

RWSA BOARD OF DIRECTORS
Minutes of Regular Meeting
February 22, 2022

1

2 3

> A regular meeting of the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority (RWSA) Board of Directors was held on Tuesday, February 22, 2022 at 2:15 p.m. via Zoom.

7 8 9

Board Members Present: Mike Gaffney, Jeff Richardson, Lauren Hildebrand, Gary O'Connell, Ann Mallek, Brian Pinkston, Michael Rogers.

10 11 12

Board Members Absent: none.

13 14

Rivanna Staff Present: Bill Mawyer, Lonnie Wood, Deborah Anama, Andrea Bowles, David Tungate, John Hull, Jennifer Whitaker.

15 16 17

Attorney(s) Present: Carrie Stanton, Valerie Long.

18 19

1. CALL TO ORDER

Mr. Gaffney called the February 22, 2022, regular meeting of the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority to order at 2:16 p.m.

21 22 23

20

2. STATEMENT FROM THE CHAIR

Mr. Gaffney read the following statement aloud:

24 25 26

"This is Mike Gaffney, Chair of the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority. I would like to call the February 22, 2022 meeting of the Board of Directors to order.

27 28 29

30

31

32 33

"Notwithstanding any provision in our Bylaws to the contrary, as permitted under the City of Charlottesville's Continuity of Government Ordinance adopted on March 25, 2020, Albemarle County's Continuity of Government Ordinance adopted on April 15th, 2020, and revised effective October 1, 2020 and Chapter 1283 of the 2020 Acts of the Virginia Assembly effective April 24, 2020, we are holding this meeting by real time electronic means with no board member physically present at a single, central location.

34 35 36

37

38 39

40

41

42

"All board members are participating electronically. This meeting is being held pursuant to the second resolution of the City's Continuity of Government Ordinance and Section 6 of the County's revised Continuity of Government Ordinance. All board members will identify themselves and state their physical location by electronic means during the roll call which we will hold next. I note for the record that the public has real time audio-visual access to this meeting over Zoom as provided in the lawfully posted meeting notice and real time audio access over telephone, which is also contained in the notice. The public is always invited to send questions, comments, and suggestions to the Board through Bill Mawyer, the Authority's Executive Director, at any time."

43 44 45

Mr. Gaffney called the roll.

Ms. Lauren Hildebrand stated she was located at 305 4th Street Northwest in Charlottesville, VA.

48

Ms. Ann Mallek stated she was located at 4826 Advanced Mills Road in Earlysville.

50

Mr. Gary O'Connell stated he was located at the ACSA headquarters at 168 Spotnap Road.

52

Mr. Brian Pinkston stated he was located at 1108 St. Charles Court in Charlottesville, VA.

54

Mr. Jeff Richardson stated he was located at the County Office Building at 401 McIntire Road in Charlottesville.

56 57

Mr. Michael Rogers stated he was located at Charlottesville City Hall on Main Street in Charlottesville.

59 60

Mr. Mike Gaffney stated he was located at 3180 Dundee Road in Earlysville, VA.

62

- Mr. Gaffney stated the following Authority staff members and consultants were joining the meeting
- electronically: Bill Mawyer, Lonnie Wood, David Tungate, Jennifer Whitaker, John Hull, Andrea
- Bowles, Deborah Anama, and attorney Valerie Long of Williams Mullen.

66

Mr. Gaffney stated they were also joined electronically by Ms. Carrie Stanton, Counsel to the Authority.

69 70

- 3. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS BOARD MEETING
- a. Minutes of Regular Board Meeting on January 25, 2022

71 72

Mr. Gaffney asked if there were any comments, questions, or changes to the board minutes and heard none.

75 76

Mr. Richardson moved that the board approve the minutes of the January 25, 2022 meeting. The motion was seconded by Ms. Mallek and passed unanimously (7-0).

77 78 79

- 4. RECOGNITIONS
- There were no recognitions.

81

- 82 5. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT
- Mr. Mawyer stated he wanted to recognize and welcome Mr. Michael Rogers, Interim City
- Manager, to the group. He stated he had an opportunity to talk with Mr. Rogers that morning and
- give him a quick orientation to the world of the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority as well as
- the Rivanna Solid Waste Authority. He stated it was a pleasure to talk with Mr. Rogers, and he
- welcomes him to the team.

88

89 Mr. Rogers thanked Mr. Mawyer.

- Mr. Mawyer stated further, he wanted to recognize a RWSA employee, Ms. Carrie Wingo, who
- achieved a Class II Water Operator license. He stated this is a test Ms. Wingo had to pass

through the state, and this is a part of the "grow our own" program. He stated Ms. Wingo started with RWSA about a year ago as an unlicensed water operator and within less than a year, she has moved up to Class II. He stated the progression through these licenses starts with Class IV with advancements to Class I. it is IV, then III, then II, with only Class I to go. He stated Ms. Wingo is a graduate civil engineer from the University of Virginia, and she has her Engineering-In-Training certification. He stated RWSA is pleased to have Ms. Wingo and congratulates her on improving her Water Operator credentials.

Mr. Mawyer stated RWSA was fortunate to hire Mr. Jeff Southworth to be its new Information Technology Manager. He stated Mr. Southworth comes to RWSA from the Roanoke area, where he had over 20 years of experience in managing corporate IT systems. He welcomed Mr. Southworth to the group.

Mr. Mawyer stated one of RWSA's Strategic Plan goals is to optimize all of its programs and facilities. He stated they started almost four years ago optimizing the water treatment corrosion inhibitor product used. He stated this is a product that RWSA and most utilities use in their water treatment process to prohibit metals and lead from leaching out of pipes and plumbing fixtures into the drinking water. He stated about four years ago, RWSA started a benchtop lab study with a consultant to change from a polyphosphate to an orthophosphate product, which is a more current treatment application.

Mr. Mawyer stated RWSA studied this for quite a while with a consultant and over the last 22 months, they have been in a process of converting all their water treatment plants from using a polyphosphate to an orthophosphate product. He stated this has been a transitional process, in which the water operators started with a 85%-ortho/15%-poly mix for one year at each plant and then switched to 100% orthophosphate.

Mr. Mawyer stated during a treatment change like this one, there is sometimes an issue where water discoloration can occur, and it can stain laundry. He stated RWSA was pleased, however, that they received no complaints or concerns from any issues in transitioning to this new water treatment product. He clarified they never had any lead or metals problem before, but are pleased they are now using an orthophosphate product that is contemporary across the industry.

Mr. Mawyer stated on a slightly less positive note, as the board would recall, RWSA built the Rivanna Sewer Pump Station, which was opened in 2017, and they were required by the Virginia DEQ to have an emergency power generator for that facility. He stated it was inspected and approved by DEQ; however, it was not added to an air control permit that RWSA has for the emergency power generators located at Moores Creek, and they received a proposed consent order and fine for not doing so.

Mr. Mawyer stated there were no environmental impacts from this generator, as it was purely an administrative issue of not having this on their list of emergency power generators. He stated they have added it since then, and they have been working with DEQ. He stated Mr. Henry "Speaker" Pollard from Williams Mullen is now leading the discussion on this with DEQ.

Mr. Mawyer stated Rivanna reviewed the upcoming FY 23 Operating Budget with Ms.

Hildebrand and Mr. O'Connell the week prior, and the budget totals \$41.8 million. He stated this will be presented to the full board next month.

141

- Mr. Mawyer stated Rivanna continues to work on infrastructure and master planning for the
- South Rivanna to Ragged Mountain Reservoir Water Line Project.

144

- Mr. Mawyer stated as they mentioned last month, they are getting the light fixtures replaced at
- Moores Creek with the correct fixtures in order to meet the Albemarle County lighting ordinance
- requirements without exceeding them and spreading light into the neighborhood. He stated they
- hope to have this completed in the next 3-6 months.

