

Board of Directors Meeting

February 27, 2024 2:15pm



BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Regular Meeting of the Board of Directors of the Rivanna Water & Sewer Authority

- DATE: FEBRUARY 27, 2024
- LOCATION:Rivanna Administration Building (2nd Floor Conference Room),
695 Moores Creek Lane, Charlottesville, VA 22902
- TIME: 2:15 p.m.

AGENDA

- 1. CALL TO ORDER
- 2. AGENDA APPROVAL
- 3. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS BOARD MEETING ON JANUARY 23, 2024

4. RECOGNITION

- a. Resolution of Appreciation for Konrad Zeller
- b. Resolution of Appreciation for Wayne Barnes

5. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

6. ITEMS FROM THE PUBLIC Matters Not Listed for Public Hearing on the Agenda

7. RESPONSES TO PUBLIC COMMENTS

- 8. CONSENT AGENDA
 - a. Staff Report on Finance
 - b. Staff Report on Operations
 - c. Staff Report on CIP Projects
 - d. Staff Report on Administration and Communications
 - e. Staff Report on Wholesale Metering
 - f. Staff Report on Drought Monitoring

- g. Award Professional Engineering Services Agreement Moores Creek Building Upfits and Gravity Thickener Improvements Project– Short Elliot Hendrickson Inc.
- h. Approval of Term Contract for Professional Wastewater Treatment Plant Engineering Services - Hazen and Sawyer, Short Elliot Hendrickson, Wiley Wilson, and Whitman, Requardt & Associates

9. OTHER BUSINESS

- a. Presentation: Rivanna Pump Station Update Bill Mawyer, P.E., Executive Director
- b. Presentation: Organizational Agreements of the RWSA Bill Mawyer, P.E., Executive Director
- *c. Presentation: Introduction of FY 25 29 CIP Jennifer Whitaker, P.E., Director of Engineering and Maintenance*

10. OTHER ITEMS FROM BOARD/STAFF NOT ON THE AGENDA

11. CLOSED MEETING

12. ADJOURNMENT

GUIDELINES FOR PUBLIC COMMENT AT RIVANNA BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETINGS

If you wish to address the Rivanna Board of Directors during the time allocated for public comment, please raise your hand or stand when the Chairman asks for public comments.

Members of the public requesting to speak will be recognized during the specific time designated on the meeting agenda for "Items From The Public, Matters Not Listed for Public Hearing on the Agenda." Each person will be allowed to speak for up to three minutes. When two or more individuals are present from the same group, it is recommended that the group designate a spokesperson to present its comments to the Board and the designated speaker can ask other members of the group to be recognized by raising their hand or standing. Each spokesperson for a group will be allowed to speak for up to five minutes.

During public hearings, the Board will attempt to hear all members of the public who wish to speak on a subject, but it must be recognized that on rare occasion comments may have to be limited because of time constraints. If a previous speaker has articulated your position, it is recommended that you not fully repeat the comments and instead advise the Board of your agreement. The time allocated for speakers at public hearings are the same as for regular Board meetings, although the Board can allow exceptions at its discretion.

Speakers should keep in mind that Board of Directors meetings are formal proceedings and all comments are recorded on tape. For that reason, speakers are requested to speak from the podium and wait to be recognized by the Chairman. In order to give all speakers proper respect and courtesy, the Board requests that speakers follow the following guidelines:

- Wait at your seat until recognized by the Chairman.
- Come forward and state your full name and address and your organizational affiliation if speaking for a group;
- Address your comments to the Board as a whole;
- State your position clearly and succinctly and give facts and data to support your position;
- Summarize your key points and provide the Board with a written statement, or supporting rationale, when possible;
- If you represent a group, you may ask others at the meeting to be recognized by raising their hand or standing;
- Be respectful and civil in all interactions at Board meetings;
- The Board may ask speakers questions or seek clarification, but recognize that Board meetings are not a forum for public debate; Board Members will not recognize comments made from the audience and ask that members of the audience not interrupt the comments of speakers and remain silent while others are speaking so that other members in the audience can hear the speaker;
- The Board will have the opportunity to address public comments after the public comment session has been closed;
- At the request of the Chairman, the Executive Director may address public comments after the session has been closed as well; and
- As appropriate, staff will research questions by the public and respond through a report back to the Board at the next regular meeting of the full Board. It is suggested that citizens who have questions for the Board or staff submit those questions in advance of the meeting to permit the opportunity for some research before the meeting.

The agendas of Board meetings, and supporting materials, are available from the RWSA/RSWA Administration office upon request or can be viewed on the Rivanna website.

Rev. September 7, 2022



2

3

4 5

RWSA BOARD OF DIRECTORS Minutes of Regular Meeting January 23, 2024

A regular meeting of the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority (RWSA) Board of Directors was
 held on Tuesday, January 23, 2024 at 2:15 p.m. at Rivanna Administrative Building, (2nd Floor
 Conference Room), 695 Moores Creek Lane, Charlottesville, VA 22902.

Board Members Present: Mike Gaffney (participating remotely), Jeff Richardson, Lauren

11 Hildebrand, Gary O'Connell, Ann Mallek, Brian Pinkston, Samuel Sanders.

- Board Members Absent: None.
- Rivanna Staff Present: Bill Mawyer, Lonnie Wood, Jennifer Whitaker, David Tungate, Betsy
 Nemeth, Andrea Bowles, Jacob Woodson, Deborah Anama, Leah Beard, George Cheape.
- Attorney(s) Present: Valerie Long (participating remotely).

20 **1.** *CALL TO ORDER*

Mr. Sanders called the January 23, 2024, regular meeting of the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority to order at 2:39 p.m.

23

19

14

Mr. Pinkston moved to allow Mr. Gaffney to remotely participate in the meeting. The
 motion was seconded by Mr. Richardson and passed 6-0-1, with Mr. Gaffney abstaining
 from the vote.

27

Mr. Gaffney stated that he could not attend the meeting since he was located in Cape Coral, Florida.

- 30
- 31 2. AGENDA APPROVAL

Mr. Pinkston moved to approve the agenda. The motion was seconded by Mr. O'Connell
 and passed unanimously (7-0).

35

32

- 36 3. MINUTES OF PREVIOUS BOARD MEETING
- a. Minutes of Regular Board Meeting on December 12, 2023
- Mr. Pinkston moved the Board to approve the minutes of the December 12, 2023 meeting.
 The motion was seconded by Mr. Richardson and passed unanimously (7-0).
- 41

38

42 4. RECOGNITIONS

43 There were no recognitions.

- 45 5. EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT
- 46

44

- 47 Mr. Mawyer stated he was pleased to introduce their new Human Resources Manager, Leah
- Beard. He stated that Ms. Beard came to them from the UVA Credit Union and held a master's
- degree in public administration from Old Dominion University. He stated that they were thrilled
- 50 to have Leah join their team as the Human Resources Manager.
- 51

52 Mr. Mawyer stated that he would also introduce George Cheape. He stated that Mr. Cheape had 53 been with Rivanna for five and a half years as an Engineering Construction Inspector, and was 54 recently promoted to be the Safety Manager. He stated that the previous safety manager left to

55 join the Virginia Health Department, and Mr. Cheape was selected as the new Safety Manager

- through a competitive interview process. He stated that Mr. Cheape would serve both the Water
- and Sewer and the Solid Waste Authorities. He stated that Mr. Cheape would serve boll the water and Sewer and the Solid Waste Authorities. He stated that Mr. Cheape was an elected official in
- 58 Nelson County, serving on the school board.
- 59

60 Mr. Mawyer stated that they celebrated the holidays in December with a team-building lunch

- event. He stated that several of their water treatment facilities received the Water Fluoridation
- 62 Quality Award from the Virginia Department of Health's Office of Drinking Water. He stated
- 63 that they received an award for the Crozet, North Rivanna, Observatory, and Scottsville Water
- 64 Treatment Plants for meeting the criteria set by the health department.
- 65

66 Mr. Mawyer stated that three operators obtained higher state licenses. He stated that Daniel

- 67 Hunter passed his Class 2 Wastewater Operator License, Schuyler Deal passed his Class 3
- 68 Wastewater Operator License, and Seth Marshall passed his Class 1 Water Operator License,
- 69 which was the highest level for a Water Operator in the state. He stated that they congratulated
- 70 all three individuals for their achievements.
- 71
- 72 Mr. Mawyer stated that the State Water Control Board was considering new regional water
- ⁷³ supply regions across the state, and proposed to include Albemarle and Charlottesville in a

region with Louisa, Greene, Buckingham and Fluvanna Counties. He stated that the current

vater supply plan was approved in 2018 for Albemarle County, Charlottesville, the Albemarle

- 76 Service Authority and town of Scottsville.
- 77 78

Mr. Pinkston asked if they had considered the potential implications of this for the organization.

79

Mr. Mawyer stated that the Board was provided a presentation on long-range planning in June 80 2023, which suggested that regionalism might become a topic in the future. He stated that he was 81 unaware of the reason for this proposed change to the water supply regions. He stated that they 82 did not know what the State Water Control Board had in mind regarding regionalism. He stated 83 that their community water supply plan included reservoirs and pipelines to support only the 84 85 Albemarle/Charlottesville/Scottsville community. He stated that he could not imagine a major shift away from this approach. He stated that when discussing the future with others, he referred 86 to the "big box" approach where smaller localities need to connect with larger ones to afford 87 utilities such as water and wastewater services. He stated that whether this approach would be 88 emphasized in regionalism remains to be seen. 89 90

- 91 Mr. O'Connell stated that he would assume this was happening all around the state.
- 92

- 93 Mr. Mawyer stated yes.
- 94
 95 Mr. O'Connell asked if the connection between localities was related to their watershed
 96 locations.
- 97
- 98 Ms. Whitaker stated that the plans were moderately connected to watersheds.
- 99

Mr. Mawyer stated that the budget schedule was approaching. He stated they had met with the Board subcommittee, which included Mr. O'Connell and Ms. Hildebrand, to discuss the proposed FY 25 - 29 capital improvement plan. He stated that next month, they would meet with the subcommittee again to review the proposed operating budget. He stated that in February, they would present the capital improvement plan to the Board, and in March, they would present the operating budget. He stated that a public hearing would be held in May when the total budget would be considered for approval.

107

Mr. Mawyer stated that although drought was not a significant issue at present, rainfall levels
were about 35% below normal in 2023. He stated that over the three-year period of 2021, 2022,
and 2023, they were about 16% below normal. He stated that recent rainfall had been substantial.

111

Mr. Mawyer stated that all reservoirs were now 100% full except for Ragged Mountain and
 Sugar Hollow. He stated that Ragged Mountain was approximately 96% full. He stated that they

had been transferring water from Sugar Hollow Reservoir to Ragged Mtn Reservoir since

115 December 9. He stated that by summer, they planned to have all reservoirs filled. He stated that

- 116 Sugar Hollow Reservoir was not full because a pneumatic connector malfunction caused the dam
- bladder to deflate last week and allowed about 5 feet of water to be released from the reservoir.
- He stated that the bladder was re-inflated on the same day it deflated, which occurred on January
 17, 2024.
- 120

121 Mr. O'Connell asked if the deflation happened immediately. Mr. Mawyer stated that the 122 deflation occurred within about 45 minutes.

123

Mr. Mawyer stated that they had concluded their collaboration with the City and the Albemarle County Service Authority for the Imagine a Day Without Water program, and had received many interesting artworks from local students. He stated that the theme was to "tell us your action to save water".

128

Mr. Mawyer stated that he would report on the Rivanna wastewater pump station issues that occurred on January 9. He stated that they had a media release and had informed the Board of the

situation, but he would provide more information. He stated that there were two large pump

- station, but he would provide more information. The stated that there were two harge pump stations at Moores Creek, which were the Moores Creek Pump Station and the Rivanna Pump
- 133 Station. He stated that the yellow area shown on the map represented the norther areas of the
- 134 City and County served by the Rivanna Pump Station, which was their largest wastewater

pumping station, serving approximately 60% of the urban area.

- 136
- 137 Mr. Mawyer stated that the Rivanna Pump Station was built in 2017. He stated that it had a
- capacity to pump 53 million gallons per day and served the primary purpose of lifting

139 wastewater about 100 feet vertically to the headworks and the beginning of the treatment process

- 140 facilities. Mr. Mawyer stated that the pump station did not perform treatment; instead, it
- 141 transferred wastewater from lower to higher levels. He stated that by observing the bird's-eye
- view of the site, he pointed out that there was a wet well in the center of the pump station. He
- stated that water entered the facility from the north through a 60 inch pipe arrow and flowed into
- 144 the wet well before passing under the pumping area of the two dry pumping rooms. He stated
- 145 that the 2 pump rooms were designed to be dry.
- 146

Mr. Mawyer stated that on January 9, there was a failure that caused the dry areas to become submerged, and they remained so to this day as they attempted to pump them out. He stated that they had to maintain service as approximately 6 million gallons of wastewater arrived at the station on an average day; however, during rainfall, this amount increased significantly. He stated that regarding the flow control gates in the wet well, a picture from 2017 was provided to show what the gates and wet well covers looked like when they were built. He stated that the series of gates could be seen in the image provided.

154

Mr. Mawyer stated that there were three channels at the bottom of the wet well that enabled them to control flow. He stated that it entered through a 60-inch pipe. He stated that at the top of the page, there was a flow gate that was designed to control flow into the wet well. He stated that one of the issues they discovered was that when they realized the station was taking on water and attempted to close that gate, it would not fully close. He stated that this had been part of their challenge as they were unable to stop the incoming flow.

161

Mr. Mawyer stated that another image from 2017 showed what the pump rooms normally look like. He stated that the spaces were tall, and the pipes extended vertically in the space to lift wastewater from its initial elevation to a higher elevation at the headworks. He stated that next was a view of the pump room again, displaying the vertical piping because they were going to show the water level where it currently was on that piping.

167

Mr. Mawyer stated that they had two pump rooms, each containing three wastewater pumps. He stated that two of the pumps were capable of pumping 13 million gallons a day individually, and the smaller one pumped 7 million gallons. He stated that the different pumping capacities served a purpose, which was that if there was low flow in the pump station, the smaller pump operated, and the larger pumps would activate if the flow in the pump station increased. He stated that they had variable frequency drives on these pumps, allowing them to operate at different speeds to pump differing volumes of wastewater.

