlwee stated the solid waste services goal team was definitely impacted by COVID-19.

She stated one thing that had been planned for that year was a recycling video contest for local children. She stated with schools closing in March and not going back until possibly after the first of the year, this tactic has been put on hold indefinitely. She stated the solid waste goal team was looking for other ways to reinvent some of those programs or figure out other ways they can partner with local school and community groups.

795

Ms. McIlwee stated in terms of infrastructure and master planning, the CMMS (Computerized Maintenance Management System) was moving along. She stated it would be advertised for procurement and implemented in the upcoming year. She stated the team completed the Urban Water Demand and Supply Master Plan and continue to update the master plan matrix to include current and anticipated master planning efforts. She noted there was a lot underway and a lot planned in this area.

802 803

804

Ms. McIlwee stated year three had officially kicked off, and that the goal teams will work to determine how to finish the tactics that were not completed last year, or to change those tactics to make them work in the current environment.

805 806

807 Ms. McIlwee stated the Workforce Development Team will continue to expand training opportunities. She stated Operational Optimization has upgrades to multiple water treatment 808 plants and wastewater treatment plants underway. She stated the Communication and 809 Collaboration Team hopes to implement social media. She stated the Environmental Stewardship 810 811 Team is using a Virginia Department of Health grant to implement a watershed protection program. She stated the Solid Waste Services Team will continue to look for ways to expand and 812 promote recycling and refuse services in the area. She stated the Infrastructure and Master 813 Planning Team will continue implementation of the asset management program and CMMS 814 software. 815

817 818	Ms. McIlwee concluded her presentation.					
819	Mr. O'Connell stated many good things were being accomplished.					
820 821	Dr. Palmer stated she finds these reviews of the Strategic Plan valuable. She thanked Ms.					
822 823	McIlwee for her presentation.					
824	Mr. Gaffney stated it took many years to get an Executive Director to commit to a Strategic Plan					
825	and that he is very impressed at every board meeting with how they are using the Strategic Plan					
826	and how it is a live document that improves everything within both authorities. He congratulated					
827	and thanked everyone.					
828						
829 830	Mr. Richardson stated he agreed with his fellow board members.					
831	10. OTHER ITEMS FROM BOARD/STAFF NOT ON AGENDA					
832	Dr. Palmer stated there are now signs at Sugar Hollow, in respect to littering, and asked who					
833	officially was responsible for putting those up and paying for these signs.					
834						
835	Ms. Terry asked Dr. Palmer if she was referring to those signs that are below the dam and along					
836	the Mormons River.					
837						
838	Dr. Palmer replied yes, in addition to the signs at the makeshift parking lot at the bottom.					
839						
840	Ms. Terry replied that she believed that Trout Unlimited put many signs on the section					
841	downstream of the dam.					
842						
843	Dr. Palmer stated the same signs are also in the parking lot.					
844	Mo. Towns stoted Divious recently put up some "No Syvimming signs"					
845 846	Ms. Terry stated Rivanna recently put up some "No Swimming signs."					
847	Mr. Gaffney asked if they put any "No Swimming" signs up below the dam.					
848	in. Surfiely asked if they put any Two Swimming Signs up below the dam.					
849	Ms. Terry replied no.					
850						
851	11. CLOSED MEETING					
852	Mr. Gaffney stated there would be a closed session for both boards.					
853						
854	At 4:01 p.m., Dr. Palmer moved that Board of Directors of the Rivanna Water and Sewer					
855 856	Authority enter into a joint closed meeting with the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority Board to discuss confidential personnel matters as permitted by Section $2.2-3711(A)(1)$ of the					

Code of Virginia. The motion was seconded by Mr. O'Connell and passed unanimously (6-0). (Mr. Snook was absent from the vote.)

859

After the closed session, Mr. Krueger called the roll for Water and Sewer board members. Ms.
Hildebrand, Dr. Richardson, Mr. Gaffney, Dr. Palmer, Mr. Richardson, and Mr. O'Connell each stated their presence.

863

Mr. Gaffney stated the boards had just conducted an annual performance review for their
Executive Director, Mr. Mawyer. He noted that Mr. Mawyer has requested no pay increase
because his staff also had no pay increase, and the boards wanted to honor and appreciate that
fact. He stated they also wanted to thank Mr. Mawyer for his leadership in the past year, which
has been exemplary not only through the normal course of business, but through the COVID
challenges of running a water and wastewater treatment authority as well as a solid waste
authority.

870 871

Mr. Gaffney stated as a board, they feel extremely grateful to have such leadership at Rivanna and look forward to another wonderful fiscal year.

874

Mr. O'Connell stated it was a great year.

876

Mr. Mawyer thanked the board members.

878

Mr. Krueger stated they would need to do a certification for the joint closed meetings before moving on to other business.

881 882

At 4:44 p.m., Mr. O'Connell moved to approve the following resolution:

883

Whereas the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority has convened a joint closed meeting with 884 the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority on this date, pursuant to an affirmative recorded vote 885 and in accordance with the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; and 886 whereas, Section 2.2-3712(D) of the Code of Virginia requires a certification by the 887 Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority that such closed meeting was conducted in conformity 888 with Virginia law; now therefore, be it resolved that the Rivanna Water and Sewer 889 Authority hereby certifies that, to the best of each member's knowledge, (1) only public 890 business matters lawfully exempted from open meeting requirements by Virginia law were 891 discussed in the executive meeting to which the certification resolution applies, and (2) only 892 such public business matters as were identified in the motion convening the closed meeting 893 were heard, discussed, or considered by the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority. 894

895 896

The motion was seconded by Dr. Palmer and passed unanimously (6-0). (Mr. Snook was absent from the vote.)

- 899 *12. ADJOURNMENT*
- 900 At 4:45 p.m., Dr. Palmer moved to adjourn the meeting of the Rivanna Water and Sewer
- Authority. The motion was seconded by Mr. O'Connell and passed unanimously (6-0). (Mr.
- 902 Snook was absent from the vote.)

903		
904	Respectfully submitted,	
905		
906		\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \
907		Jan Sint
908		Jeff Richardson
909		/ Secretary - Treasurer
910		