149

- Mr. Mawyer stated another project that has been creating discussion is the Central Water Line
- Project. He stated under Rivanna's Strategic Plan goal of communication and collaboration,
- they have presented the project to City Council, the RWSA Board, and the Land Use and
- Environmental Planning Committee (which includes UVA, UVA Foundation, and
- representatives from the City and County). With this information and the recommended route,
- they are now ready to go to the neighborhoods along the route and talk to them about what is
- envisioned for this project.

157

- Mr. Mawyer stated there is a meeting scheduled with the Fry's Spring neighborhood on March 9.
- He stated on February 21, Rivanna received an email from Councilor Payne asking them to give
- a presentation to the Fifeville neighborhood. He stated Rivanna is working with Ms. Hildebrand
- and her staff, and they will give presentations to any of the neighborhoods along the route who
- would like a presentation.

163

- Mr. Mawyer stated there was information in the newspaper that week about whether there is
- enough water supply for the proposed rezoning at the UVA Foundation's research park on 29
- North. He stated when he does the CIP presentation, he would have pictures and graphs, and he
- would be able to talk more with the board about that issue.

168 169

Mr. Mawyer concluded his report and offered to answer any questions.

170

Mr. Gaffney asked if there were comments or questions for Mr. Mawyer.

172

- Mr. Pinkston stated with regard to the DEQ piece and the generator, he knows these things
- happen in terms of keeping up with the administrative side of things. He asked if controls have
- been put in place to prevent this from happening.

- Mr. Mawyer replied yes. He stated a document management system has been implemented that
- will alarm and give Rivanna notice when they need to update permits. He stated this raised their
- awareness that while DEQ told Rivanna they had all the permits and that they could start
- operating the wastewater pump station, in Rivanna's minds, DEQ did not communicate with
- their own air pollution control group in DEQ, nor Rivanna, to advise them to add this to
- Rivanna's generator list. He stated while this does not excuse Rivanna, they wish that DEQ had
- used the opportunities they had to make Rivanna aware of getting the new generator on the air
- pollution control permit list. He stated Rivanna self-reported this, and DEQ did not catch them

doing anything wrong. He stated Rivanna told DEQ that it had been omitted from the report and that they would correct it, which they did.

186 187 188

185

6. ITEMS FROM THE PUBLIC

Mr. Gaffney opened the meeting to the public. 189

190

Mr. Hull stated there were three people with comments.

191 192

- Mr. Matthew Lucas (1966 Buck Mountain Road, Free Union, VA) stated his residence abuts to 193
- the Buck Mountain Reservoir, and that he wanted to make three points. He stated he wanted to 194 thank Mr. Mawyer and Ms. Bowles for working with the Free Union community as they 195
- addressed the Buck Mountain Reservoir. He stated they were thoughtful, listened to concerns, 196
- and made an effort to find solutions. He stated he did not think they had to do that, but he 197
- certainly appreciated it and believed that they neighbors did, too. 198

199 200

Mr. Lucas stated Mr. Bruce Bateman would talk about the Elliot House, and that he would second the position Mr. Bateman would make.

201 202 203

204

205

206

- Mr. Lucas stated he wanted to talk about the proposed boundary line adjustment at his house. He stated he presented on this to the board about one year ago and several times over the past 15 years. He stated the board may recall that his farm was originally 100 acres. He stated the RWSA condemned about 60 acres back in the early 1980s for the reservoir, and that condemnation was
- one of two parcels that was not voluntary. He stated the division of property at the time was 207
- based on the water lines at the height of the water, and this is not what one would do for another 208 purpose. He stated this made sense at the time because it was a larger project, but now, it has 209
- switched to phases of property management. 210

211

- Mr. Lucas stated he has been asking the Authority to do a boundary line adjustment. He stated to 212
- be clear, this is not a subdivision nor the creation of a new lot. He stated this is truly an 213
- adjustment between his parcel and the adjacent lot that the Authority had condemned to clean up 214
- the boundary line. He stated specifically, he is looking to clean up what he is counting as 13 215
- different zigs and zags, into one clean line. He stated some of these are small angles, but it is still 216
- 217 a mess. He stated Mr. Mawyer and Ms. Bowles worked with him to finally clean his, which he
- sincerely appreciates because the boundary backs right up to his barn and outbuildings, and it 218 just does not fit the nature of the property.
- 219

220

- Mr. Lucas stated he wanted to emphasize a couple of points. He stated the first is that this 221
- adjustment has no development utility. He stated there is no road frontage or public access, as it 222
- is mostly low-lying land. He stated he also wanted to emphasize that this is truly conservation 223 land, and his house is from the early 1800s (likely the 1820s). He stated he has put his heart and 224
- soul into thoughtfully restoring this property, and it will end up on a historic registry, which he 225
- has to do. 226

- Mr. Lucas stated the farm land he owns is in conservation easement with Albemarle County. He 228
- stated the County is selective and only takes on properties they deem important and in the 229
- interest of citizens, so this is an important property. 230

Mr. Lucas stated in summary, he thinks the proposed boundary line adjustment is a win for everyone. He stated it is a win for water, as there will be no development. He stated it is a win for the community. He stated it enhances the easement, the viewshed, the history of the property, and the area. He stated he thinks it is a win for the environment, noting he has planted hundreds of trees, he keeps bees, and he keeps invasive species at bay without any pesticides. He stated he

Mr. Bruce Bateman (1933 Buck Mountain Road) stated he looked at the board's agenda and appreciated their time, adding that they had a massive amount of business and that he would not take much of their time. He stated he would like to speak about two things.

Mr. Bateman stated he represents the interest of nine property owners in the vicinity of Buck Mountain Creek, living on Buck Mountain Road and Catterton Road, for which the board would see supporting documents in front of them. He stated the point of his speech was two-fold, with one point being that he thinks the Elliot House deserves consideration for restoration because it is part of the rural character of the area. He stated he knows this presents some challenges, but he thinks preserving and restoring the house would be useful.

Mr. Bateman stated secondly, he knows there had been consideration to sell 2 acres of land that is across Buck Mountain Road from the Elliott House adjacent to his property. He stated he and his wife bought their property in 1986 from the then Water Authority, with the expectation that they would have complete privacy and be water-fronting one day with the reservoir. He stated of course, no one was to foresee this spinymussel issue, which is where they find themselves today.

Mr. Bateman stated if a house was placed in the 2 acres across Buck Mountain Road from the Elliot House, adjoining their property, it would affect their privacy and would also affect the rural character of Free Union in a way that they would not favor.

Mr. Bateman thanked the board for their time and service.

hopes the board will support the proposal.

Mr. Steve Blaine stated he was speaking on behalf of his clients, Mr. and Mrs. Hefner, who own property adjacent to the Buck Mountain Reservoir. He stated they would echo the comments made by others. He stated they appreciate the time and energy that the staff has spent, and they have met with Mr. Mawyer and Ms. Mallek, who had been out to the farm.

Mr. Blaine stated his clients would be very interested in purchasing, if not leasing, adjacent property to preserve and protect the environment. He stated what they are trying to create at their farm (which includes a vineyard) what is called a "terratorium," which is a holistic, biodiverse approach to sustainable farming. He stated their interest is very much in protecting the environment and farming approach to their vineyard.

Mr. Blaine stated his clients would follow the recommendations and follow up with the appropriate officials at the Authority to pursue their interest in the adjoining properties.