175

176 Mr. Mawyer stated that as more wastewater entered the station, the pumps increased their speed.

177 He stated that when the smaller pump reached its capacity, it stopped, and the larger one took

- over. He stated that if the flow increased further, up to 13 million gallons, additional pumps in
 series were activated based on the water inflow. He stated that their supervisory control and data
- acquisition (SCADA) system provided an elaborate computer-controlled orchestration of which

181 pump was active and at what capacity.

182

183 Mr. Mawyer stated that they had six pumps in total, and after the submergence event, they could 184 see the vertical piping and the pumps were submerged. He stated that in one of the pump rooms,

- the wastewater had risen to such an extent that their consultant used a camera on a pole to capture the image. He stated that the red and white dots shown in the photo indicated the gates' position. He stated that water should not have reached that height in the wet well; however, by
- January 10, it had. He stated that the station was filled with wastewater.
- 189

Mr. Mawyer stated that to address this issue, they decided to build a temporary bypass system. 190 He stated that they initially utilized the equipment they had immediately available and installed a 191 smaller system with the pumping capacity of about 10 million gallons per day. He stated that on 192 the trailer in the photo, they could see temporary piping being transported by trucks and tractors 193 to facilitate its installation. He stated that they excavated on the entrance side of the building 194 195 where a large wastewater pipe exited the pump station. He stated that part of the bypass involved placing a pump in the wet well and running a pipe around the building before connecting it to the 196 permanent piping that exits the structure. 197

198

Mr. Mawyer stated that on January 13, they brought in the manifold for installation. He stated that to tie into that pipe, they disassembled it, raised it to ground elevation, and added a connector at ground level. He stated that their staff, along with various contractors, worked around-the-clock in the harsh cold to build this connection. He stated that they had already made progress on the project. He stated that there were two temporary pipes connected from the wet well to the permanent underground piping leading to the headworks.

205

Mr. Mawyer stated that they could not handle 50 million gallons initially with this system, and could only manage around 10 to 15 million gallons per day. He stated that larger temporary pumps were brought in by a contractor, Godwin Pumps, along with the necessary controls for these pumps. He stated that staff was assembling temporary bypass piping in the snow and cold conditions, requiring a crane to handle the large pumps which were lowered into the wet well. He stated that the large pipes emerging from the wet well indicated the scale of this equipment.

212

Mr. Mawyer stated that a photograph taken on January 19 showed the vertical chimney pipe connected to a temporary pump placed within the wet well. He stated that the initial challenge involved removing the channel covers in the wet well to allow installation of larger pumps below the covers. He stated that the large pipes to the right of the pump station were 36 inches in diameter. He stated that water was pumped out through the system.

218

Mr. Mawyer stated that the water flowed via a pipe located to the right of the pump station, which consisted of 36-inch pipes. He stated that to reach the manifold at the bypass connection point, a larger connector must be installed. He stated that wastewater was pumped into the backwash basin, a circular structure, and then flowed to the Moores Creek Pump Station. He stated that from there, it was pumped to the headworks.

224

Mr. Mawyer stated that previously, water was introduced into the permanent force main and the

- backwash basin, ultimately reaching the Moores Creek Pumping Station. He stated that
- unfortunately, for approximately one day, water had to be pumped into Moores Creek near that
- location to facilitate sufficient wastewater removal from the pump station to enable the
- installation of larger pumps. He stated that it was specifically from January 18 at 11:59 a.m. until
- 230 2:00 p.m. the following day, January 19. He stated that approximately 6.2 million gallons were

- pumped into Moores Creek during this 26-hour period. 231
- 232

Mr. Mawyer stated that it was unfortunate, and they maintained full contact with DEQ, keeping 233 them informed, as well as the Health Department. He stated that they contacted Fluvanna County 234

downstream and Lake Monticello. He stated that Moores Creek flowed to the Rivanna River 235

which flowed to the James River, and was the water supply for Lake Monticello. He stated that 236

- although 6 million gallons of wastewater may be significant, at this time there were about 420 237
- million gallons flowing in the Rivanna River at the Palmyra gauge, and about 4.5 billion gallons 238 flowing in the James River. 239
- 240

241 Mr. Mawyer stated that to emphasize the significance of their discharge into Moores Creek without minimizing the importance, he stated that such situations are relative. He stated that 242 when considering the City of Richmond's response to large storms, they released hundreds of 243 millions of gallons of wastewater into the James River due to their combined sewer system. He 244 stated that this system combined rainwater and sewage in a single pipe, overwhelming their 245 wastewater treatment plant during heavy precipitation. He stated that the City was currently 246 addressing this issue with a multi-billion dollar program, receiving assistance from the state.

- 247 248
- Mr. Pinkston asked if it was correct that roughly 6 million gallons were released in the course of 249 250 the day.
- 251
- Mr. Mawyer stated yes, it was 6.2 million gallons over a 26-hour period. 252
- 253 Mr. Pinkston asked if that was the total number of gallons. 254

255

Mr. Mawyer answered yes. He stated that as of yesterday, they still had water in the dry pump 256 spaces. He stated that the vertical piping, some of which could be seen in the pump rooms, still 257 had water. He stated that they needed to get the larger pumps installed as part of their ongoing 258 efforts. He stated that then, they could drain the entire facility and complete a thorough 259

investigation into the root causes of the submergence. 260

261

Mr. Mawyer stated that they must determine if the piping had ruptured in the pump room 262 263 allowing water to enter. He stated that they knew that one gate malfunctioned, but it did not fully explain how water reached the dry area. He stated that they did not know if there was a pipe 264 break or if the controls were ineffective, causing pumps to operate improperly. 265

266

267 Mr. Mawyer stated that they suspected the Rivanna River contributed to the excess water by flowing into manholes in Riverview Park. He stated that as soon as they could access the pump 268

269 rooms for inspection, they would have a clearer understanding of the situation and identify

necessary repairs. He stated that they could not determine in the pump room whether the pumps 270

- were blown out or if the piping had ruptured. He stated there were anecdotal stories regarding 271
- 272 this issue.

273 Mr. Mawyer stated that the pumps were not intended to be submerged in water, but some of 274

- 275 them operated for several days, at least intermittently after that, which was remarkable. He stated
- that they had one that would run, and it created a large swirl in the visible wastewater, which led 276

- them to believe there might be a leak in the piping. He stated that it appeared as if the water was either recirculating or coming directly out of the pipe. He stated this was anecdotal information;
- they did not know for certain yet. He stated that once the pump station could be dewatered and
- inspected, they would have a clearer understanding of what happened.
- 281
- Mr. Pinkston asked if water from the river entered the manholes and contributed to overwhelming the system.
- 283 **overw** 284

Mr. Mawyer stated that there was a large 60-inch sewer line that went into the Rivanna Pump Station, and this line followed the Rivanna River through Riverview Park. He stated that on the map displayed, the white area between the green park was where the tunnel was located. He stated that between the pump station and the tunnel, the system proceeded through Riverview Park and continued northward to Darden Towe Park. He stated that it then followed the yellow area shown earlier, almost reaching Greene County.

291

Mr. Mawyer stated that numerous connections linked these areas together. He stated that the major interceptor passed through this region as well, and each round yellow symbol represented a sewer manhole. He stated that in the center image on Riverview Park, water from the river could be seen on the walking path. He stated that their manholes were situated near that path. He stated that they had data indicating that the river level rose by 18 feet.

297

Ms. Whitaker stated that the river was measured at the South Rivanna gauge. She stated that at the time the photograph was taken, the height was 8 feet. She stated that they knew the South Rivanna River was 10 feet higher than what was shown in the photograph. She stated that the normal flow was typically three to five feet.

302

Mr. Mawyer stated that the photograph on the far right, featuring a star, depicted their sewer manhole. He stated that it had been surcharging and overflowing. He stated that when flow was restricted at the pump station, it caused backups in the pipe, leading to discharge from the manholes. He stated that alternatively, if the infiltration north of the manhole was excessive, this could also result in overflow. He stated that river or other streams might infiltrate or inflow into the sewer system, causing surcharges and overflows.

309

Mr. Mawyer stated that these issues were still occurring at least for a certain period and that there were instances of overflow happening. He stated that during future large flows entering the pump station, intermittent overflow might occur from the manhole in Riverview Park. He stated that the temporary bypass system was implemented to maintain the process treatment as wastewater continued to arrive every minute. He stated they aimed to keep the process moving forward and address any backflow.

316

Mr. Mawyer stated that they had five reported overflow locations. He stated that these instances were reported to the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality. He stated that it was unfortunate that there was flooding in the parks, but that was what happened when a sewer system was overwhelmed. He stated that designing a single system large enough for both

- rainwater and wastewater would be challenging.
- 322

- 323 Mr. Sanders asked if Parks and Recreation had been notified.
- 324

Mr. Mawyer stated yes, both Parks and Rec and the Utilities Department worked to put up

barriers so people would avoid the flooded trails in Riverview Park. He stated that their media

release also indicated there had been overflows in the parks. He stated that they expected the 10

million gallon per day system to be completed and operational by the end of the week. He stated that towards the end of the following week, specifically on February 4 or thereabouts, they aimed

- 330 to have the 50 MGD system in place.
- 331

Mr. Mawyer stated that if a significant rainstorm occurred and washed them out, it might impact their progress. He stated that nevertheless, it was their hope and plan until they could restore the pump station. He stated that once they had the spaces in the pump station dewatered and completed the 50 MGD bypass system, they would be able to assess the situation inside the pump station and initiate the restoration process. He stated that following the assessment, in February and March, they would focus on testing equipment, inspecting for damages, and

- identifying any non-damaged components.
- 339

Mr. Mawyer stated that they anticipated that all the pump motors, as electrical equipment that had been underwater, would have to be replaced. He stated that the pumps themselves may or may not need to be replaced. He stated that the controls or electrical equipment would likely have to be replaced. He stated that after the process of ordering and repurchasing the correct equipment, they would install it. He stated that they hoped to be fully restored by the end of this

- 345 calendar year.
- 346

Mr. Gaffney asked if Mr. Mawyer could provide an understanding of how their insurance coverage may assist them in this situation, even if they did not know the exact cause.

349

Mr. Mawyer stated that they did possess property insurance, and Mr. Wood had been involved with the company. He stated that an adjuster had visited the site and assessed it with Mr. Wood. He stated that the property coverage totaled \$100 million for boilers and machinery. He stated that similar to other insurance claims, they must navigate the evaluation process to determine if there was a suitable claim. He stated that they were optimistic that costs would be eligible for recovery.

356

Mr. Mawyer stated that if there was an equipment failure, they had coverage. He stated that there was a possibility of an act of God where a massive storm occurred and overwhelmed the system, and this was where insurance eligibility faced challenges. He stated that on that day, they experienced three inches of rain, which was a significant amount, but not excessive. He stated that they suspected something went wrong or malfunctioned with the equipment and controls.

362

Mr. Mawyer stated that they knew one gate did not function properly, and whether the controls were sending incorrect messages to the pumps, or a pump or pipe within the pump room failed and allowed water to flow in would be investigated. He stated there was a heating-cooling duct; however, it was only about one foot by two feet in size, and the water rose high enough that it flowed into the dry side from the wet side through the HVAC duct. He stated that the design engineer from Hazen engineers, who originally designed the system, had been on site. 369

Mr. Mawyer stated that to address the crucial question of what went wrong, they had not yet 370 determined the exact cause. He stated that they had several theories and approaches, such as 371 whether the pipe failed, the pump failed, or if the controls failed to provide proper directions to 372 their equipment. He stated that they would be able to ascertain the issue more accurately once 373 they drained the wastewater and gained access to the equipment and so that they could inspect it 374 thoroughly. He stated that they were currently coordinating with their insurance provider, VRSA. 375 He stated that an unfortunate event occurred, and they apologized for it. 376 377 Mr. Mawyer stated that they were responding as quickly and effectively as possible to the 378 379 situation. He stated that their engineering, maintenance, operator staff and information technology team had been working virtually around the clock, particularly during the first week, 380 to establish a bypass system. He stated that the design engineer had been on-site frequently, and 381 they had also recruited numerous contractors who provided valuable assistance in acquiring 382 temporary pumps from various locations across the country. 383 384 Mr. Mawyer stated that the crane was challenging to secure, but everyone had been helpful. He 385 stated that they would like to acknowledge Woolen Mills, their neighbors, for their 386 accommodating nature as the restoration team accessed the site through a back gate and had 387 equipment stacked and parked there on property owned by Woolen Mills as part of their 388 easement. He stated that they posted an emergency procurement on January 9 to grant them the 389 authority to contract with these companies and compensate them for their assistance. 390 391 Mr. Pinkston asked how much wastewater was in the Rivanna River. He stated that he assumed it 392 was not safe to drink. 393 394 395 Mr. Mawyer stated that they understood that the Rivanna River Company was having a nighttime sauna and river cooling program, so people would get in the river after being in the 396 sauna. He stated that they called the River Company to advise them against holding the program 397 during this time due to their location between Darden Towe and Riverview Parks. 398 399 Mr. Pinkston asked if most of the water coming out of the pump station was ending up in the 400 401 river. 402 Mr. Mawyer stated no, all of the wastewater was coming through and around the pump station 403 and into the treatment system. But if the system became overloaded with wastewater water due 404 to a storm, there could be an overflow in the park. 405 406 407 Mr. Pinkston stated that he must have misunderstood. He asked Mr. Mawyer to explain the Rivanna service area depicted in yellow on the wastewater map. 408 409 Mr. Mawyer stated that it was the sewer shed. 410 411 Mr. Pinkston stated that the water from that area went down to the pump station, which was 100 412 413 feet below where it needed to go in the headworks. He asked how the water got where it needed to go if the pump station was not operational. 414