7. RESPONSES TO PUBLIC COMMENT 278 279 Mr. Gaffney asked Mr. Mawyer if he had any responses. 280 281 Mr. Mawyer stated it had been a pleasure to meet Mr. Lucas, Dr. Bateman, Mr. Blaine, and the 282 Hefner Family and to take a look at their concerns and suggestions relative to the Buck Mountain 283 Property. He stated as best as they could, Rivanna has tried to accommodate some of their 284 desires in Rivanna's plans. He stated they still may have a ways to go strategically and working 285 out the Virginia Public Procurement requirements on how they lease and consider sales of 286 property. He stated they have all been good to work with and hopefully, Rivanna will find 287 solutions that accommodate everyone's desires. 288 289 Mr. Gaffney stated he knew there would be a presentation on the Buck Mountain Property, and 290 they would have a discussion at that point further on in the meeting. He asked if any board 291 members had comments they wanted to make on this topic in response to Items From the Public. 292 293 Ms. Mallek stated she wanted to thank the staff and neighbors, noting that the Buck Mountain 294 territory is very challenging. She stated Buck Mountain Creek can flash up between 10 to 20 feet 295 higher than it is on a regular day when there is heavy rain. She stated she thinks everyone's 296 attention is very well-focused on the preservation of the water quality, adding that Buck 297 Mountain Creek descends directly into the South Fork Reservoir. She stated she is grateful for 298 the work done so far, and she will look forward to more details later. 299 300 8. CONSENT AGENDA 301 302 a. Staff Report on Finance 303 304 b. Staff Report on Operations 305 306 c. Staff Report on Ongoing Projects 307 308 d. Staff Report on Wholesale Metering 309 310 e. Award Construction Contract and Amend Capital Improvement Plan – MCAWRRF 311 Electrical Infrastructure Improvements – Pyramid Electrical Contractors, LLC 312 313 f. Award Construction Contract and Amend Capital Improvement Plan – Scottsville WTP 314 Lagoon Liners Replacement – Haren Construction Company 315 316 g. Award Construction Contract – FY 22-23 Sanitary Sewer Rehabilitation Contract -317 Insituform Technologies, LLC 318 319

Mr. Gaffney closed Items from the Public.

320	
321	h. Award Term Contract for Professional Engineering Services - Sewer Evaluation,
322	Rehabilitation, and Repairs; Frazier Engineering
323	
324	Mr. Gaffney asked the board if there were any items on the consent agenda they wished to speak
325	to or ask questions about.
326	1
327	Ms. Mallek stated she was confused as to whether her question was on the consent agenda or if it
328	was for later. She asked if the nutrient crest, urban wastewater, and pages of budget items were
329	included in the consent agenda, or if this would be a discussion for later.
330	
331	Mr. Mawyer stated this would be later.
332	The first of the f
333	Ms. Mallek stated she would wait.
334	
335	Mr. Gaffney asked if there were other questions about the consent agenda.
336	
337	Mr. Pinkston stated this was an informational piece for his own edification and he was happy to
338	talk about it afterwards as well. He asked in terms of Term contracts for engineering services, if
339	Rivanna typically has a list of those that they rotate through, or if the term contract is being
340	awarded to a single procurement.
341	
342	Mr. Mawyer replied that this is a single procurement for a specific purpose (sewer line
343	rehabilitation). He stated Rivanna does have an inventory of consultants on term contracts for
344	different specialties such as water treatment and wastewater treatment. He stated they have
345	several term contracts, and they are for a one-year term, and are renewable for four additional
346	years. He stated they do not rotate consultants every year but periodically, they do rotate. He
347	stated the contract on the consent agenda today reached the end of its term and therefore,
348	Rivanna is re-procuring it.
349	
350	Mr. Gaffney stated he did want to make one comment on the consent agenda. He stated there are
351	a number of construction contract awards, and he knows this has confused board members in the
352	past. He stated all of these projects are in the Capital Improvement Plan and budget, and this is
353	just bringing them up individually for award. He stated this is not increasing the budget except
354	where explained in the actual memorandum.
355	
356	
357	Mr. O'Connell moved that the board approve the Consent Agenda. Ms. Hildebrand
358	seconded the motion, which passed unanimously (7-0).
359	
360	
361	9. OTHER BUSINESS
362	
363	a. Presentation and Approval: Buck Mountain Property Management Update; Andrea Bowles,
364	Water Resources Manager

Ms. Andrea Bowles, Water Resources Manager for Rivanna, stated she has been involved in

managing the Buck Mountain property for as long as she has been involved with Rivanna, which

- is about 14 years. She stated she wanted to reiterate what Ms. Mallek stated that it is a tricky
- prospect, as there are lots of interests and considerations to consider. She stated this is part of
- Rivanna's responsibility and why herself, Mr. Mawyer, and other staff are always happy to hear
- from the public. She thanked Mr. Lucas and Mr. Bateman for their attendance.

Ms. Bowles stated she would start off by orienting new members and by reminding longer term members about the project. She presented a map of Albemarle County, noting that the red circle was the location of the Buck Mountain property. She stated the property is about 1,300 acres and is upstream of the South Fork Rivanna Reservoir (seen on the map just below the red circle). She stated it is located north of the City and in a very rural area.

Ms. Bowles stated she would review some of the history of the Buck Mountain property, talk about the Buck Mountain Master Plan that was completed in 2020 by a local firm called LPDA (Land Planning and Design Associates), and talk about some of the property management topics and issues on which that Rivanna has been consulting and working with their consultant. She stated these topics include the Elliot House (which was mentioned during comments from the public), the boundary adjustment and sale of approximately 14 acres that Mr. Lucas mentioned, existing and new leases, a pond that Rivanna owns on the property, and the Allen Farm Lane and Bridge that was brought up to the board in the past.

Ms. Bowles presented a zoomed-in picture of the 1,300 acres of Buck Mountain property. She stated Buck Mountain Road (Route 665) cuts straight across the property. She stated Route 667 (Catterton Road) goes from Buck Mountain Road to the north endpoint indicated on the map. She stated the Elliot House is located at the red dot on the map. She indicated the location of the pond. She stated the bridge is located in the northern part, on Allen Farm Lane.

Ms. Bowles stated she would talk about the property in general. She stated Rivanna acquired 38 parcels in the Buck Mountain Creek Watershed in 1984 to 1987. She stated there were two parcels that were taken through condemnation, as Mr. Lucas suggested, and the rest of them were bought by Rivanna to build the reservoir. She stated Rivanna purchased 1,150 acres with agreement of the sellers, and two condemnations at a total of about 150 acres.

Ms. Bowles stated the parcels range from 1 to 160 acres in size. She stated the total acreage is 1,314. She stated the property cost the Authority about \$6.95 million.

Ms. Bowles stated what eventually stopped the project from proceeding was that a stream survey showed the presence of the James spinymussel, which is a state and federally listed endangered species. She stated any development of a dam and flooding would impact that species, so a reservoir could not be built there.

Ms. Bowles stated Rivanna has held the land since that time, and after the property was bought, much of it was leased back to people who were the original owners, as well as to others. She stated Rivanna has continued the leasing program and is thankful for the use of the land by

leasees as they help police the property. She stated it is a large area, and it is difficult for Rivanna to monitor.

Ms. Bowles stated when Rivanna built the Ragged Mountain Reservoir in 2014, they had impacts to streams and wetlands that needed to be mitigated. She stated they looked for a large piece of land (i.e., Buck Mountain) that they could do some stream restoration and buffer enhancements. She stated the upper picture on the screen showed where they put in slope rocks to help hold the stream in place and provide stability to help with the water quality of the area.

Ms. Bowles stated regarding the buffer enhancement, Rivanna preserved about 600 acres along 80,000 linear feet of streams. She stated they planted 93 acres with over 40,500 trees. She stated they then placed the buffer areas in deed restrictions.

Ms. Bowles stated that the master plan was completed in 2020 to support Rivanna's Strategic Plan goals. She stated it was an evaluation of the uses of the property with respect to the vision, mission, and values of Rivanna. She stated environmental stewardship plays a part as well as operational optimization, the efficient use of resources, infrastructure and master planning, and what they will do with the property in the future.

Ms. Bowles stated one topic she wanted to address was the Elliot House. She presented a picture of the house, noting that the house was built in the late 19th century and had some additions in 1905. She stated it likely had other restoration to it since that time. She stated as one could see in the picture, the house was not in good shape. She stated part of the ceiling is falling, and there is black mold in different areas.

Ms. Bowles stated Rivanna has been in touch with the Virginia Department of Historic Resources, which sent a letter to state that the house is a valuable resource that should be preserved, if feasible. She stated she and Mr. Mawyer reached out to VDHR to have a discussion and find out exactly what this means. She stated what they found out is that the Elliot House is a historic resource because it is older than 50 years. She stated it is not in Rivanna's mission to preserve structures or lease a house. She stated they used to lease it, but this stopped in 2011.

Ms. Bowles stated there are currently security issues, with a break-in back in December where Rivanna had to have the police and maintenance staff come to board up the house.

Ms. Bowles stated there were a couple of options to present to the board. She stated the first was to consider selling a 2.2-acre parcel with the house, which is estimated by LPDA to be worth about \$325,000. She stated they feel that this option would provide the best opportunity for preservation, and with it not being Rivanna's mission to do this that hopefully, putting it out for public procurement would help the right person to come along who would want to restore it.

Ms. Bowles stated they also have the option of demolishing the house and retaining the property.

Ms. Bowles stated Rivanna worked with a surveyor, Roger Ray and Associates, to parse off part of the parcel. She stated the entire parcel that the house sits on is 9 acres, and as shown in the area in red on the map, a 2.2-acre lot (along with the house) could be put up for sale. She stated

this does not include any of the deed-restricted area, nor the stream in the back of the property.

She stated all the hatch-marked area in the back on the map would remain under Rivanna's ownership.

Ms. Bowles stated with respect to the proposed sale of the property, looking at the map, the parcels outlined in white are those that are owned by Mr. Lucas. She stated the parcel behind it (29-36A) is the one that he is interested in having a boundary line adjustment for. She stated the dark line on the map that runs across (29-36A) is where the new boundary would be if this were to go through. She stated the hatched area on the map is the part of the parcel that Mr. Lucas would like to purchase. She stated he has stated that the purpose is to provide a buffer to current parcels and conserve the 14 acres.

Ms. Bowles pointed out that the funds from these sales would support the Buck Mountain property maintenance expenses, which she would discuss in more detail later.

Ms. Bowles stated one thing staff has talked with the board about in the past is offering up new leases. She stated that for a long time, Rivanna has not opened any of the property for additional leasing. She stated they have done some analysis and feel that they will start small by bringing the four parcels in white on the map into consideration for the issuing of a two-year lease. She stated it is about 106 wooded acres, noting that all of these parcels are land-locked, with the exception of 29-35D. She stated Rivanna feels that it would need to be leased as a group, and whoever leases it could enter the parcels from 29-35D off the public road.

Ms. Bowles stated Rivanna is in the process of renewing existing leases. She stated as some board members may recall, when LPDA did their study, they determined that Rivanna was not offering lease rates at market value and that the rates should be increased. She stated that on the map, the blue parcels are leased, and the gold parcels are not leased. She stated the parcels that are currently leased were leased at the rate of \$10 for pasture, \$3 for forested, and \$0 for deed-restricted area. She stated this resulted in an annual revenue of \$1,900.

Ms. Bowles stated the new lease rates come from what was recommended by LPDA. She stated LPDA gave them a range, and the rates shown in the right-hand column on the screen are those that Rivanna wants to use now. She stated they are also including an administrative fee to help with the personnel costs involved with managing the leases. She stated this would raise the overall annual revenue to approximately \$8,500.

Ms. Bowles stated one of the issues she wanted to bring up is the pond that is owned by Rivanna. She stated the map shown earlier shows the pond as being in the northwestern section of Rivanna's property. She stated Rivanna needs to get an up-to-date operating certificate for the dam itself, which will involve some required studies, and it has to be authorized through the VA Department of Conservation and Recreation. She stated Rivanna feels that the pond is a liability for them, and it requires regular maintenance, mowing, and clearing out of the outlet structure.

Ms. Bowles stated what Rivanna plans to do with this is complete the DCR-required studies they need of the dam. She stated this has an estimated cost of \$50,000 or more. She stated any sale of property would help to fund this type of maintenance.

Ms. Bowles stated in the future, Rivanna would like to work with the previous landowner to consider exchange of the pond for an access easement across her property, down to some of the lower lands by Piney Creek so that these can be accessed when needed.

506 507

505

Ms. Bowles stated the last topic was property management of the Allen Farm Lane and Bridge. 508 She stated this is a concrete structure that goes over the very upper part of Buck Mountain Creek 509 that Rivanna owns. She stated all of the residents along the road (about 20, perhaps) use this 510 bridge, and they have spoken to staff at length about their concerns. She stated Rivanna had a 511 legal analysis done to find out whether the residents have the right to use the bridge, and it 512 concluded that they do have a prescriptive easement to use the road and bridge.

513

Ms. Bowles stated after the mitigation plan has been deemed compliant by DEQ (which should happen in 2024), Rivanna would plan to offer land and the bridge for sale to the public.

516 517

514

515

Ms. Bowles stated that staff were requesting the board to authorize the Executive Director and 518 staff to proceed with legal, financial, and procurement processes to offer the 2.2-acre parcel and 519 Elliot House for sale, offer about 14 acres for sale to an adjacent neighbor, offer a 2-year lease 520 for the new properties put up, and renew 2-year property leases at the new market rate with all of 521 the existing leaseholders. 522

523 524

Ms. Bowles asked the board if there were questions.

525

Ms. Mallek asked what the process was of validating the value of the Elliot House as provided. 526 She stated this will require an equal amount in addition to fix it up, and she wants to make sure 527 they are not raising expectations on the part of the board that it will bring in a quarter of a million 528 dollars when this may be completely unachievable. 529

530

Ms. Bowles replied this was a number that was put out by LPDA, who based it on regional costs. 531 She stated this is definitely something to look into in more detail to see exactly what they should 532 be expecting on the sale. She stated this was the most recent estimate they had. 533

534

535 Ms. Mallek stated this was from one source, then, and not compared to appraised value and the like, so this was a beginning point. 536

537

Ms. Bowles agreed, it was from one source. 538

539

Ms. Mallek stated everything between the Elliot House and Buck Mountain Creek is almost 540 vertical, and she wants to make sure that the property line proposed is up on the flat so that the 541 new owner, whoever it may be, does not have access to any of the vertical slope and be able to 542 strip it of its trees, which are preventing it from eroding. She asked Ms. Bowles to help her with 543 that detail. 544

- Ms. Bowles presented the map. She agreed that at the eastern edge, it does start to get very steep. 546 547 She stated there is another parcel adjacent to that before it gets to Buck Mountain Road, and she
- believes that when Mr. Brian Ray did this plat, he picked the most reasonable area for a house. 548

She stated staff would look at this to see if the increase in slope was closer than she thought it was.

551

Ms. Mallek stated that on the map, it looked as if the red line was at the street, and it clearly needs to be back quite a ways if keeping the slope undisturbed. She stated she wanted to point this out for future work.

555

Ms. Mallek stated regarding the leases, she is all in favor of updating the cost of leases, as farmers who use it to bale and for graze land pay almost nothing for it, which carries through with a lot of other mismanagement. She stated she is grateful that Rivanna is digging into this.

559

Ms. Mallek stated there was a comment about how access to the land-locked parcels would have to be provided to the public through some adjacent property. She asked Ms. Bowles if she could go over this again.

563

Ms. Bowles stated Parcels 29-33F, 29-33C, and 29-36A do not have any access to a public road. She stated Parcel 29-35D does, so Rivanna proposes to offer all four of these parcels as a group to allow for someone to access the other three parcels through 29-35D. She stated they need to either let them cross over Rivanna property, unless it is an adjacent landowner (like some of those who are interested) to access it from their own property.