415

Mr. Mawyer stated that the temporary pumps would achieve that. He stated that they placed a 416 hose in the wet well of the permanent pump station. He stated that as displayed on the slide, there 417 was a crooked pipe emerging from the wet well, and they were looking down into it. He stated 418 that at the base of the pipe, there was a single pump. He stated that to the right of the lower 419 corner, there was another pump, which was submerged but pumped water out of the wet well and 420 around the building into the drainage basin, a round circular basin. He stated that they also could 421 pump wastewater into the manifold with two pipes, leading it into the permanent pipe and to the 422 headworks for treatment. 423 424 425 Mr. O'Connell asked if there was a separate pipe system in the dry wells to pull that out. 426 Mr. Mawyer stated no, not yet. He stated that they were going to do the same thing with the 50 427 MGD system. He stated that it would involve larger pumps and pipes to facilitate moving more 428 water from the wet well and the dry pumping areas. 429 430 Ms. Mallek asked if it was correct that at 2 p.m. the next day, the leak had stopped because they 431 had activated the bypass. 432 433 Mr. Mawyer stated that they had activated the bypass, but it was insufficient to bring down the 434 water level in the pump station. He stated that the wastewater system experienced what was 435 known as the diurnal effect. He stated that this occurred at 6 p.m. when people returned home 436 and engaged in activities such as bathing and washing dishes. He stated that consequently, a high 437 volume of wastewater was typically generated at this time of day. 438 439 Mr. Mawyer stated that the phenomenon repeated itself around 6 a.m. in the morning. These 440 were the diurnal surges they encountered. He stated that at the pump station, water flow rates 441 could be doubled or tripled during these peak flow periods. He stated that they faced their 442 greatest challenges with the smaller 10 mgd bypass system during those surges. He stated that 443 when they completed the 50 million gallon per day pumping system, they would be able to 444 manage all surges up to 50 MGD. 445 446 447 Mr. Mawyer stated that on an average day, approximately 6 million gallons passed through that pump station. He stated that all of the recent wastewater was directed into the treatment process 448 and treated, except for the 26 hours during which some was intentionally discharged to the creek 449 to lower the water level in the pump station. He stated that this discharge allowed them to access 450 451 the covers above the gates and replace them with larger pumps as they transitioned into completion of the 50 MGD pumping system. 452 453 Mr. Pinkston asked if the 26 hours was potentially impacting the water quality level in the 454 455 stream. 456 Mr. Mawyer stated that it did during the discharge period, but high flows in the stream washed it 457 away. He stated that Mr. Tungate walked along the stream today all the way to the river to check 458 459 if there was any debris hanging in the trees and so on, and he saw very little; only one five-gallon bucket of debris was collected. 460

- 461
- Mr. O'Connell asked how much time it took for the pumping to reach a point where they could access the pump levels and begin to determine what had occurred with regard to the gates and pumps.
- 465

Mr. Mawyer stated that in early February, approximately two weeks from now, they anticipated being able to begin assessing the situation. He stated that the 50 million gallon per day system was expected to be operational by February 4, and this would enable them to lower the water level sufficiently to investigate. He stated they must sanitize and clean the spaces prior to

- 470 getting anyone in there.
- 471

Ms. Mallek stated that after addressing the emergency, they could then consider how to redesign
infrastructure based on the lessons learned from water flows and climate change over the past
eight years.

475

476 Mr. Mawyer stated that he would also like to discuss an issue at the Sugar Hollow Reservoir. He 477 stated that on the slide was a picture of the reservoir, which the City owned. He stated that when

it was built, there were steel crest gates on top of the concrete dam. He stated that in 1999, a

significant storm caused debris to wash against the gates, rendering them ineffective. He stated

that as a result, the reservoir manager had to walk down the walkway and attempt to open those

gates to release water during large storms. He stated that it was not a safe or efficient system.

482

Mr. Mawyer stated that in 1999, an automated inflatable bladder was installed to replace the steel gates. The bladder was replaced approximately two years ago as it had reached the end of its service life. He stated that this bladder inflates to a height of five feet, serving as an alternative to gates for holding back five feet of water in the reservoir, thus increasing water storage capacity. He stated if there was excessive pressure from water attempting to rise over the bladder due to storms or heavy rainfall, it could gradually deflate to reduce the pressure from the water on the concrete dam.

Mr. Mawyer stated that on that particular day, the bladder deflated suddenly because a pipe connection came apart which was part of the pneumatic system responsible for pumping air into and keeping the bladder inflated. He stated that those connectors were flexible due to significant heat and cold changes throughout the year. He stated that for example, on the day it happened, it

- was 12 or 13 degrees, and this may have contributed to the connector failure.
- 496

497 Mr. Mawyer stated that the fix was implemented the same day; their mechanics reconnected the 498 pneumatic piping and restored the inflation system. He stated they also installed a post support

beneath the pneumatic piping to stabilize it as they believed that it might have swayedhorizontally and contributed to the connector's separation.

501

502 Mr. Mawyer stated that their engineering group had devised a plan for the system manufacturer 503 and design consultant to conduct a thorough review and provide recommendations. He stated that

they aimed to prevent such occurrences in the future. He stated that yesterday, their crew

- inspected the Mormons River below the dam for any potential damage. He stated that VDOT
- promptly inspected the roads and bridges affected by the event to ensure their integrity. He stated

they also had added another device to the connector to prevent horizontal movement. 507

508

Ms. Mallek stated that she was glad to hear the description of the bladder taking the place of the 509

gates, as there were concerns among neighbors. She stated that some people would have liked to 510

have commented today if they had the availability for online public comment. She stated it had 511

become more of a water retention and less of a give-and-take with the river than it was presented 512 to the neighbors back in the 1990s. She stated that they would have more conversations about 513

- that going forward, because the upset to the community was that judging by where the new 514
- leaves and things were directly east of the pool, they could see how high the water level rose. 515
- 516

Ms. Mallek stated that it was a miracle no one was on that first bridge. She stated that some may 517 recall Carlton Frasier and Paige Reins who were the ones who crawled along that gate and 518 opened those things by hand in 1995. She stated that it was about to take out the whole dam. She 519 stated that even the vibration of standing on the dam on a good day meant it was no surprise that 520 something would wiggle off the underside. 521

522

523 6. ITEMS FROM THE PUBLIC

524

Mr. Bill Emory stated that he resided at 1604 East Market Street in the Woolen Mills 525 neighborhood. He stated that he had resided next to the Moores Creek facility for 36 years. He 526 stated that serving on this Board, they were already aware of the high degree of engineering and 527 administrative excellence within their organization. He stated that the RWSA was exceptional. 528 He stated that he was interested in the Chesapeake Bay, having participated in the public process 529

531

back in 2010 that created the Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL). 530

Mr. Emory stated that their goal was to restore the bay by 2025, meaning that he would be able 532 to see his feet when standing waist-deep in water. He stated that back in the 1600s, they could 533 see anywhere in the bay regardless of the depth. He stated that the remaining pollutants in the 534 Chesapeake Bay were nitrogen, phosphorus, and sediment. He stated that Rivanna did an 535

- excellent job removing these contaminants. 536
- 537

Mr. Emory stated that he was unsure about which regulatory agency, the DEQ or the EPA, set 538 539 the allocations for nitrogen and phosphorous; however, Rivanna typically came in at less than half of what they were permitted to discharge. He stated that he had not planned to address the 540 group today, but the City Council received a written report last night and the ongoing strategic 541 plan update, so it seemed like good timing. 542

543

Mr. Emory stated that the Rivanna Pump Station went online in 2017, and it was remarkable how 544

545 the connection between the pump station and Moores Creek had been facilitated by this facility.

- He stated that the interceptor pipe was created using a tunnel boring machine. He stated that Mr. 546 Richardson was not on the Board at that time, but many of them were involved, and an 547
- impressive machine was used to create the pipe with a diameter that removed 6,000 cubic yards 548
- of rock. 549
- 550

551 Mr. Emory stated that the pump station was capable of pumping 53 million gallons a day during rain events. He stated that water made its way into sanitary sewers via inflow and infiltration. He 552

stated that as Mr. Mawyer mentioned, from a City Council point of view, it might be a good time 553 to provide Ms. Hildebrand with additional funds to address this. He stated that they had a 554 sanitary sewer and an MS-4 stormwater sewer; however, more attention needed to be given to 555 the sanitary sewer to help homeowners. 556

557

Mr. Emory stated that he recently replaced the terracotta in his yard with 300 feet of hand-dug 558 trenches but there was still a significant amount of terracotta used in the County to drain fields, 559 which overwhelmed the RWSA. He stated that it was unclear how much of this terracotta came 560 from the County and how much came from the City, but it would be helpful to calculate it. He 561 stated that perhaps they could look into it further.

562 563

Mr. Emory stated that on January 9, they experienced three inches of rain for the first time since 564 the completion of the Rivanna Pump Station six years ago. He stated that during this event, the 565 four-foot diameter vertical access pipe on the right bank of the Rivanna, just upstream from the 566 Rivanna River, became surcharged and detached. He stated that then, the Rivanna Pump Station 567 would not function. 568

569

Mr. Emory stated that as the pressure was sufficient to dislodge the 57-inch diameter concrete 570 cap that was on the pipe. He stated he had a video on YouTube where one could see it in action, 571 572 with water discharging. He stated that he had a few questions regarding this situation since he

had not seen any media information about it. He stated that he had written to Tim Gate and to 573 Mr. Mawyer, who responded, but they were quite busy attending to various issues down there. 574

575

Mr. Emory stated that there was not much news coverage about the facility, which was a 40 576 million dollar investment. He asked about the protocol in the event of a sanitary sewer overflow. 577 He asked what the standard operating procedure was for an overflow. He asked if the overflow 578 was monitored during the incident. He stated that considering billions of gallons of fluid were 579 involved, he was not an engineer, but much of the wastewater scoured the area once the river 580 level went down and flowed north, undermining the trail and causing damage to the bank of the 581 river.

582 583

Mr. Emory stated that this was not a minor issue and he wanted to know if the overflow was 584 585 estimated in terms of gallons discharged. He asked whether the weights of discharged nitrogen and phosphorus were also calculated. He stated that he would ask if they informed the DEQ 586 about these incidents, but he believed Mr. Mawyer had addressed this matter previously. He 587 asked if an incident report was provided and if this information was available to the public. He 588 stated that that a key value in the strategic framework was integrity. 589

590

591 Mr. Emory stated that RWSA possessed integrity and strived for openness and transparency. He stated that in the future, in the event of a problem, he requested updates because people 592 genuinely cared about this agency. He stated that he had appreciation for Ms. Whitaker's 593 expertise, as they had worked with her for years. He stated that in this case, it seemed like there 594 was a lack of information being shared, which made the situation feel somewhat hidden. He 595 stated that moving forward, he believed clearer communication would be beneficial. 596

597

7. RESPONSES TO PUBLIC COMMENT 598

599	Th	ere v	vere none.
500 501	8.	CO	NSENT AGENDA
502 503		а.	Staff Report on Finance
504 505 506		b.	Staff Report on Operations
507 508		С.	Staff Report on CIP Projects
509 510		d.	Staff Report on Administration and Communications
511 512		е.	Staff Report on Wholesale Metering
513 514		f.	Staff Report on Drought Monitoring
515 516 517		g.	Approval of Engineering Services – Modifications to Reach Additional Pool Level, Ragged Mountain Reservoir – Schnabel Engineering
518 519 520		h.	Approval to Increase Construction Contingency and Amend Capital Improvement Plan – South Rivanna Hydropower Plant Decommissioning Project – English Construction Company, Inc.
522 523		i.	Approval of Engineering Services – North Rivanna Water Treatment Plant Decommissioning – Short Elliott Hendrickson Inc.
524 525 526 527 528 529	M the 9.	r. Ri e mo <i>OT</i>	chardson moved that the Board approve the Consent Agenda. Ms. Mallek seconded tion, which passed unanimously (7-0). <i>HER BUSINESS</i>
530 531		(r	econvene RSWA for a JOINT SESSION with the RWSA)
532 533	а.	Pre Bet	esentation: Employee Healthcare and retirement Benefits Overview sy Nemeth, Director of Administration and Communications
534 535 536 537 538 539	Ma be Ch sta pro	s. Ne nefita arlot ited t oposa	meth stated that she would provide an overview of employee healthcare and retirement s. She stated that they worked with an insurance broker named One Digital from tesville. She stated that they handled their medical, dental, and vision providers. She hat they also collaborated with their medical providers when issuing requests for als. She stated that One Digital reworked these proposals based on previous experiences.
540 541 542	Mas as CC	s. Ne flexi DBR.	meth stated that the company also assisted them with additional health care benefits such ble spending accounts, health reimbursement accounts, and the administrators for their A program, which was required by law. She stated that for health care, specifically

- 643 medical insurance, they used Anthem. She stated that they had been using Anthem for about 644 eight years. She stated that there were two plans, which were HealthKeepers Health Savings
- Account plan and HealthKeepers Point of Service (POS) plan.
- 646

Ms. Nemeth stated that the first plan was a high deductible one with deductibles of \$3,000 for employee-only coverage, \$6,000 for employee, spouse, employee, and children, and \$6,000 for employee and family. She stated that the second POS plan had lower deductibles, which were \$1,000 for employee-only coverage and \$2,000 for employee plus whomever their dependents

- were. She stated that a health savings account was provided to all employees participating in the
- HSA plan, and Rivanna contributed to employees' accounts each July.
- 653

Ms. Nemeth stated that the contributions depended on the type of coverage; an employee received \$1,000, while an employee and spouse received \$1,500. She stated that in addition to

the health savings account plan, which had a high deductible, there was a health reimbursement arrangement on the back end. She stated that this arrangement was only provided for employee-

only participants due to IRS requirements. She stated that when reaching their deductible

- amount, she stated they would reimburse employees up to \$1,000, covering the range from
- 660 \$2,000 to \$3,000 in total expenses.
- 661

Ms. Nemeth stated that unfortunately, the IRS did not allow them to provide this arrangement for employees with dependents on the plan. She stated that they offered Flexible Spending Accounts for participants in the HealthKeepers POS plan. She stated that a Flexible Spending Account allowed an employee to make a pre-tax deduction into the account, which could be used to pay for healthcare expenses. She stated that this fiscal year, RWSA would contribute about \$1.4M and RSWA about \$0.3M for employee health insurance.