569

Ms. Mallek stated it was then not necessarily going to someone who would then be coming in off of Catterton Road.

572

573 Mr. Mawyer clarified they would be coming in off Buck Mountain Road. He stated 29-35D has 574 frontage on Buck Mountain Road, which gives them public road access, and then access to the 575 other three parcels from that point.

576

Ms. Mallek stated she did not realize that the parcel that had the white house on it now was owned by Rivanna. She stated this, then, is the access point.

579

Ms. Bowles asked Ms. Mallek if she was saying there was a house on 29-35D.

581

Ms. Mallek stated it could be the wrong parcel from her looking at it.

583

Ms. Bowles stated she knew where it was. She stated it is on the small blue triangular parcel.

585

Ms. Mallek stated the driveway to the Elliot House was still to the east of this.

587

Ms. Bowles stated yes and that it was two parcels to the east.

- Ms. Mallek stated the access to Allen Farm Lane would be the same thing, albeit they were talking about this being in the future. She stated she is glad Rivanna is considering doing a
- decent bridge because having every UPS truck going through the stream every day is a disgrace.
- She stated as someone who is in the business of clean water, the fastest they can get this to stop,
- the better off they are. She stated this creek used to be a very high-quality stream and had some

of the best rankings in the County through the DEQ and Stream Watch. She stated the last time she was there, it had been degraded quite a bit. She stated she is very grateful they are looking at this.

Mr. Pinkston asked to return to the list of recommendations at the end of the presentation. He stated he had a couple of general questions. He stated it did not sound like anything Rivanna was proposing would go against the stated values of RWSA in terms of maintaining whatever waterflows in place while changing these leases and doing the other things that were noted. He asked if their considered opinion was that there would be no deleterious impacts by these requested actions.

Ms. Bowles stated this was correct.

Mr. Pinkston stated he personally thinks that whatever liabilities they can excise themselves from – particularly the old house – make a lot of sense. He stated he did not see anything on the requested action list about the pond or the bridge.

Ms. Bowles replied that these are for future decisions, and Rivanna is just presenting some information about what their plans for the future are at this time. She stated much more research needs to be done to look into this, so they were not asking the board to make any decisions about these properties at this time.

Mr. Gaffney stated he was not sure he understood some of the comments about the dam and bridge. He stated he knew this was early and that the matter would evolve over time. He asked if they were thinking about exchanging the pond site with a property owner after they do the DCR-required studies, but before anything happens to the dam, and they leave the property owner to repair the dam.

Ms. Bowles replied that Rivanna is currently on track to do the studies that are necessary to find out what they need to do with the dam. She stated they are taking these first steps so they can better identify the requirements.

Mr. Mawyer stated they would determine what the extent of the repairs might be and then, they will incorporate this thinking into a strategy, whether it is a sale or an exchange and who will complete the repairs.

Mr. Gaffney stated he had a similar question regarding Allen Farm Lane and Bridge. He asked if they are looking at potentially selling off a chunk of that property in the northern part, which includes the bridge, and if they are selling it to a future homeowner with a division right and giving them the responsibility to improve the bridge, or if this is something Rivanna will study to see if they can perhaps sell the lot with a division right and use this money to pay for a new bridge.

638 Mr. Mawyer replied that Rivanna would like to not own the bridge and be responsible for any 639 cost to repair it. He stated the strategy is to carve off enough of an attractive parcel to encourage 640 someone to purchase the parcel and the bridge. He reminded the board this is several years into

the future, and they are not sure exactly how large this parcel would be, but it will be probably 641

10 or more acres to make it worthwhile for someone to take on the bridge.

642 643

- Mr. Mawyer stated the bridge is a challenge because as Ms. Bowles explained, it is a private 644
- road, Allen Farm Lane, that crosses a bridge that Rivanna owns, but they cannot stop people 645
- from using the road or the bridge. He stated they have been researching with Williams Mullen on 646
- any kind of solution as to how they can transfer the bridge to another party. He stated the 647
- strategy at the moment is to carve off enough property to make it attractive so that someone 648
- would take on the bridge and own the property. He stated they are not planning to consider the 649
- sale of this property until they are finished with Virginia DEQ requirements including the 650
- invasive species monitoring in the deed-restricted area, which will be until 2024. 651

652 653

- Ms. Bowles added that Rivanna has had two structural inspections of the bridge one in 2005,
- and one in the past three years. She stated the bridge is structurally sound, and there are only 654
- minor repairs needed. 655

656

- Mr. Mawyer stated there is no imminent failure of the bridge, but it will eventually need 657
- maintenance. 658

659

Mr. Gaffney stated it could also need a new bridge. 660

661

- Mr. Mawyer stated this was correct. He stated it does not have any guardrails, and the water level 662
- (as Ms. Mallek mentioned) rises rapidly from time to time. He stated they have concerns about 663
- the bridge on Allen Farm Lane, but other than selling it, they have not found any existing 664
- agreement which transfers this responsibility to anyone else. 665

666

667 Mr. Mawyer asked Ms. Long if she had anything to add to the discussion, noting that she had done all the research on the legal issues. 668

- 669
- Ms. Long stated she did not have anything to add. She stated the presentation covered the topic 670
- well. She noted her recollection and understanding is that the bridge was already in place when 671
- the Authority acquired the property. She stated it was not that they put the bridge in place. She 672
- 673 stated replacing the bridge will be a challenge financially, given the topography of the area and
- the fact that it goes over a creek and some sensitive areas. She stated otherwise, everything was 674 covered.

675

676

- Mr. Mawyer stated one item of note is that for Rivanna to sell any property, they need to get an 677
- agreement from their bond trustees, as Mr. Lonnie Wood (Finance Director) recently reminded 678
- 679 him. He stated this is why the transfers and offerings for sale will not happen immediately if the
- board decides to approve them. He stated there are other steps to work through before that 680

681

682

- Mr. O'Connell stated he wanted to thank the staff for their ongoing efforts. He stated he was not 683
- sure how many times they had been before the board, but it was numerous in addition to working 684
- with the community members who have a wide variety of opinions about this property. He stated 685
- he appreciated all the efforts. 686

process starts.

Mr. O'Connell stated he had a question about the house itself. He asked how they structure a procurement so that it is an incentive for a renovation and not just a sale. He asked if there is a way to legally do this.

Ms. Long replied that the Authority has the discretion to add or create any terms of the sale that it deems appropriate. She stated it could, for example, require as a condition of any contract that the house be restored, maintained, or at least not demolished.

Ms. Mallek stated she thinks one possible condition is that they need to be aware of the price put on it to make it possible for someone to restore it.

Ms. Mallek stated going back to Allen Farm Lane, the picture in the presentation showed a very nice bridge, which looks expensive and is much bigger than the one that she put in on her farm for \$30,000 after the flood in 2018. She stated the biggest environmental crisis is the fact that there is a sign there that tells drivers of vehicles over the size of a Prius to drive through the stream. She stated there must be a way to make this stop, and she was not sure if there were other options. She stated there is an end to Catterton Road coming off of Free Union Road that could be a way for truckdrivers to come down that way to bring packages to people.

Ms. Mallek stated she hoped Rivanna would put their minds to that question and figure out another way in the next shorter time period to stop this constant diversion down the sluiceway seen in the picture. She stated dozens of big trucks go through this stream every day.

Ms. Hildebrand stated she believed Mr. Lucas addressed the proposed sale of the 14 acres and mentioned that the current boundary had a lot of nooks and crannies that he was trying to straighten out and yet, she was curious as to how the picture on the slide matched up.

She stated if Rivanna moves forward with this, the first thing they will do is survey.

Mr. Mawyer stated Mr. Lucas was talking about his current property boundary with all the turns.

Mr. Gaffney stated the existing property line looks like a snake.

Ms. Bowles stated the black part on the upper side of the hatched area would be the new property line. She stated the white line just below it is Mr. Lucas' current boundary to the back of his four or five parcels. She asked if this was clear.

Ms. Hildebrand replied yes.

Mr. Mawyer stated that as Ms. Bowles mentioned, Rivanna will have a surveyor work out the
exact new property line because they want to make sure they maintain an elevation for the
envisioned reservoir and not sell property that would be part of what was envisioned, in case the
reservoir would ever be constructed. He stated this is the concept of how they would make this
boundary line adjustment.

Ms. Hildebrand thanked staff, adding that she appreciates all their help with this as she knows 733 734

this deals with many different people. She stated she could tell this was well thought out.

735

Mr. Gaffney stated there was a requested action authorizing the Executive Director and staff and 736 asked if a board member would like to make a motion. 737

738 739

Ms. Mallek moved that the RWSA Board authorize the Executive Director to proceed with the legal, financial, and procurement processes as were shown. Mr. Richardson seconded the motion, which passed unanimously (7-0).

741 742 743

744

740

- b. Presentation and Approval: Introduction of the FY 2023 2027 Capital Improvement Plan; Bill Mawyer, Executive Director
- Mr. Mawyer stated as with most programs RWSA manages, they are guided by their Strategic 745
- Plan. The CIP is based on the Strategic Plan goal of Infrastructure and Master Planning, to plan, 746
- deliver, and maintain dependable infrastructure in a financially responsible manner. He stated 747
- this is how the five-year CIP is developed. He stated it includes 41 projects that total just over 748
- \$205 million. He stated it includes about \$122 million for the urban water program 749
- improvements, \$44 million to urban wastewater infrastructure, and \$38 million to non-urban 750
- (i.e., Scottsville, Glenmore, and other facilities). He stated they would finance this in small part 751
- with cash, and with new anticipated debt of \$123 million over the five years. 752

753 754

755

756

757

758

Mr. Mawyer stated there are a lot of assets that are managed by Rivanna, with five water supply reservoirs, six water treatment plants, four wastewater treatment plants, wastewater and water pump stations, 68 miles of major water piping, and 44 miles of major wastewater piping. He stated they diversify their portfolio with a stormwater impoundment at the Lickinghole Creek Basin. He presented a picture of the Moores Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant. Mr. Mawyer stated capital assets are \$390 million.

759 760 761

762

763

764

765 766 Mr. Mawyer stated in comparing this CIP to last year's, it is a \$33 million increase, and this is largely because FY 27 came into the rolling five-year CIP and added \$17.5 million. He stated there were budget increases, as well as some additional project costs added into the existing CIP totaling \$33 million. He stated they added one small project to help them transfer wastewater sludge from the thickeners to the digestors, as Rivanna felt this was important to complete in the near term. He stated they did close out or complete 10 projects totaling \$19.3 million. He stated these were the major changes from last year to the current proposed CIP.

767 768 769

770

771

772

773

774

Mr. Mawyer stated the goal was to keep the charge increases to the Service Authority and to the City consistent with what Rivanna forecasted last year, despite the significant cost increases from inflation that everyone is experiencing. He stated in order to do that, one of the few things Rivanna can do is to defer projects, in part or in whole. He stated they moved out 24 projects that were either in the existing CIP last year or proposed in the draft CIP for this year. He stated these were 24 projects totaling about \$17 million that Rivanna deferred in order to keep the charge increases similar to what they had predicted last year.

- Mr. Mawyer stated the charge increases proposed to the City for the five years will be between 777 6.8% and 8.3%. He stated these charge increases to the Service Authority are estimated to be 778
- between 8.1% and 9.9% over the 5-year period, similar to the previously forecast charges, except 779

for FY27.

Mr. Mawyer stated the green line of data on the slide was an estimation that if they are able to get the Natural Resources Conservation Service federal grant for the Beaver Creek Reservoir Project, it will reduce the Service Authority's charges to what is shown in green. He stated this will be good news, and Rivanna is optimistic they will receive the grant for Rivanna and ACSA.

Mr. Mawyer stated regarding Rivanna's 20-year history, one could see a trend. He stated \$205 million would be the highest budget, although in 2013 at \$201 million and with time value of money, 2013 is the highest five-year CIP over the 20 year period. He stated they are on a trajectory to get projects completed since 2020, when they had a low of \$97 million. He stated they are trying to get the projects completed in a timely manner so they have adequate facilities for the community.

Mr. Mawyer stated in parallel, outstanding debt continues to grow. He stated Rivanna has \$204 million in debt now. He stated they make payments and debt comes down, but as they borrow every year or two, the debt goes up.

Mr. Mawyer stated looking with a longer lens, in the second five years, (FY28-32) Rivanna projects a \$145-million CIP. He stated the third five years (FY 33-37) is \$172 million, with a total over the next 15 years of \$523 million in 2022-level funding. He stated this is a significant CIP, but the mission of Rivanna is to provide the major water and wastewater infrastructure for the City and the County, which is what the CIP represents.

Mr. Mawyer stated there are major programs of upgrading the water treatment plants. He stated Rivanna looks for reliability and redundancy in many of their projects. He stated they then have operations, maintenance, and safety projects totaling \$18 million over the next five years. He stated regulations drive many of the projects, to keep wastewater in the pipe with the flow equalization tank under construction in Crozet, improving the amount of water that they can pass across the Beaver Creek Dam through the spillway is a major project, capacity to make sure there is enough water for the community, as well as maintaining the wastewater piping system. He stated he would later mention a project to renovate and expand the administrative building at Moores Creek.

Mr. Mawyer stated the majority of the large projects are from the Community Water Supply
Program. He stated Rivanna continues to renovate the South Rivanna and Observatory Water
Treatment Plants, and those should be completed in 2023. He stated the Ragged Mountain
Reservoir water line needs to be replaced so that they can convey untreated water from the
largest reservoir, Ragged Mountain, to the Observatory Treatment Plant.

Mr. Mawyer stated the Central Water Line is a major treated water distribution pipe that is needed to get more water out of the Observatory Treatment Plant and to strengthen the water infrastructure in the City as well as in the County.

Mr. Mawyer presented a map, noting that the purple line is the South Fork Rivanna Reservoir to Ragged Mountain Reservoir Pipeline, which will help Rivanna fill the Ragged Mountain

Reservoir. He stated lastly, Project #6 is to raise the water level in the Ragged Mountain Reservoir.

Mr. Mawyer stated going back to his earlier comments, Rivanna received a proposal for a rezoning at the UVA Research Park on 29 North, and Rivanna had spoken to the board in December about the northern area utilities plan. He stated in general, there is about 12.8 mgd available to them now with the water treatment infrastructure, and the community uses about 10.4 mgd, giving them a 2.4-mgd delta that they have to work within for growth. He stated when Rivanna has a project at the UVAF Research Park, which is at the extreme boundary of the water distribution infrastructure, and they require a full buildout with 0.5 mgd, this takes about 20% of the available capacity.

Mr. Mawyer stated Rivanna plans for normal growth within the CIP, but with a rezoning (which is a different level of density and adds a 0.5-mgd demand to the system), they have to look at this within the timeline they have for these water supply projects which, as noted, would not be completed until 2033. He stated they may be able to serve UVAF Research Park in a phased development approach for building 1,400 units and other development. He stated there must be raw water supply, finished water treatment, and finished water distribution in order to get water to that location as well as to any customer in the City or County.

Mr. Mawyer stated the Authority and the community (through the Community Water Supply Plan in 2012) approved creating a larger reservoir at Ragged Mountain, and the new dam has been completed. He stated they know, however, that the watershed for Ragged Mountain is insufficient and even now, water is piped to Ragged Mountain Reservoir from the Sugar Hollow Reservoir. He stated the Community Water Supply Plan stated they needed to stop flowing water from Sugar Hollow and pump it from South Rivanna to fill Ragged Mountain. He stated it was decided 10 years ago that this would be part of the Community Water Supply Plan.