668

Ms. Nemeth stated that they got a renewal in the month of March, and she expected premiums to go up. She stated that they provided dental and vision insurance through Ameritas. She stated that the cost of dental coverage depended on the number of individuals being covered, such as employee-only or employee with spouse/family. She stated that Rivanna offered complimentary vision benefits for all employees and their dependents, should they have any. She stated that

- presented on the next slide were their medical insurance costs.
- 675

Ms. Nemeth stated that in the first column it showed what employees paid per month based on their chosen plan and coverage. She stated that the second column displayed the contributions made by Rivanna, followed by the total premiums. She stated that the information on the next slide presented Albemarle County's two plans, City of Charlottesville's three plans, and their own. She stated that all these entities fell within a similar range regarding employee costs.

681

682 She stated that the Rivanna Authorities participated in the Virginia Retirement System, which 683 was a mandatory requirement for all employees working there. Ms. Nemeth stated that

employees could not opt out of participation. She stated that the mandatory contribution was 5%

- of their credible contribution, calculated as hourly rate multiplied by 40 hours per week and 52
- weeks per year. She stated that Rivanna Water and Sewer currently contributed 8.39% to each
- employee's credible contribution in the Virginia Retirement System, while the Solid Waste
- Authority contributed 4.86%. She stated that those numbers changed every two years, and she

was slightly surprised that she did not have an update on her desk at the moment. 689 690 Ms. Nemeth stated that the change would be effective as of July 1, and they hoped to receive 691 notification regarding the change this month. She stated that the Authorities are also required to 692 provide VRS participants life insurance equal to two times each employee's annual salary. She 693 stated that the funding was provided by the Rivanna Authority. She explained that VRS had three 694 distinct plans: one for participants who began before July 1, 2010, and had at least five years of 695 service prior to January 1, 2013; a second plan for those with less than five years of service by 696 January 1, 2013; and a third hybrid plan she would explain in more detail later. 697 698 699 Ms. Nemeth stated that approximately 30% of their employees currently participate in Plan 1. She stated that Plan 1 was a defined benefit plan or pension plan. She stated that when they 700 retired, they began receiving their pension. She stated that the pension was determined by years 701 of service, the average of their highest 36 consecutive months of compensation, and a retirement 702 multiplier of 1.7. She stated that if an employee retired after 30 years of service, they would 703 receive approximately 51% of their average salary during those 36 months. 704 705 Ms. Nemeth stated that anyone who joined VRS between July 1, 2010, and December 31, 2013, 706 or if they were hired before July 1, 2010, but did not have five years of service as of January 1, 707 708 2013, participated in Plan 2. She stated that only 6% of their employees were part of Plan 2, so the eligibility window was quite narrow. She stated that similar to Plan 1, it was a defined benefit 709 plan, which meant it was a pension plan. She stated that the pension was based on years of 710 service as well. 711 712 Ms. Nemeth stated that they extended the period from three years to five years and implemented 713 a retirement multiplier of 1.65. She stated that consequently, an individual with 30 years of 714 service retiring would receive a pension equivalent to 49.5% of their average salary during their 715 highest-five-year period. She stated that the hybrid plan was introduced by the Virginia 716 Retirement System on July 1, 2014. 717 718 719 Ms. Nemeth stated that this plan was more complex than the other two options, but 64% of their employees were participating in it. She stated that the plan combines a defined benefit plan, 720 721 which was a pension, and a defined contribution plan, where employees contribute to a 401(a)account. She stated that the pension was based on years of service, the average of an employee's 722 highest 60 consecutive months of compensation, and a retirement multiplier of 1%. She stated 723 that previously, individuals retiring after 30 years of service received a pension equal to 30% of 724 their highest five-year salary average. 725 726 727 Ms. Nemeth stated that in addition, they were eligible to save an extra 4% of their credible

Ms. Nemeth stated that in addition, they were eligible to save an extra 4% of their credible
compensation in a hybrid 457 retirement account. She stated that the hybrid retirement plan also
required that the Rivanna Authorities pay for short term and long-term disability insurance. She
stated that VaCo served as their short-term disability insurance company for this purpose, and
the program was administered through Anthem Life. She stated that the same organization
handled the employees' medical insurance needs.

733

Mr. Mawyer stated that over time, all of their employees would be a part of the hybrid plan,

unless VRS changed the structure. 735

736

Ms. Nemeth stated that most people retiring were out of Plan 1, but it depended on the date of 737 738 when someone joined the VRS.

739

740 Mr. Stewart stated that there was a significant difference between the Rivanna Solid Waste

- Authority employees and Water and Sewer Authority employees regarding their employer's 741
- contributions to the VRS. He stated that the disparity amounted to approximately 4%. He asked 742 what the driver behind this was. 743
- 744
- 745 Ms. Nemeth stated that it was because they had hired a large number of employees, which led to increased investments. 746
- 748 Mr. Mawyer stated that VRS set those premiums.
- 749

747

Mr. Wood stated that in the early 2000s, the Solid Waste Authority had 20 to 30 employees. He 750 stated that over time, the employee base decreased, so that employer liability on the retirement 751

side went down. He stated that as new employees were added, the investment base increased. He 752

stated that right now, Rivanna Solid Waste Authority was unique because they had a net 753

754 investment balance rather than a net liability. He stated that this indicates a positive net asset value. He stated that almost every other political subdivision had a net pension liability.

755 756

Mr. Andrews stated that on the employee's side, it was primarily determined by years of service 757 and date of employment. 758

759

Mr. Mawyer stated that the choice was how much the Authority funded for the health insurance. 760 He stated that he was unsure of what the Authority used to do, but when he started there in 2016, 761 the family plan cost \$800 per month. He stated that he declined to join it and stayed on his wife's 762 insurance through Henrico County. He stated that they had shifted some of that cost from the 763 employee to the employer, so that premium was about \$400 for the family plan. He stated that 764 although that amount still remained higher than the City or the County, it was much better than 765 \$800. He stated that they held rates for their employees that even if Anthem raised a premium, 766 767 Rivanna would absorb the increase and would not ask an employee to pay more.

768

770

Mr. Andrews asked if they had considered spousal employers for coverage and have to certify it. 769

- 771 Ms. Nemeth stated that they did not require them, and they could still take a spouse on their plan even if the spouse could get coverage through their employer. 772
- 773

774 Ms. Mallek asked if they had an interval in which they put out the RFP for Anthem.

775 776 Ms. Nemeth stated that they did it every four years unless they believed they could get better

777 rates. She stated that they would be notified of the new rates for the next fiscal year in March,

and if they felt the numbers were too high, they could go on the market. She stated that the issue 778

779 was that if they went to market, they had to share those high numbers, so she would likely not

receive great proposals in return. She stated that if she declined to share those numbers, the other 780

781 782	entities would assume the renewal was terrible and propose increased rates anyway.
783	Mr. Wood stated that the Authority was different from the City in the County in this way. He
784	stated that the City and County were self-insured, which allowed them to have control over their
785	claims data. He stated that in contrast, the Authority did not have control over their data. He
786	stated that during the last bidding process, they only received one bid because only one company
787	had access to the necessary data.
788	Ind decess to the necessary cutal
789	Mr. Richardson asked how many people were covered by their plan.
790	
791	Ms. Nemeth stated that it was most of the employee population, amounting to 110 individuals.
792	
793	Mr. Richardson asked if dependents were in addition to that.
794	
795	Ms. Nemeth stated yes, in that case it would be over 200 people.
796	
797	Mr. Mawyer stated that family plans were where the significant expenses were.
798	
799	Ms. Nemeth stated that there were 14 families on the HSA plan and 7 on the POS plan, so 21
800	family plans in total. She stated that in comparison, the monthly cost for an employee on the
801	family high-deductible HSA plan was \$360, while it was \$454 for the POS plan. She stated that
802	70 employees were enrolled in the employee only health plan option. She stated she was
803	comfortable suggesting that this difference in cost may be a factor in the employees' decision,
804	and this had a benefit for the organization.
805	Mr. Moursen called what the monthly commant amount was
806	Mr. Mawyer asked what the monthly payment amount was.
807	Ms. Nameth stated that for the HSA plan, the payment was \$5 per month, and on the POS plan
000 809	they paid \$35 per month
810	they put \$55 per month.
811	Ms Mallek stated that the family would not be covered in that instance. She stated that it was a
812	significant advantage for employees with families to have the insurance.
813	
814	Ms. Nemeth stated that in some cases, she suspected that family coverage at other employers
815	might be higher than the one provided by the current employer. She stated that if a spouse was
816	insured under another employer's plan, it could potentially be more expensive. She stated that
817	insurance could be challenging.
818	
819	b. Presentation: Strategic Plan Update
820	Betsy Nemeth, Director of Administration and Communications
821	Ms. Nemeth stated that their vision is to serve the community as a recognized leader in
822	environmental stewardship by providing exceptional water and solid waste services. She stated
823	that their mission is their knowledgeable and professional team serving the Charlottesville,
824	Albemarle, and UVA communities by providing high-quality water and wastewater treatment,
825	refuse, and recycling services in a financially responsible and sustainable manner.
826	

- Ms. Nemeth stated that she wanted to share this information but would not read it aloud. She
- stated that their values were defined during their new strategic plan. She stated that prior to the
- 2023 plan, they had integrity, teamwork, respect, and equality. She stated that there were five
- priorities: communications and collaboration, environmental stewardship, workforce
- development, optimization and resiliency, and planning and infrastructure.
- 832
- Ms. Nemeth stated that she would provide an update on communications and collaboration.
- 834 Employee volunteering had been a focus since the approval of volunteer time off as a benefit for
- employees last July. She stated that they were pleased to report that many individuals
- participated in various community service events this year, such as Toy Lift. She stated that their
- goal was to continue driving these efforts during the current calendar year.
- 838
- Ms. Nemeth stated that regarding education, as depicted in the picture, she knew Mr. Mawyer
- had mentioned that a group of students would be visiting the Ivy facility tomorrow. She stated
- that on the slide was a picture of the kindergarteners who visited them a couple of months ago.
- 842 She stated that community awareness campaigns, such as Imagine a Day Without Water, often
- emphasized the importance of various resources, such as water. She stated that the winners had
- been announced for that contest, and they were currently planning the next event, "Fix a Leak."
- 845
- Ms. Nemeth stated that regarding communications, they added a page on the website dedicated to PFAS, as they felt it was essential for people to understand this topic that has gained
- significant attention recently. She stated that the web page was approximately three pages long
- and provided a comprehensive layperson's overview of PFAS.
- 850
- Ms. Nemeth stated that their environmental stewardship efforts were evident when visitors came to their location for meetings; the "no mow" sign indicated that they had a diverse wildlife population. In the picture, she pointed out a turkey, which was one example of the local fauna.
- She stated that she was unsure if there were any baby turkeys present at the time, but there were
- now adult turkeys in the area.
- 856
- Ms. Nemeth stated that community partnerships played an essential role in their work, such as
 the collaboration with Virginia Commonwealth University for their oyster shell reseeding
 program in the Chesapeake Bay. She stated that they had successfully increased engagement
- hours this year and were planning a new Environmental Education Center, currently in the
- planning phase. She stated that she believed it would be quite impressive once it was completed,
- and she was eager for them to see it.
- 863
- Ms. Nemeth stated that resource conservation had been a recent focus for their construction
- projects. She stated that the value engineering program had proven beneficial in terms of
- environmental stewardship. She stated that during the meeting for the new administrative
- building, they discussed resource conservation methods, such as incorporating solar panels and
- 868 other sustainable practices into their buildings.
- Ms. Nemeth stated that regarding workforce development, implementation of their learning
- management system through Paychex had been successful in providing HR training and safety
- training. She stated that their leadership development program had concluded with directors, and

now they were planning phase two. She stated that professional development was a crucial 873 aspect of their organization, and she was quite proud of the team's efforts in this regard. She 874 stated one of their mechanics, David Jeffries, who was attending school at Valley Vocational 875 Tech, had received multiple certifications. 876 877 Ms. Nemeth stated that the certificates with transcripts were astonishing and impressive, as they 878 attended these classes on their own time after work once or twice a week as part of sponsored 879 apprenticeship programs. She stated that part of completing the apprenticeship involved 880 attending school, and last semester, at least half of their mechanics were going to school. She 881 stated that they also had a staffing master plan, which was updated every five years and 882 continued to expand their staff. 883 884 Ms. Nemeth stated that she would next discuss optimization and resiliency. She stated that they 885 had implemented several measures resulting in cost savings. She stated that at Moores Creek, the 886 sodium hydroxide dosing monitoring with SCADA had saved them \$70,000 over a period of 84 887 days. She stated that in the water department, they introduced a Zeta meter that optimized alum 888 use and had saved them \$26,000 in 2023. 889 890 Ms. Nemeth stated that for safety optimization, they were now making lockout tagout documents 891 accessible to teams through CityWorks. She stated that this allowed maintenance personnel 892 working on equipment to easily access the necessary documentation for lockout tagout 893 procedures instead of searching for a physical paper copy. 894 895 Ms. Nemeth stated that the Moores Creek permit had been modified to eliminate weekend work 896 in the lab downstairs, which would save approximately \$93,000 annually in overtime pay. She 897 stated that regarding planning and infrastructure, in asset management, CityWorks had been fully 898 implemented, with the system running at 100%. She stated that all work order requests were 899 being processed through CityWorks and completed successfully. 900 901 Ms. Nemeth stated that they had completed some preliminary assessments regarding critical 902 infrastructure in order to reduce disruptions. They are focusing on knowledge sharing and they 903 had finished all dam safety training, and the necessary documents are available for easy access 904 905 via DocLink. She stated that in knowledge acquisition, over the last six months, they had been tracking and documenting non-HR or safety-related training to establish a baseline. She stated 906 that their initial baseline was 500 total hours, which would be adjusted as time progressed. 907 908 909 Ms. Mallek asked if they had been able to use the learning management system for onboarding purposes. 910 911 Ms. Nemeth stated that they had just begun to do so last Friday. She stated that Paychex has an 912 application system and onboarding system. She stated that they had posted jobs on Paychex so 913 that when someone went to the Rivanna website, there were links, as well as on Indeed. She 914 stated that when they hired a person through Paychex, all documents would now go to their 915 email to be electronically signed. She stated that they would likely do onboarding videos in 916 917 person, as there were some videos that warranted discussion, such as workplace expectations. 918