Mr. Mawyer stated they are in the process of acquiring all the easements, but as indicated in the CIP, this essential water supply project would not be completed until 2033. He stated that on the water treatment side, they are in good shape because the South Rivanna and Observatory WTP renovations will be finished in a year or two. He stated then, they will need distribution of water with the Central Water Line Project to help Rivanna get water out of Observatory WTP and distributed throughout the urban system.

Mr. Mawyer stated when there is a drought and water stops flowing over the South Rivanna Reservoir Dam, production is shifted from the South Rivanna WTP to the Observatory WTP. He stated today, Rivanna makes about 8 mgd at the South Rivanna WTP and about 2 mgd at the Observatory WTP, but when water stops flowing over the dam at the South Rivanna Reservoir, production is shifted to more of a 50/50 split with 5 mgd or more produced at the Observatory WTP. He stated when the Observatory renovation is completed, Rivanna will have the ability to produce about 10 mgd at Observatory. He stated they must, however, be able to pipe it throughout the urban system including to the northern perimeter of the water system where the UVAF Research Park is located. Collectively, these water supply projects are critical to ensure our community has enough drinking water during the next drought.

- Mr. Mawyer stated they are currently building the Airport Road Pump Station, which will help
- Rivanna convey water to the research park, NGIC, and the northern area. He stated they have a
- holistic approach for infrastructure but it will be 11 years until it is completed. He stated
- Rivanna's concern is if new projects come to the forefront through rezonings or other major
- redevelopments that require millions of gallons of water, the timing of these projects must
- coordinate with the timing of Rivanna's projects. He stated if development builds far in advance
- of Rivanna making the infrastructure improvements, Rivanna could either not have enough water
- for those projects or other projects located in the urban area.

Mr. Mawyer stated Rivanna is talking to the UVA Foundation about this issue now. He stated he is sure this will be worked out, but this is a planning initiative that Rivanna talked to the board about in December.

884 885

Mr. O'Connell asked what the timing is for the rezoning consideration and if it was actually scheduled in front of the Planning Commission.

886 887

Ms. Whitaker replied that she believed it did have a schedule, but she did not know what this was offhand. She stated given the number of comments that has come back on it, she thinks the Foundation still has at least one, if not two, resubmittals to make to Albemarle County.

891

Mr. Mawyer stated he believed it was an official application for a rezoning, and Rivanna's question back to them is about the timing is of them building 1,400 units.

894 895

896

897

898

899

900

901

Ms. Mallek stated back in the 1990s, she remembers the community went completely to pieces when the project was first rezoned, calling for the use of 600,000 gallons per day. She stated first of all, they did not have this, and then came the drought of record. She stated she hopes that someone – whether Rivanna staff, County Planning staff, or UVA Foundation – will be able to create a matrix that shows what they are using now and how it impacts the original 600,000, and if the extra 0.5 mgd they are asking for is over and above the 600,000. She stated these are all questions that there are no answers to yet, to her knowledge, and she looks forward to hearing this.

902 903 904

905

906

Mr. Pinkston stated he would second Ms. Mallek's comments, which he believed were spot on. He stated he supports the new affordable housing initiative that UVA wants to do. He stated he thinks it is fantastic, and the timing that Mr. Mawyer mentioned is very important for the Foundation to understand.

907 908

Mr. Pinkston stated his next question would perhaps be better discussed in another venue, but he 909 910 was interested in knowing what the incremental cost is to this system to be able to get water to the new development. He stated he was not sure if this made sense, but if they have a pocket of 911 affordable housing that is a headline project and a wonderful thing UVA is doing north of the 912 City, and it requires a dedicated trunkline feed to that, he thinks understanding the cost of that is 913 important. He stated he knew Mr. Mawyer was not saying there is a network of piping and 914 pumping north of the Rivanna that would feed this, but clearly, they are talking about more than 915 just local distribution means to feed that development. 916

Mr. Pinkston stated he thinks the board needs to understand what those costs are and make sure that this is understood by the Foundation. He stated this may be more of a question for a different venue, but knowing the answer to that would be helpful.

Mr. Mawyer clarified that regarding the 1,400 units, his understanding is that a small percentage of those will be designated for affordable housing, but the 1,500 affordable housing units mentioned in the newspaper are in addition to most of the 1,400 proposed for the UVA Research Park.

Mr. Mawyer stated Rivanna's message back in December was that there are a number of large projects being talked about in the Urban Water System area and they are all good projects. He stated Rivanna's role, however, is to make sure there is enough raw and treated water to serve these projects. They have a plan to provide adequate capacity (supply, treatment, and distribution) for projects that are envisioned within existing comprehensive plans and zonings. He stated when those plans and zonings change, Rivanna's infrastructure plan has to change with them.

Mr. Mawyer stated Rivanna's message is that they may have to do these projects sooner, which may be a cost impact to the customers of the City and Service Authority which, if the board recalls back in 2018 when they first talked about the project from Rivanna to Ragged and looked at a number of schedule alternatives, everyone agreed that they were looking at a time when Rivanna's bonding capacity created some availability to build that project without unduly impacting the rates of the Service Authority and City.

Mr. Mawyer stated if one were to suggest speeding up the Rivanna-to-Ragged Pipeline a few years so that instead of finishing in 2033, they could finish in 2030, when they roll the costs forward in their charges, the rates he just showed the board will no longer be adequate, and those charges would increase.

Ms. Mallek stated she wanted to jump back momentarily to things on the "postponed" list. She stated she is hopeful that Rivanna is being very careful in assessing the current state affairs for things like the water tanks that are not going to get their paint jobs inside. She stated the old story goes, "For want of a nail, the kingdom is lost," and she wants to make sure they are doing absolutely everything maintenance-wise up to date as needed so a structure like this would not end up costing so much more.

Mr. Mawyer thanked Ms. Mallek. He stated he would offer that regarding the CIP next year, there may be more discussion about deferring projects, and Rivanna may talk more with the board about the balance between affordability and infrastructure maintenance. He stated this is what Rivanna is trying to balance with Mr. O'Connell and Ms. Hildebrand, and they want to make sure they are doing their part to provide and maintain the infrastructure our community needs.

Mr. Mawyer stated that Rivanna is building the Airport Road Water Pump Station, which will help convey water to the north, to Hollymead and the 29 North area. He stated this is currently under construction.

Mr. Mawyer stated the board approved and awarded the construction contract to do major electrical upgrades and replacements at Moores Creek.

Mr. Mawyer stated if Rivanna can get one more easement from UVA Foundation, they plan to bid and start construction on part of the South Rivanna to Ragged Pipeline that will go from the north end of Birdwood Golf Course, under Route 250 in between what used to be Piedmont Tractor, and under Garth Road and the railroad track, to have this project under construction this summer.

Mr. Mawyer stated the Beaver Creek Dam Pump Station and Piping Modifications is a huge project in the program. Rivanna expects to build a labyrinth spillway with a bridge going across it on Browns Gap Turnpike. He stated they have to move the raw water pump station and put in a new raw water pipe from the pump station to the Crozet Water Treatment Plant. He stated this is a huge project for which Rivanna is trying to get federal funding.

Mr. Mawyer stated to improve Rivanna's resiliency and redundancy, there is one pipe that goes from the South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant to the north under the South Fork of the Rivanna River, and they propose to add a second pipe to give them two pipes and more confidence in their ability to get water north of the South Rivanna River. He stated that especially when the North Rivanna Water Treatment Plant is decommissioned in the future, they must reliably get water from the South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant to the northern area of the Urban System.

Mr. Mawyer stated they talked about the Central Water Line Project, and they continue to talk to the community about that project. He stated the board had received a presentation about this project in January.