919	10. OTHER ITEMS FROM BOARD/STAFF NOT ON AGENDA
920	
921	Mr. Pinkston stated that regarding Mr. Emory's earlier public comment, he would like to know if
922	Mr. Emory thought there was more that could have been done or should be done in regard to the
923	pump station situation. He stated that as he had learned more about it today, he was less
924	concerned, but it was complicated to explain and the focus had been on fixing it, so he was
925	unsure about what the narrative in the public had been.
926	
927	Mr. Mawyer stated that there was a media release about a week later. He stated that he
928	acknowledged they likely took too long to do that.
929	Mr. Dinkston stated that he falt that the more that could be shared would be beneficial
930	WI. Flikston stated that he feit that the more that could be shared would be beneficial.
932	Mr. Mawyer stated that he agreed. He stated that they were staffing the new Administration and
933	Communication division of the organization to do so
934	Communication division of the organization to do so.
935	Ms. Mallek stated that the more direct and prepared with the information they could be, it would
936	result in a better story.
937	
938	Mr. Mawyer stated that he understood; there initially was a mistake regarding the flow rate of the
939	pump station in the press and Ms. Nemeth had to contact them to remedy it.
940	
941	(Adjournment of RSWA Board)
942	
943	11. CLOSED MEETING
944	There was no reason for a closed meeting.
945	
946	12. ADJOURNMENT
947	At 4:08 p.m., Ms. Mallek moved to adjourn the meeting of the Rivanna Water and Sewer

948 Authority. The motion was seconded by Ms. Hildebrand and passed unanimously (7-0).



RIVANNA WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Resolution of Appreciation for Konrad Zeller

WHEREAS, Mr. Zeller has served in several positions in the Water Department since July 1999 for the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority, most notably as a Class 1 Water Treatment Plant Supervisor at the South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant; and

WHEREAS, over the same period of nearly 25 years, Mr. Zeller has demonstrated leadership in his field and has been a valuable resource to the Authority and its employees; and

WHEREAS Mr. Zeller's knowledge and understanding of the water treatment operation as well as his dedication and loyalty have positively impacted the Authority; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors is most grateful for the professional and personal contributions Mr. Zeller has provided to the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority and to its customers and its employees; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority Board of Directors recognizes, thanks, and commends Mr. Zeller for his distinguished service, efforts, and achievements as a member of the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority, and presents this Resolution as a token of esteem, with its best wishes in his retirement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution be entered upon the permanent Minutes of the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority.

Michael Gaffney, Chairman Lauren Hildebrand Ann Mallek Gary O'Connell Brian Pinkston Jeff Richardson Sam Sanders



RIVANNA WATER AND SEWER AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Resolution of Appreciation for Wayne Barnes

WHEREAS, Mr. Barnes has served in a number of positions in the Water Department since March 1979 for the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority, most recently as the Assistant Manager of the South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant; and

WHEREAS, over the same period of 45 years, Mr. Barnes has demonstrated leadership in his field and has been a valuable resource to the Authority and its employees; and

WHEREAS Mr. Barnes' knowledge and understanding of the Water Department's operation as well as his enduring dedication and loyalty have positively impacted the Authority; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Directors is most grateful for the professional and personal contributions Mr. Barnes has provided to the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority and to its customers and its employees; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority Board of Directors recognizes, thanks, and commends Mr. Barnes for his distinguished service, efforts and achievements as a long-standing member of the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority, and presents this Resolution as a token of esteem, with its best wishes in his retirement.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this Resolution be entered upon the permanent Minutes of the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority.

Michael Gaffney, Chairman Lauren Hildebrand Ann Mallek Gary O'Connell Brian Pinkston Jeff Richardson Sam Sanders



434.977.2970 434.293.8858 www.rivanna.org

MEMORANDUM

TO: RIVANNA WATER & SEWER AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM: BILL MAWYER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

DATE: FEBRUARY 27, 2024

STRATEGIC PLAN PRIORITY: EMPLOYEE DEVELOPMENT

Team Members Promotions

We were pleased to recently promote:

- > Joshua Bowen Construction Inspection Supervisor, Engineering Division
- Bradley Puffenbarger Water Department Assistant Manager, Operations Division

National Engineers Week

We acknowledge and celebrate our hard-working engineers. National Engineers Week, February $17^{th} - 24^{th}$, recognizes engineering professionals and the vital role they play daily in our lives.



Team Building Event

Rivanna Authorities held its quarterly Team Building event on February 8th with a *Super Bowl Chili Cook-off* and potluck. Staff enjoyed and appreciated the delicious chili entries, homemade side dishes and desserts. We had six chili entries competing for top honors as Chili Champion. Congratulations to Brenda Clifford on her winning recipe of Chicken Taco Chili!





STRATEGIC PLAN PRIORITY: COMMUNICATION AND COLLABORATION

Sugar Hollow Community Meeting

On February 1st, our staff met with Sugar Hollow community members to discuss the bladder deflation that happened at the Sugar Hollow dam on January 17th. We have a follow up community meeting scheduled for March 14th.

STRATEGIC PLAN PRIORITY: OPTIMIZATION AND RESILIENCY

South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant Liquid Lime Slurry Release

As reported to the Board in November 2023, a liquid lime slurry was released from the SRWTP on November 2, 2023 due to an operational noncompliance. The slurry flowed through our storm water system to the South Fork Rivanna River below the dam. We immediately coordinated corrective actions with the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality (VDEQ). We have implemented measures to prevent future occurrences including staff training, instructional signs and lockout – tagout devices, and are coordinating with the VDEQ to complete a compliance and remediation process requested by a Notice of Violation received from the VDEQ in January 2024.

STRATEGIC PLAN PRIORITY: ENVIRONMENTAL STEWARDSHIP

Drought Monitoring



Our reservoirs are full, including Ragged Mountain, which must be filled by transfers from Sugar Hollow Reservoir.



MEMORANDUM

TO: RIVANNA WATER & SEWER AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM: LONNIE WOOD, DIRECTOR OF FINANCE AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

REVIEWED: BILL MAWYER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: DECEMBER MONTHLY FINANCIAL SUMMARY – FY 2024

DATE: FEBRUARY 27, 2024

Financial Snapshot

The Authority has an overall net surplus of \$635,600 for the first six months of this fiscal year due to operating rate revenue being above average and the receipt of the annual septage support from the County in July. Total revenues are \$1,107,900 over budget estimates and total expenses are \$472,400 under budget. Urban Water flows and operations rate revenue are 4.7% above budget estimates, and Urban Wastewater flows and operations rate revenue are 3.4% above budget estimates. Revenues and expenses are summarized in the table below:

	Urban Water	Urban Wastewater	Total Other Rate Centers	Total Authority
Operations				
Revenues	\$ 5,415,874	\$ 5,537,332	\$ 1,425,554	\$ 12,378,760
Expenses	(5,375,761)	(5,175,095)	(1,364,003)	(11,914,859)
Surplus (deficit)	\$ 40,113	\$ 362,237	\$ 61,551	\$ 463,901
Debt Service				
Revenues	\$ 5,573,032	\$ 5,252,170	\$ 1,353,112	\$ 12,178,314
Expenses	(5,522,047)	(5,141,507)	(1,343,096)	(12,006,650)
Surplus (deficit)	\$ 50,985	\$ 110,663	\$ 10,016	\$ 171,664
Total				
Iotai	.	• 40 - 00 - 00	* • --- • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • •
Revenues	\$ 10,988,906	\$ 10,789,502	\$ 2,778,666	\$ 24,557,074
Expenses	(10,897,808)	(10,316,602)	(2,707,099)	(23,921,509)
Surplus (deficit)	\$ 91,098	\$ 472,900	\$ 71,567	\$ 635,565

A more detailed financial analysis is in the following monthly report and reviews more closely actual financial performance compared to budgeted estimates. There are comments listed that will reference the applicable line items in the financial statement for each rate center and each support department in the following pages. Please refer to the Budget vs Actual financial statements when reviewing these comments.

Detailed Financials

The Authority's total operating revenues through December are \$574,500 over the prorated annual budget estimates, and operating expenses are over budget by \$110,600 resulting in a net operating surplus of \$463,900. The following comments explain most of the other budget vs. actual variances.

- A. Annual and Quarterly Transactions Some revenues and expenses are over the prorated year-to-date budget due to one-time receipts of revenues for the year and quarterly or annual payments of expenses. These transactions appear to have significant impacts on the budget vs. actual monthly comparisons but usually even out as the year progresses. Septage receiving support revenue of \$109,440 is billed to the County annually in July. Annual payments are made in the first quarter for certain maintenance agreements and for employer contributions to employees' health savings accounts. The annual payment of \$175,000 to UVA for the Observatory lease was made in September. Insurance premiums are paid at the beginning of each quarter.
- B. Personnel Costs (Urban Water, Urban Wastewater pages 2, 5) Urban Water and Urban Wastewater salaries are higher than budgeted due to pay increases for plant operators who achieved higher licenses.
- C. Other Services & Charges (Urban Water, Crozet Water, Urban Wastewater pages 2, 3, 5) Utility costs are running higher than originally estimated for Urban Water and Urban Wastewater. Urban Water, Crozet Water, and Urban Wastewater paid unbudgeted annual DEQ permit application fees of \$25,000, \$15,000, and \$10,650, respectively.
- D. Equipment Purchases (Urban Water page 2) Urban Water incurred \$10,500 unbudgeted equipment rental costs.
- E. Communications (Administration page 8) Telephone and data service costs for the Administration department are currently over budget.

٦

Rivanna Water & Sewer Authority Monthly Financial Statements - December 2023 Fiscal Year 2024 Г

<u>Consolidated</u> Revenues and Expenses Summar	Ľ		Budget FY 2024	Y	Budget ear-to-Date	Y	Actual ear-to-Date	1	Budget vs. Actual	Variance Percentage
Onerating Pudget ve Actual	I									
Operating Budget vs. Actual	Notos									
Revenues	NULES									
Operations Rate Revenue		\$	22.727,003	\$	11.363,502	\$	11.767,705	\$	404,203	3.56%
Lease Revenue		•	124,000	,	62,000		70,061	•	8,061	13.00%
Admin., Maint. & Engineering Revenue			781,000		390,500		399,047		8,547	2.19%
Other Revenues			647,267		323,634		375,063		51,429	15.89%
Use of Reserves (Water Resources Fund)			80,000		40,000		80,000		40,000	100.00%
Interest Allocation		_	47,250	<u> </u>	23,625		85,931		62,306	263.73%
Total Operating Revenues		\$	24,406,520	<u>\$</u>	12,203,260	\$	12,777,807	\$	574,547	4.71%
Evanado										
Experises	в	¢	11 625 001	¢	5 010 546	¢	E 765 500	ሰ	46.054	0.910/
Personnel Cost	Б	Ф	11,625,091 467,850	\$	5,812,540	ф	5,/00,092	ቅ	40,904 64 260	U.OI70
Protessional Services	^ C		407,000 2 470 055		233,925 1 720 078		109,000 0 199 010		04,∠∪ઝ /44ହ Q33)	21.4170 25 80%
Other Services & Unarges	A, C E		3,419,900 001 140		1,109,910		∠, ۱۵۵, ۳۱0 ۱۵۶ ۲۵۱		(440,900) /76 670)	-20.00 /0 24 04%
Lommunications	E		221,440 1 260 575		634 788		504 633		(20,020) 120 155	-24.0470 20.50%
Cuplies			46,300		23 150		22 225		925	4 00%
Operations & Maintenance	Δ		6 035 808		20,100		2 915 737		102 167	3 39%
Equipment Purchases	n		345,500		172,750		152.311		20 439	11.83%
Equipment Futurases Depreciation			915,000		457.500		457.500		20,400	0.00%
Total Operating Expenses		\$	24 406.519	\$	12 203.260	\$	12 313.905	\$	(110.645)	-0.91%
		* *	4	<u>~</u>	12,200,200	* *	462.002	Ψ	(110,010)	V.V.1 / V
Operating Surplus/(Deficit)		\$	<u> </u>	<u>></u>	<u>U</u>	<u> </u>	463,902	:		
Debt Service Budget vs. Actual	I									
Revenues										
Debt Service Rate Revenue		\$	22,119,060	\$	11,059,530	\$	11,059,536	\$	6	0.00%
Septage Receiving Support - County			109,440		54,720		109,440		54,720	100.00%
Buck Mountain Lease Revenue			1,600		800		1,884		1,084	135.45%
Trust Fund Interest			179,830		89,915		205,777		115,862	128.86%
Reserve Fund Interest			879,900		439,950		801,679		361,729	82.22%
Total Debt Service Revenues		\$	23,289,830	\$	11,644,915	\$	12,178,315	\$	533,400	4.58%
Debt Service Costs										
Total Principal & Interest		\$	16.168,944	\$	8,084,472	\$	8.084,472	\$	-	0.00%
Reserve Additions-Interest			879,900		439,950		801,679		(361,729)	-82.22%
Debt Service Ratio Charge			725,000		362,500		362,500		· -	0.00%
Reserve Additions-CIP Growth		_	5,516 <u>,000</u>	_	2,758 <u>,000</u>		2,758 <u>,000</u>			0 <u>.00%</u>
Total Debt Service Costs		\$	23,289,844	\$	11,644,922	\$	12,006,651	\$	(361,729)	-3.11%
Debt Service Surplus/(Deficit)		\$	(14)	\$	(7)	\$	171,664	-		
			Summar	y						
		¢	47 606 250	<u>م</u>	00.040.175	¢	24.056.122	¢	4 407 047	4 65%
I Otal Revenues		Ф	47,090,300	Ф	23,848,175	Ф	24,950,122	φ	1,107,947	4.0070
			17 000 000				24 370 555		(4/2.3/4)	-1.9070
Surplus//Deficit)		¢	47,696,363	¢	23,848,182	¢	625 566	•	()- /	
Surplus/(Deficit)		\$	47,696,363 (13)	\$	(7)	\$	635,566	-	()-)	

<u>Urban Water Rate Center</u> Revenues and Expenses Summary			Budget FY 2024	Ŷ	Budget lear-to-Date	у	Actual ⁄ear-to-Date		Budget vs. Actual	Variance Percentage
Operating Budget vs. Actual	Natas									
Revenues	Notes									
Operations Rate Revenue Lease Revenue Miscellaneous		\$	10,021,362 94,000	\$	5,010,681 47,000	\$	5,245,531 53,651	\$	234,850 6,651	4.69% 14.15%
Use of Reserves (Water Resources Fund) Interest Allocation			80,000 34,200		40,000 17,100		80,000 36,693		40,000 19,593	100.00% 114.58%
Total Operating Revenues		\$	10,229,562	\$	5,114,781	\$	5,415,874	\$	301,093	5.89%
Expenses										
Personnel Cost Professional Services	В	\$	2,384,332	\$	1,192,166	\$	1,250,227	\$	(58,061)	-4.87%
Other Services & Charges	A. C		769.233		09,250 384.617		639.217		(254.600)	-66.20%
Communications	.,.		103,200		51,600		47,219		4,381	8.49%
Information Technology			127,650		63,825		48,367		15,458	24.22%
Supplies	•		7,000		3,500		7,504		(4,004)	-114.40%
Operations & Maintenance Equipment Purchases	A		2,905,068		1,452,534		1,567,629		(115,095)	-7.92%
Depreciation	D		300,000		150,000		150,000		(10,401)	0.00%
Subtotal Before Allocations		\$	6,795,083	\$	3,397,542	\$	3,802,719	\$	(405,177)	-11.93%
Allocation of Support Departments		_	3,434,478	_	1,717,239		1,573,042		144,197	8.40%
Total Operating Expenses		\$	10,229,561	\$	5,114,781	\$	5,375,761	\$	(260,980)	-5.10%
Operating Surplus/(Deficit)		\$	1	\$	0	\$	40,114	-		
Debt Service Budget vs. Actual										
Revenues										
Debt Service Rate Revenue		\$	10,193,779	\$	5,096,890	\$	5,096,892	\$	3	0.00%
Trust Fund Interest			77,500		38,750		88,649		49,899	128.77%
Reserve Fund Interest			423,100		211,550		385,608		174,058	82.28%
Lease Revenue		¢	1,600	¢	800 5 247 000	¢	1,884	¢	1,084	135.45%
Total Debt Service Revenues		φ	10,095,979	φ	5,347,990	φ	5,573,032	φ	225,042	4.21%
Debt Service Costs										
Total Principal & Interest		\$	6,964,779	\$	3,482,390	\$	3,482,390	\$	-	0.00%
Reserve Additions-Interest			423,100		211,550		385,608		(174,058)	-82.28%
Debt Service Ratio Charge			400,000		200,000		200,000		-	0.00%
Total Debt Service - CIF Glowin Total Debt Service Costs		\$	10.695.979	\$	5.347.990	\$	5.522.047	\$	(174.058)	-3.25%
Debt Service Surplus/(Deficit)		\$	-	\$	-	\$	50,985		() = = /	
		-						-	_	
		Ra	ite Center S	Sur	nmary					
Total Revenues Total Expenses		\$	20,925,541 20,925,540	\$	10,462,771 10,462,770	\$	10,988,906 10,897,808	\$	526,136 (435,037)	5.03% -4.16%
Surplus/(Deficit)		\$	1	\$	0	\$	91,098	:		
Costs per 1000 Gallons		¢	2 01			¢	3 00			
Operating and DS		₽ \$	6.16			φ \$	6.13			
Thousand Gallons Treated			3,397,700		1,698,850		1,778,749		79,899	4.70%
or Flow (MGD)			9.309				9.667			