Mr. Mawyer stated lastly, Rivanna is proposing a renovation and addition to their administration building. He stated this project, which he calls their "tennis ball project," was in the CIP back in 2019, to be completed in 2023. He stated it then got pushed to 2026 and recently, it was pushed to 2029. He stated Rivanna feels now, however, that there are extensive repairs needed for the trailers, as the roofs leak, and the wooden structures in and around the trailers are about 15 years old, as is the entire setup. He stated it has moved far past being a temporary setup. He stated the main administration building has not been renovated to any significant degree since it was built in the 1980s. He stated the IT room in the picture shown on the slide shows just how cluttered and insufficient the IT Support spaces are. He stated the laboratory has not been renovated since it was built 40 years ago.

Mr. Mawyer stated Rivanna is proposing a 12,000-square-foot renovation and a 14,000-square-foot addition, in the lower parking lot, with a budget of \$8.5 million. He stated this project was moved back to a 2025 - 2026 completion timeframe to accommodate the needs that they have.

Mr. Mawyer stated the FY 23-27 CIP includes 41 projects with a total cost of about \$205 million. He stated one could see on the slide the rate charge increases that Rivanna would need to charge to the City and Service Authority to support these projects.

- Mr. Mawyer noted that Rivanna is trying their best to get any of the federal funding the board
- reads or hears about. He stated they have requested funding from Albemarle County, and they
- are exploring other federal and state grants as best they can to try to get federal dollars to help
- with the infrastructure projects.

- Mr. Mawyer asked if the board had any questions, adding that he was not asking the board to approve the plan today, as the presentation was only informational. He stated that in May, he will
- ask for approval for the operating and CIP budgets.

1018

Ms. Mallek stated something for Mr. Mawyer to write on his list is that when they are doing the second crossing of the Rivanna, she assumes they are drilling underneath the river with the pipe.

1021

1022 Mr. Mawyer stated yes.

1023

- Ms. Mallek stated that on the north side of the river, between Rio Mills and the river, at least half
- of the land there is wetlands that is full of some threatened and rare salamanders that need the
- ponds that are there. She stated if they stay far enough to the west, closer to the bridge
- connection, the ponds are to the east, closer to the Route 29 bridge, and she did not want Rivanna
- to be surprised when they find this out later.

1029

1030 Mr. Mawyer thanked Ms. Mallek.

1031

Mr. Pinkston asked if the rate increases would be year on year.

1033

- Mr. Mawyer replied yes. He stated they are charge increases, so there is a charge to the City and
- to the Service Authority, and this is what Rivanna estimates the percentage of the charge increase
- would be each year. He stated they try to predict five years so they have a vision of what is
- 1037 coming.

1038

Mr. Pinkston asked if this is a component of the rate increase due to the CIP, or if this was the rate increase in total.

1041

- Mr. Mawyer replied this was the rate increase in total. He stated it includes the CIP and what
- Rivanna estimates their operating budget increases would be. He stated collectively, they
- combine those when they predict these charge increases.

1045

Mr. Pinkston stated in FY 24, there would be a 7.2% increase on top of what happened in FY 23.

1047

- Mr. Mawyer stated this was correct. He stated each year, Rivanna considers their anticipated
- annual expenses and revenues and how to balance this equation. He stated as they add more
- construction projects and the bonding costs increase typically in the first few years, they almost
- always have an increase in the budget every year, largely from the CIP. He stated this expense is
- 47% of the budget, to pay their debt service for these projects.

- Mr. Pinkston stated he was sure if he were to look at some of the packets he has received, he
- would find a chart that tells him the rate of increase over the past decade.

Mr. Mawyer replied this was possible but if not, Rivanna could create one. Mr. Pinkston stated it would be helpful. Mr. O'Connell stated there was one in the operating budget proposal. Mr. Mawyer agreed and stated they would have one next month. Mr. O'Connell added that this was also the wholesale rate increase. He stated the retail rate that the City and Service Authority would charge their customers is likely to be different. He stated they would not know this until later in the spring. Mr. Pinkston thanked Mr. O'Connell. Mr. Gaffney asked Mr. O'Connell if he had additional comments. Mr. O'Connell stated his comment was just about the difference between wholesale and retail rates. Mr. Gaffney asked if there were other comments or questions. Mr. Pinkston stated perhaps his question was for a different venue. He asked how Rivanna's bonding capacity works and if they have a rating from a rating agency. Mr. Mawyer replied Rivanna has ratings from two of the three of the bonding agencies. He stated they have an AA+ rating from Standard & Poor's, and a AA2 rating from Moody's. He stated he could provide more information on this as well. Mr. Pinkston stated he would appreciate it. Mr. Richardson stated he had one comment, and he would suggest to Mr. Mawyer to find the slide for Mr. Pinkston and other members of the board that shows the debt service over a period of time and how he and staff have worked on the timing of the retirement of debt. He stated this shows how Rivanna is looking at this not just regarding capacity, but affordability. He stated this was one of the components that the board looked at in looking at the timing of when they do the cross-country water line that was referenced earlier with the master plan.

- Mr. Richardson stated this would help as they gauge out to around 2035.
- Mr. Mawyer stated he would have this for the board next month.
- Mr. Richardson thanked Mr. Mawyer.

- 10. OTHER ITEMS FROM BOARD/STAFF NOT ON AGENDA
- Mr. O'Connell stated given the level of community discussion about the UVA and UVAF

- development and the impacts they would have on the water system, he would like to ask the staff
- to, when it is appropriate, come back with a bigger-picture presentation about the major
- development projects being planned, what impacts they see from the proposed development, and
- try to do that before the rezoning actually goes before the Planning Commission.

1107 Mr. Mawyer stated they would do this.

1108

- Ms. Mallek stated she would ask her question again, as she was still confused about what was
- coming. She asked if for the discussion where the individual budgets were put in as well as the
- nutrient credits, this was now or later that day. She asked if they were done with the budget
- 1112 items.

1113

1114 Mr. Mawyer replied yes.

1115

- Ms. Mallek stated her question was regarding the urban wastewater rate center. She stated since
- she is not an engineering genius or a good budget preparer, she always looks at the column for
- changes, and when she saw a 364% change in the expense of nutrient credits for the urban
- system, she was wondering if Mr. Mawyer could provide some extra information about that,
- either now or in an email to everyone. She asked if there are process changes that happened, or if
- there are process changes they can make so that they do not need to be paying so much for these
- credits in the future.

1123

- Ms. Mallek stated while credits are great, they always worry her that they are just sending their
- trouble downstream to the next user. She stated she would love to know more about that at some
- point, when it is convenient.

1127

- Mr. Mawyer stated he would look at this, but he believed that in the budget for nutrient credits,
- this is revenue that Rivanna is receiving, not an expense.

1130

1131 Ms. Mallek stated this would be grand.

1132

- Mr. Mawyer stated Rivanna is not buying any nutrient credits. He stated they have an enhanced
- nutrient removal system that, in effect, takes out more nutrients than they have to, thereby
- creating credits they can sell to the nutrient exchange.

1136

- Ms. Mallek stated this is spectacular, and she had thought they lost all those with the last
- renovation in the water plan. She stated this was spectacular news and thanked Mr. Mawyer for
- 1139 clarifying it.

1140

- Mr. Mawyer stated they still have nutrient credits. He stated they were concerned with proposed
- regulation changes a year or so ago that it would take away many of their credits, but he did not
- think that legislation was approved which is probably why they have increased their expectation
- next year on the revenue from the nutrient credits.

- 1146 *11. CLOSED MEETING*
- 1147 There was no closed meeting held.

1148	
1149	12. ADJOURNMENT
1150	At 3:55 p.m., Mr. O'Connell moved to adjourn the meeting of the Rivanna Water and
1151	Sewer Authority. Mr. Pinkston seconded the motion, which passed unanimously (7-0)
1152	
1153	Respectfully submitted,
1154	
1155	
1156	
1157	Mr. Lonnie Wood
1158	Assistant Secretary - Treasurer