Operating Budget vs. Actual Notes Revenues Lasse Revenues Lasse Revenues Interest Allocation Total Operating Revenues Servenues Total Operating Revenues Total Operating Revenues Servenues Personnel Cost Professional Services Personnel Cost Professional Services C \$ 1.234.752 \$ 1.269.352 \$ 3.41.691 170.845 S 175.296 \$ 1.450 2.509 112.98% 1.269.352 \$ 3.41.691 170.845 S 175.296 \$ 3.41.691 170.845 S 175.296 S 3.41.691 170.845 S 175.296 S 3.41.691 170.845 S 175.296 S 1.450 C 133.426 667.713 90.818 22,000 11.450 Contamications 1.500 750 738 1.2 1.500 750 738 1.2 1.500 750 738 1.2 1.500 700 465.602 8.546 1.00% 3.200 1.6020 3.3,607 1.482.605 1.192.860 5 1.216,725 8.08,485 1.192.860 8 7.42.08 8 1.246,525 1.216,525 1.216,525 1.216,525 1.216,525 1.216,525 1.216,525 1.216,525 1.226,525 1.226,525 1.226,525 1.226,525 1.226,525 1.226,525 1.226,525	<u>Crozet Water Rate Center</u> Revenues and Expenses Summary			Budget FY 2024	Ye	Budget ear-to-Date	Ye	Actual ear-to-Date	V	Budget s. Actual	Variance Percentage
Revenues Notes Operations Rate Revenues Lease Revenues Interest Allocation \$ 1.234,752 \$ 617,376 \$ 61,327 \$ 738 12 1 12,156 738 12 1 156 738 12 1 156 738 12 1 156 738 12 1 156 74,203 \$ 465,662 \$ 140,61 \$ 1100 30,000 (30,000 (30,000 (30,000 (30,000 (30,000 (30,000 (30,000 (30,000 (30,000 (30,000 (30,000 (30	Operating Budget vs. Actual										
Evenues \$ 1.234,752 \$ 617,376 \$ - 0.00% Lease Revenues Interest Allocation Tatal Operating Revenues \$ 1.249,752 \$ 617,376 \$ - 0.00% Expenses Total Operating Revenues \$ 1.249,382 \$ 634,677 \$ 633,684 \$ 4.008 0.239 Expenses \$ 1.249,382 \$ 634,677 \$ 633,684 \$ 4.008 0.239 Professional Services \$ 341,601 \$ 175,296 \$ (4,450) -2.60% Professional Services \$ 341,601 \$ 176,805 \$ 11,450 00000% Supprise \$ 22,900 11,450 \$ 0.637 30,000 -2.60% Operations & Maintenance \$ 341,601 \$ 176,855 148,216 19,034 11.70% Subtata Betore Allocations Allocation of Support Departments \$ 20,940 464% \$ 32,000 13,000 2.303 (730) 1.70% Deprociation Subtata Betore Allocations \$ 32,000 30,000 2.303 (730) 1.206,256 \$ 8,546 \$ 1.207% Debt Service Budget vs. Ac	Povonuos	Notes									
Deparation Number C No.2000 C Display and the set of	Operations Rate Revenue		\$	1 234 752	\$	617 376	\$	617 376	\$	_	0.00%
Interest Allocation Total Operating Revenues 4,600 2,200 4,898 2,598 112,963 Expenses Personnel Cost \$ 1,269,352 \$ 638,676 \$ 638,684 \$ 4,000 0,639 Professional Services \$ 341,691 \$ 170,845 \$ 175,296 \$ (4,450) - 2,60% Other Services & Charges C 133,426 66,713 90,0818 (24,105) - 36,327 39,064 Communications 1,500 753 6,327 39,064 1,600 2,300 4,64% Communications 1,500 753 1,82,16 19,834 11,709 Equipment Purchases 3,200 70,733 6,327 39,064 Gonomications 1,500 73 6,327 39,064 Guide Depreting Expenses 3,200 1,600 2,300 700 1,656 Depreciation Subtail Before Allocations \$ 1,269,377 \$ 1,269,375 \$ 1,269,375 \$ 1,229,528 Before Fuel Mitnerest \$ 2,385,720 \$ 1,192,860 \$ 1,229,528 \$	Lease Revenues		Ψ	30.000	Ψ	15.000	Ψ	16.410	Ψ	1.410	9.40%
Total Operating Revenues \$ 1,269,352 \$ 638,684 \$ 4,008 0.63% Expenses Personnel Cost \$ 341,691 \$ 170,845 \$ 175,296 \$ (4,450) - 2.60% Portoses & Charges C 11,450 - 11,450 100.00% Other Services & Charges C 13,426 66,713 9.813 6.327 8.322 408 4.44% Information Technology 32,400 16,200 9.873 6.327 39.06% Operations & Maintenance 1,500 750 7.38 12 1.56% Operations & Maintenance 33,700 167,600 30,000 30,000 - 0,00% Eugement Purchases 32,000 1,600 2,330 (730) 45,61% Detrociation of Support Departments 5 634,678 5 612,465 \$ 22,213 300% Total Operating Expenses Operating Expenses 637,500 1,192,860 \$ 0,00% Reserve Fund Interest 4,400 \$ 1,226,827 \$ 634,678 \$ 22,760 1,825% <th>Interest Allocation</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>4,600</th> <th></th> <th>2,300</th> <th></th> <th>4,898</th> <th></th> <th>2,598</th> <th>112.96%</th>	Interest Allocation			4,600		2,300		4,898		2,598	112.96%
Expenses Pressional Services S 341,691 \$ 170,845 \$ 175,296 \$ (4,450) -2.60% Other Services & Charges C 13,3426 66,713 90,818 (24,105) -36,13% Communications 17,600 8,300 8,332 408 4,44% Information Technology 32,400 16,200 9,873 6,327 39,06% Supplies 15,00 750 738 12 1,66% Communications 1,500 750 738 12 1,66% Equipment Purchases 320,900 160,00 2,330 (730) -0,00% Allocation of Support Departments 320,940 160,470 146,862 8,546 1,80% Total Operating Expenses Operating Surplus/Dhinity 5 1,269,387 5 634,678 5 612,465 2,2,13 3,00% Debt Service Budget vs. Actual 13,500 6,750 15,495 8,745 129,660 1,219,260 1,219,260 1,219,260 </th <th>Total Operating Revenues</th> <th></th> <th>\$</th> <th>1,269,352</th> <th>\$</th> <th>634,676</th> <th>\$</th> <th>638,684</th> <th>\$</th> <th>4,008</th> <th>0.63%</th>	Total Operating Revenues		\$	1,269,352	\$	634,676	\$	638,684	\$	4,008	0.63%
Personnal Cost Professional Services \$ 341,691 \$ 170,845 \$ 175,296 \$ (4,450) -2.60% Professional Services & Charges C 11,450 - 11,450 100,00% Other Services & Charges C 177,600 8,800 8,392 408 4,64% Informations 11,600 17,600 8,600 8,392 408 4,64% Supplies 1,500 750 738 12 1,56% Operations & Maintenance 335,700 167,850 1442,216 18,634 11,70% Equipment Purchases 32,000 160,00 30,000 -0,00% 30,000 -0,00% Subtate Before Allocations Value Statistics 160,470 144,803 1,806 8,52% Debt Service Budget vs. Actual Substatistics 1,1269,387 \$ 634,678 \$ 1,129,860 \$ - 0,00% Reserve Fund Interest Substatistics \$ 1,216,725 \$ 0,033,33 \$ 0,00% \$ 1,228,620 \$ 2,2760 1,87% Debt Service Costs Total Debt Serv	Expenses										
Professional Services 22,900 11,450 11,450 100,00% Other Services & Charges C 13,426 66,713 90,818 (24,105) -36,13% Communications 17,600 8,800 8,392 408 4,64% Information Technology 32,400 16,200 9,973 6,327 39,06% Coperations & Maintenance 335,700 167,850 148,216 19,634 11,75% Equipment Purchases 3,220 1,600 2,330 (730) 45,61% Depreciation 3,426 448,216 19,634 11,75% 4465,652 8,546 1,80% Allocation of Support Departments 3,209,40 160,470 146,803 13,667 8,52% Total Operating Surplus/(Deficit) \$ 1,269,387 634,678 \$ 12,455 12,213 3,50% Debt Service Rate Revenue \$ 2,385,720 \$ 1,192,860 \$ - 0,00% Total Debt Service Rate Revenue \$ 2,365,00 1,225	Personnel Cost		\$	341.691	\$	170.845	\$	175.296	\$	(4,450)	-2.60%
Other Services & Charges C 133,426 66,713 90,818 (24,105) 36,338 Communications 17,600 8,800 8,392 408 4,64% Information Technology 32,400 16,200 9,873 6,327 39,06% Supplies 1,500 750 773 12 1,66% Operations & Maintenance 335,700 167,860 148,216 19,634 11,70% Equipment Purchases 3,200 1,600 3,000 -0,00% -0,00% Depreciation Subtoal Before Allocations 5,946,417 5,445,803 13,667 8,52% Allocation of Support Departments 320,940 160,470 146,803 13,667 8,52% Debt Service Rate Revenue 5,2,385,720 5,1,192,860 5,-0,00% -0,00% Trust Fund Interest 5,2,433,720 5,1,216,260 5,1,245 126,260 1,425% Revenues 5,2,433,720 5,1,216,260 5,1,236,20 5,22,760 1,87% Debt Service Revenues 5,1,2	Professional Services		+	22,900	•	11,450	•	-	Ŧ	11,450	100.00%
Communications 17,600 8,800 8,332 408 4,44% Information Technology 32,400 16,200 9,873 6,327 39,06% Supplies 1,500 750 174,810 148,216 19,834 11,70% Coperations & Maintenance 335,700 167,850 148,216 19,834 11,70% Equipment Purchases 32,000 1,600 2,330 (730) 45,61% Depreciation 60,000 30,000 30,000 -0,00% Allocation of Support Departments 320,940 160,470 146,803 13,667 8,52% Total Operating Expenses § 1,269,357 634,678 612,465 2,22,13 3,50% Debt Service Budget vs. Actual 15,00 17,250 1,192,860 1,192,860 1,192,860 1,239,820 1,22,766 4,163,81 Debt Service Revenue \$ 2,345,720 \$ 1,192,860 \$ -0,00% Tust Fund Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$	Other Services & Charges	С		133,426		66,713		90,818		(24,105)	-36.13%
Information Technology 32,400 16,200 9,873 6,327 39,06% Supplies 1,500 750 738 12 1,56% Operations & Maintenance 335,700 167,850 148,216 19,634 11,70% Equipment Purchases 30,000 30,000 30,000 30,000 -0,00% Subtotal Before Allocations \$ 948,417 \$ 474,208 \$ 465,662 \$ 8,546 1.80% Allocation of Support Departments \$ 948,417 \$ 474,208 \$ 465,662 \$ 8,546 1.80% Support Departments \$ 948,417 \$ 474,208 \$ 465,662 \$ 8,546 1.80% Operating Surplus/(Deficit) \$ 948,417 \$ 474,208 \$ 465,662 \$ 8,546 1.80% Support Departments \$ 1,269,357 \$ 634,678 \$ 612,465 \$ 2,2,213 3.50% Debt Service Budget vs. Actual \$ 1,269,357 \$ 634,678 \$ 612,206 \$ 1,22,96% \$ 1,229,56% Total Principal & Interest \$ 2,385,720 \$ 1,192,860 \$ 1,192,860 \$ - 0,00% Reserve Additions-Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ 609,363	Communications			17,600		8,800		8,392		408	4.64%
Supplies Operations & Maintenance Equipment Purchases 1,500 750 738 12 1.580 Operations & Maintenance Equipment Purchases 335,700 1600 2,330 (730) 45,81% Depreciation Subtotal Before Allocations Allocation of Support Departments 320,940 160,470 446,803 13,667 8.52% Total Operating Expenses Operating Surplus/(Deficit) \$ 474,208 \$ 474,208 \$ 474,208 \$ 26,219 Debt Service Budget vs. Actual \$ 1,269,387 \$ 63,678 \$ 61,2485 \$ 22,213 3.50% Debt Service Rate Revenue \$ 2,385,720 \$ 1,192,860 \$ 1,192,860 \$ - 0,00% Trust Fund Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0,00% \$ 1,239,620 \$ 22,760 1.87% Debt Service Costs \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0,00% \$ 1,239,620 \$ - 0,00% Total Principal & Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0,00% \$ 2,433,720 \$ 1,216,826 \$ - 0,00% Estimated New Principal & Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0,00% \$ 2,433,725 \$	Information Technology			32,400		16,200		9,873		6,327	39.06%
Operations & Maintenance 335,700 167,850 148,216 19,634 11,70% Equipment Purchases 3200 1,600 2,330 (730) 45.61% Depreciation Subtotal Before Allocations 60,000 30,000 30,000 -0.00% Allocation of Support Departments Total Operating Expenses 948,417 \$ 474,208 \$ 465,662 \$ 8,546 1.80% Debt Service Budget vs. Actual \$ 11,269,357 \$ 634,678 \$ 612,465 \$ 22,213 3.567% Debt Service Budget vs. Actual \$ 1,269,357 \$ 634,678 \$ 612,465 \$ 22,213 3.567% Debt Service Rudget vs. Actual \$ 1,269,357 \$ 614,617 \$ 1,429,360 \$ - 0.00% Total Operating Surplus/(Deficit) \$ 1,269,357 \$ 1,122,860 \$ 1,192,860 \$ - 0.00% Reserve Fund Interest \$ 1,250 \$ 1,220,800 \$ 1,239,620 \$ - 0.00% Total Debt Service Revenues \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0.00% Reserve Additions-Interest \$ 1,216,725	Supplies			1,500		750		738		12	1.56%
Equipment Purchases 3,200 1,600 2,330 (730) -45.61% Depreciation Subtatal Before Atlocations 9,44,417 \$474,208 \$465,662 8,546 1,80% Allocation of Support Departments 320,940 160,470 1465,603 12,263,57 \$612,465 \$2,213 3,50% Debt Service Budget vs. Actual \$1,169,357 \$612,465 \$2,213 3,50% Debt Service Rate Revenue \$2,385,720 \$1,192,860 \$1,192,860 \$-0.00% Trust Fund Interest \$3,500 67,750 15,495 8,745 129,56% Reserve Fund Interest \$1,216,725 \$608,363 \$-0.00% \$1,239,620 \$22,760 1.87% Debt Service Costs \$1,216,725 \$608,363 \$608,363 \$-0.00% \$1,239,620 \$22,760 1.87% Debt Service Costs \$1,216,725 \$608,363 \$608,363 \$-0.00% \$1,182,500 \$1,230,678 \$(14,015) \$1,276 \$1,230,678 \$(14,015) \$1,276 \$1,265,150 \$1,00% \$1,216,725 \$1,230,678	Operations & Maintenance			335,700		167,850		148,216		19,634	11.70%
Depreciation Subtatal Before Allocations 60,000 30,000 - 0,00% Allocation of Support Departments Total Operating Expenses 320,940 160,470 146,803 13,667 8,52% Operating Support Departments 5 9,484,117 \$ 634,678 \$ 612,465 \$ 22,213 3,50% Operating Support Departments 5 1,269,357 \$ 634,678 \$ 612,465 \$ 22,213 3,50% Operating Support Departments 5 2,385,720 \$ 1,192,860 \$ - 0,00% Exervice Rate Revenue 1,3500 6,750 15,495 8,745 129,56% Total Poincipal & Interest 34,500 17,250 31,265 14,015 81,25% Debt Service Costs 5 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0,00% Total Debt Service Costs 5 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0,00% Total New Principal & Interest \$ 1,216,725	Equipment Purchases			3,200		1,600		2,330		(730)	-45.61%
Subtail Before Allocations \$ 948,417 \$ 474,208 \$ 405,002 \$ 8,546 1.00% Allocation of Support Departments Total Operating Expenses 320,940 160,470 146,803 \$ 13,667 8.52% Debt Service Budget vs. Actual \$ 1,269,357 \$ 634,678 \$ 612,465 \$ 22,213 3.50% Debt Service Budget vs. Actual \$ 1,269,357 \$ 634,678 \$ 612,465 \$ 22,213 3.50% Debt Service Budget vs. Actual \$ 1,269,357 \$ 634,678 \$ 612,465 \$ 22,213 3.50% Debt Service Rate Revenue \$ 2,385,720 \$ 1,192,860 \$ 1,192,860 \$ \$ 0.00% Trust Fund Interest \$ 34,500 \$ 17,250 \$ 15,495 \$ 8,745 \$ 129,56% \$ 129,56% Reserve Fund Interest \$ 2,433,720 \$ 1,216,860 \$ 1,239,620 \$ 22,760 \$ 1.87% \$ 128,500 \$ 17,250 \$ 12,265 \$ (14,015) \$ -1.67% Total Principal & Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ 608,363 \$ \$ 0.00% Reserve Additions-Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ 1,230,878 \$ (14,015) \$ -4.15% \$ 1,2250 \$ - 0.00% Debt Service Costs \$ 1,216,725 \$ 1,216,863 \$ 1,230,878 \$ (14,015) \$ -4.15% \$ 1,220,978 \$ (14,015) \$ -4.15% Total Principal & Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 1,250 \$ 0.00% Reserve Additions-Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 1,266 \$ 1,250 \$ 0.00% Total Principal & Interest \$ 1,250,01 \$	Depreciation		_	60,000	•	30,000	•	30,000	•	-	0.00%
Allocation of support Departing Expenses Operating Surplus/(Deficit) 320,940 100,470 140,803 13,067 8.52% Total Operating Expenses Operating Surplus/(Deficit) Debt Service Budget vs. Actual Revenues Debt Service Rate Revenue \$ 2,385,720 \$ 1,192,860 \$ 1,192,860 \$ - 0.00% Trust Fund Interest 13,500 6,750 15,495 8,745 129,65% Reserve Fund Interest 34,500 17,250 31,265 14,015 81,25% Total Periocipal & Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0.00% Reserve Additions-Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0.00% Reserve Additions-Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0.00% Reserve Additions-Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0.00% Subst Service Costs \$ 1,243,720 \$ 1,216,863 \$ 1,230,878 \$ (14,015) -1.18% Debt Service Costs \$ 5 (14,015) -1.18% \$ - 0.00% \$ - 0.00% \$ - 0.00% \$ - 0.00% \$ - 0.00% \$ - 0.00% \$ - 0.00% \$ - 0.00%	Subtotal Before Allocations		\$	948,417	\$	474,208	\$	465,662	\$	8,546	1.80%
India Operating Exploses Operating Surplus/(Deficit) 3 1,203,31 3 034,015 3 012,463 3 22,213 3,307a Operating Surplus/(Deficit) 3 1,203,31 3 034,015 3 012,463 3 22,213 3,307a Debt Service Budget vs. Actual Revenues 1 5 1,192,860 \$ 1,192,860 \$ - 0,00% Trust Fund Interest Total Debt Service Revenues Total Debt Service Revenues Total Principal & Interest			¢	320,940	¢	160,470 634 679	¢	612 465	¢	13,007	8.52%
Operating subjust behavior v (v) (v) <th>Total Operating Expenses</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>1,209,357</th> <th>φ \$</th> <th>(2)</th> <th>φ \$</th> <th>26 219</th> <th>φ</th> <th>22,213</th> <th>3.50 /0</th>	Total Operating Expenses			1,209,357	φ \$	(2)	φ \$	26 219	φ	22,213	3.50 /0
Debt Service Budget vs. Actual Revenues Debt Service Rate Revenue \$ 2,385,720 \$ 1,192,860 \$ 1,192,860 \$ 0.00% Trust Fund Interest 34,500 17,250 31,265 14,015 81,25% Reserve Fund Interest \$ 2,433,720 \$ 1,216,725 \$ 14,015 81,25% Total Debt Service Revenues \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0.00% Total Principal & Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0.00% Reserve Additions-Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 14,015 -81,25% Estimated New Principal & Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 1,216,863 \$ - 0.00% Total Perincipal & Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 1,216,863 \$ 1,230,878 \$ (14,015) -81,25% Total Debt Service Costs \$ 1,216,725 \$ 1,216,863 \$ 1,230,878 \$ (14,015) -1.15% Debt Service Surplus/(Deficit) \$ 1,216,725 \$ 1,216,863 \$ 1,230,878 \$ (14,015) -1.15% Total Pevenues \$ 3,703,072 \$ 1,851,536 \$ 1,878,305 \$ 26,769 1.45% Total Revenues \$ 3,703,072 \$ 1,851,541 1,843,343 8,198 0.44% Surplus/(Deficit) \$ (10) \$ (5) \$ 34,962 Costs per 1000 Gallons \$ 6,26 \$ 5,08 Operating and DS \$ 18,27 \$ 15,28 Thousand Gallons Treated 202,697 101,349 120,643 19,295 19,04% Flow (MGD) 0.555 0,656 <th>operating outputs (Denen)</th> <th></th> <th>—</th> <th>(0)</th> <th>Ψ</th> <th>(=)</th> <th>Ψ</th> <th>20,210</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>	operating outputs (Denen)		—	(0)	Ψ	(=)	Ψ	20,210			
Debt Service Rate Revenue \$ 2,385,720 \$ 1,192,860 \$ 1,192,860 \$00% Trust Fund Interest 34,500 17,250 31,265 14,015 81,25% Reserve Fund Interest 34,500 17,250 31,265 14,015 81,25% Total Debt Service Revenues \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$00% Total Principal & Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$008,363 \$00% Reserve Additions-Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$008,363 \$00% Reserve Additions-Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$008,363 \$00% Total Principal & Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$008,363 \$00% Reserve Additions-Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$008,363 \$00% Total Principal & Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$008,363 \$00% Total Debt Service Costs \$ 1,216,725 \$008,78 \$00% Debt Service Surplus/(Deficit) \$ 1,216,860 \$00% Total Revenues \$ 3,703,072 \$008,78 \$00% Total Revenues \$ 3,703,072 \$008,78 \$008,78 \$00% Surplus/(Deficit) \$008,703,703 \$008,78 \$008,78 \$008,78 \$004% Surplus/(Deficit) \$008,703,703 \$008,78 \$008,78 \$014,796 Surplus/(Deficit) \$008,703,703,703 \$008,78 \$014,796 Surplus/(Deficit) \$008,703,703,703 \$018,753 \$008,708 \$008,708 \$008,708 \$008,708 \$008,708 \$00	Debt Service Budget vs. Actual Revenues										
Trust Fund Interest Reserve Fund Interest Reserve Fund Interest Total Debt Service Revenues 0 13,500 6,750 15,495 8,745 129,56% Debt Service Costs \$ 2,433,720 \$ 1,216,860 \$ 1,239,620 \$ 22,760 1.87% Debt Service Costs \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0,00% Reserve Additions-Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0,00% Stimated New Principal & Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0,00% Stimated New Principal & Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0,00% Total Petricipal & Interest \$ 1,182,500 591,250 - 0,00% Stimulation of the Service Costs \$ 1,182,500 \$ 1,239,878 \$ (14,015) -1.15% Debt Service Surplus/(Deficit) \$ \$ 3,703,072 \$ 1,851,536 \$ 1,878,305 \$ 26,769 1.45% Surplus/(Deficit) \$ \$ \$	Debt Service Rate Revenue		\$	2 385 720	\$	1 192 860	\$	1 192 860	\$	-	0.00%
Reserve Fund Interest 34,500 17,250 31,265 14,015 81,25% Total Debt Service Revenues 34,500 17,250 31,265 14,015 81,25% Debt Service Costs Total Principal & Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0.00% Reserve Additions-Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0.00% Estimated New Principal & Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0.00% Debt Service Costs \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ (14,015) -81,25% Debt Service Surplus/(Deficit) \$ 1,821,500 591,250 - 0.00% \$ 3,703,072 \$ 1,851,536 \$ 1,878,305 \$ 26,769 1.45% Surplus/(Deficit) \$ (10) \$ (5) \$ 34,962 \$ <t< th=""><th>Trust Fund Interest</th><th></th><th>Ψ</th><th>13.500</th><th>Ψ</th><th>6.750</th><th>Ψ</th><th>15.495</th><th>Ψ</th><th>8.745</th><th>129.56%</th></t<>	Trust Fund Interest		Ψ	13.500	Ψ	6.750	Ψ	15.495	Ψ	8.745	129.56%
Total Debt Service Revenues \$ 2,433,720 \$ 1,216,860 \$ 1,239,620 \$ 22,760 1.87% Debt Service Costs Total Principal & Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ 608,363 \$ 0.00%	Reserve Fund Interest			34,500		17,250		31,265		14,015	81.25%
Debt Service Costs Total Principal & Interest \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ 608,363 \$	Total Debt Service Revenues		\$	2,433,720	\$	1,216,860	\$	1,239,620	\$	22,760	1.87%
Total Principal & Interest Reserve Additions-Interest Estimated New Principal & Interest Total Debt Service Costs Debt Service Surplus/(Deficit) \$ 1,216,725 \$ 608,363 \$ 608,363 \$ - 0.00% 34,500 17,250 31,265 (14,015) -81.25% 591,250 591,250 591,250 - 0.00% \$ 2,433,725 \$ 1,216,863 \$ 1,230,878 \$ (14,015) -1.15% \$ (5) \$ (3) \$ 8,742 Rate Center Summary Total Revenues Total Revenues Surplus/(Deficit) \$ 3,703,072 \$ 1,851,536 \$ 1,878,305 \$ 26,769 1.45% 3,703,082 1,851,541 1,843,343 8,198 0.44% Surplus/(Deficit) \$ (10) \$ (5) \$ 34,962 3,703,082 1,851,541 1,843,343 8,198 0.44% Surplus/(Deficit) \$ 6.26 \$ 5.08 9 18.27 \$ 15.28 Costs per 1000 Gallons Operating and DS \$ 6.26 \$ 5.08 9 18.27 \$ 15.28 Thousand Gallons Treated 202,697 101,349 120,643 19,295 19.04% Flow (MGD) 0.555 0.656	Debt Service Costs										
Reserve Addition-Interest 34,500 17,250 31,265 (14,015) -81.25% Estimated New Principal & Interest 70tal Debt Service Costs \$1,182,500 591,250 591,250 -0.00% \$2,433,725 \$1,216,863 \$1,230,878 \$(14,015) -81.25% Debt Service Surplus/(Deficit) \$2,433,725 \$1,216,863 \$1,230,878 \$(14,015) -1.15% Surplus/(Deficit) \$3,703,072 \$1,851,536 \$1,878,305 \$26,769 1.45% Total Revenues \$3,703,072 \$1,851,536 \$1,878,305 \$26,769 1.45% Surplus/(Deficit) \$(10) \$(5) \$34,962 \$(14,015) 1.45% Costs per 1000 Gallons \$6.26 \$5.08 \$(14,015) 1.45% Gereating and DS \$1,827 \$1,851,536 \$1,878,305 \$26,769 1.45% Thousand Gallons Treated 202,697 101,349 120,643 19,295 19.04% Flow (MGD) 0.555 0.656 0.656 19.04% 19.04%	Total Principal & Interest		\$	1 216 725	\$	608 363	\$	608 363	\$	_	0.00%
Total New Principal & Interest 1,182,500 501,250 501,250 - 0.00% Estimated New Principal & Interest 1,182,500 501,250 501,250 - 0.00% Total Debt Service Costs 2,433,725 1,216,863 1,230,878 (14,015) - 0.00% Rate Center Summary Rate Center Summary 3,703,072 1,851,536 1,878,305 26,769 1.45% Surplus/(Deficit) \$ (10) \$ (5) \$ 34,962 Costs per 1000 Gallons \$ 6.26 \$ 5.08 5.08 5.08 Thousand Gallons Treated 202,697 101,349 120,643 19,295 19.04% Flow (MGD) 0.555 0.656 0.656 0.656 0.656 0.656	Reserve Additions-Interest		Ψ	34 500	Ψ	17 250	Ψ	31 265	Ψ	(14 015)	-81 25%
Total Debt Service Costs Debt Service Surplus/(Deficit) \$ 2,433,725 \$ 1,216,863 \$ 1,230,878 \$ (14,015) -1.15% Service Surplus/(Deficit) \$ 2,433,725 \$ 1,216,863 \$ 1,230,878 \$ (14,015) -1.15% Rate Center Summary Rate Center Summary Rate Center Summary 1.851,536 \$ 1,878,305 \$ 26,769 1.45% Total Expenses \$ 3,703,072 \$ 1,851,536 \$ 1,878,305 \$ 26,769 1.45% Surplus/(Deficit) \$ 3,703,082 1,851,541 1,843,343 8,198 0.44% Surplus/(Deficit) \$ (10) \$ (10) \$ (5) \$ 34,962 Costs per 1000 Gallons \$ 6.26 \$ 5.08 \$ 15.28 10.44% Thousand Gallons Treated 202,697 101,349 120,643 19,295 19.04% Flow (MGD) 0.555 0.656 0.656 0.656 0.656 0.656	Estimated New Principal & Interest			1.182.500		591.250		591,250		- (11,010)	0.00%
Debt Service Surplus/(Deficit) \$ (5) \$ (3) \$ 8,742 Rate Center Summary Total Revenues Total Expenses \$ 3,703,072 \$ 1,851,536 \$ 1,878,305 \$ 26,769 1.45% Surplus/(Deficit) \$ (10) \$ (5) \$ 34,962 0.44% Costs per 1000 Gallons Operating and DS \$ 6.26 \$ 5.08 5.08 18.27 19.295 19.04% Flow (MGD) 0.555 0.656 0.656 0.656 0.656 0.656	Total Debt Service Costs		\$	2,433,725	\$	1,216,863	\$	1,230,878	\$	(14,015)	-1.15%
Rate Center Summary Total Revenues Total Expenses \$ 3,703,072 \$ 1,851,536 \$ 1,878,305 \$ 26,769 1.45% 3,703,082 1,851,541 1,843,343 8,198 0.44% Surplus/(Deficit) \$ (10) \$ (5) \$ 34,962 Costs per 1000 Gallons Operating and DS \$ 6.26 \$ 5.08 \$ 18.27 \$ 15.28 Thousand Gallons Treated 202,697 101,349 120,643 19,295 19.04% Flow (MGD) 0.555 0.656	Debt Service Surplus/(Deficit)		\$	(5)	\$	(3)	\$	8,742			
Rate Center Summary Total Revenues Total Expenses \$ 3,703,072 \$ 1,851,536 \$ 1,878,305 \$ 26,769 1.45% 3,703,082 1,851,541 1,843,343 8,198 0.44% Surplus/(Deficit) \$ (10) \$ (5) \$ 34,962 Costs per 1000 Gallons Operating and DS \$ 6.26 \$ 5.08 8 18.27 \$ 15.28 Thousand Gallons Treated 202,697 101,349 120,643 19,295 19.04% Flow (MGD) 0.555 0.656											
Total Revenues Total Expenses \$ 3,703,072 \$ 1,851,536 \$ 1,878,305 \$ 26,769 1.45% Surplus/(Deficit) \$ (10) \$ (5) \$ 34,962 \$ 0.44% Costs per 1000 Gallons Operating and DS \$ 6.26 \$ 5.08 \$ 15.28 Thousand Gallons Treated 202,697 101,349 120,643 19,295 19.04% Flow (MGD) 0.555 0.656 0.656 0.656 0.656		R	late	Center Su	mn	nary					
Total Expenses 3,703,082 1,851,541 1,843,343 8,198 0.44% Surplus/(Deficit) \$ (10) \$ (5) \$ 34,962 Costs per 1000 Gallons \$ 6.26 \$ 5.08 Operating and DS \$ 0.202,697 101,349 120,643 19,295 19.04% Flow (MGD) 0.555 0.656 0.656 0.656 0.656 0.656	Total Revenues		\$	3,703,072	\$	1,851,536	\$	1,878,305	\$	26,769	1.45%
Surplus/(Deficit) \$ (10) \$ (5) \$ 34,962 Costs per 1000 Gallons Operating and DS \$ 6.26 \$ 5.08 Thousand Gallons Treated 202,697 101,349 120,643 19,295 19.04% Flow (MGD) 0.555 0.656 0.656 0.656 0.656 0.656 0.656	Total Expenses			3,703,082	-	1,851,541	-	1,843,343		8,198	0.44%
Costs per 1000 Gallons Operating and DS \$ 6.26 \$ 18.27 \$ 5.08 \$ 15.28 Thousand Gallons Treated 202,697 101,349 120,643 19,295 19.04% Flow (MGD) 0.555 0.656 0.656 0.656 0.656 0.656	Surplus/(Deficit)		\$	(10)	\$	(5)	\$	34,962			
Operating and DS \$ 18.27 \$ 15.28 Thousand Gallons Treated 202,697 101,349 120,643 19,295 19.04% Flow (MGD) 0.555 0.656 0.656 0.656 0.656	Costs per 1000 Gallons		\$	6.26			\$	5.08			
Thousand Gallons Treated 202,697 101,349 120,643 19,295 19.04% Flow (MGD) 0.555 0.656 0 <t< th=""><th>Operating and DS</th><th></th><th>\$</th><th>18.27</th><th></th><th></th><th>\$</th><th>15.28</th><th></th><th></th><th></th></t<>	Operating and DS		\$	18.27			\$	15.28			
Flow (MGD) 0.555 0.656	Thousand Gallons Treated			202,697		101,349		120,643		19,295	19.04%
	Flow (MGD)			0.555				0.656			

<u>Scottsville Water Rate Center</u> Revenues and Expenses Summary			Budget FY 2024	Ye	Budget ear-to-Date	Actual Year-to-Date		Budget vs. Actual		Variance Percentage
Operating Budget vs. Actual	•••									
	Notes									
Revenues		۴	050 400	¢	220.220	۴	200.020	¢		0.00%
Operations Rate Revenue		\$	000,400	\$	328,230	\$	328,230	\$	-	0.00%
Total Operating Revenues		\$	658 610	\$	329 305	\$	330 550	\$	1,245	0.38%
		Ψ	000,010	Ψ	020,000	Ψ	000,000	Ψ	1,240	0.0070
Expenses		•	000.044	•		•		•	(4 500)	4.400/
Personnel Cost		\$	223,641	\$	111,821	\$	116,404	\$	(4,583)	-4.10%
Professional Services			5,000		2,500		2,205		295	11.79%
Other Services & Charges			31,800		15,900		22,381		(6,481)	-40.76%
Communications			6,750		3,375		3,989		(614)	-18.20%
Information Technology			19,700		9,850		4,600		5,250	53.30%
Supplies			100		50		181		(131)	-261.98%
Operations & Maintenance			134,800		67,400		54,348		13,052	19.36%
Equipment Purchases			2,000		1,000		1,601		(601)	-60.06%
Depreciation			40,000		20,000		20,000		0	0.00%
Subtotal Before Allocations		\$	463,791	\$	231,896	\$	225,709	\$	6,187	2.67%
Allocation of Support Departments			194,815		97,407		88,838		8,570	8.80%
Total Operating Expenses		<u>\$</u>	658,606	\$	329,303	\$	314,547	\$	14,757	4.48%
Operating Surplus/(Deficit)		\$	4	\$	2	\$	16,004	=		
Revenues Debt Service Rate Revenue Trust Fund Interest Reserve Fund Interest		\$	158,736 1,650 10,300	\$	79,368 825 5 150	\$	79,368 1,893 9,620	\$	- 1,068 4,470	0.00% 129.48% 86.80%
		\$	170 686	\$	85 343	\$	90 881	\$	5 538	<u>6 49%</u>
Total Debi Gervice Revenues		Ψ	110,000	Ψ	00,040	Ψ	00,001	Ψ	0,000	0.4070
Debt Service Costs										
Total Principal & Interest		\$	148,991	\$	74,496	\$	74,496	\$	-	0.00%
Reserve Additions-Interest		•	10,300	•	5,150		9,620	,	(4,470)	-86.80%
Estimated New Principal & Interest			11,400		5,700		5,700		-	0.00%
Total Debt Service Costs		\$	170.691	\$	85,346	\$	89.816	\$	(4.470)	-5.24%
Debt Service Surplus/(Deficit)		\$	(5)	\$	(3)	\$	1,066			
								=		
	R	ate	Center Su	ımn	nary					
Total Revenues		\$	829,296	\$	414,648	\$	421,431	\$	6,783	1.64%
Total Expenses			829,297		414,649		404,362	_	10,286	2.48%
Surplus/(Deficit)		\$	(1)	\$	(1)	\$	17,069	-		
		,								
Costs per 1000 Gallons		\$	38.22			\$	32.85			
Operating and DS		\$	48.13			\$	42.24			
Thousand Gallons Treated			17,230		8,615		9,574		959	11.13%
or										
Flow (MGD)			0.047				0.052			

<u>Urban Wastewater Rate Center</u> Revenues and Expenses Summary			Budget FY 2024	Ŷ	Budget 'ear-to-Date	Ŷ	Actual ear-to-Date	v	Budget vs. Actual	Variance Percentage
Operating Budget vs. Actual	Notes									
Revenues										
Operations Rate Revenue		\$	9,908,321	\$	4,954,161	\$	5,123,514	\$	169,353	3.42%
Stone Robinson WWTP			17,267		8,634		8,411		(222)	-2.57%
Septage Acceptance			550,000		275,000		312,376		37,376	13.59%
Nutrient Credits			80,000		40,000		49,915		9,915	24.79%
Miscellaneous Revenue			-				4,360		4,360	
Interest Allocation		_	3,300		1,650		38,755	•	37,105	2248.78%
Total Operating Revenues		\$	10,558,888	\$	5,279,444	\$	5,537,332	\$	257,888	4.88%
Expenses										
Personnel Cost	в	\$	1,458,300	\$	729,150	\$	751,418	\$	(22,268)	-3.05%
Professional Services	_		40,000		20,000		18,874		1,126	5.63%
Other Services & Charges	С		2,271,556		1,135,778		1,295,012		(159,234)	-14.02%
			11,600		5,800		8,530		(2,730)	-47.08%
Supplies			1 200		55,300		32,100		23,134 (1 209)	41.03%
Operations & Maintenance			2 086 800		1 043 400		9/9 610		(1,300)	-217.93%
Equipment Purchases			73 500		36 750		39 911		(3 161)	-8 60%
Depreciation			470.000		235.000		235.000		(0,101)	0.00%
Subtotal Before Allocations		\$	6,523,556	\$	3,261,778	\$	3,332,430	\$	(70,652)	-2.17%
Allocation of Support Departments			4,035,331		2,017,665		1,842,665		175,000	8.67%
Total Operating Expenses		\$	10,558,887	\$	5,279,444	\$	5,175,095	\$	104,348	1.98%
Operating Surplus/(Deficit)		\$	1	\$	0	\$	362,236	-		
	r									
Debt Service Budget vs. Actual	ļ									
	I									
Revenues										
Debt Service Rate Revenue		\$	9,339,509	\$	4,669,755	\$	4,669,758	\$	4	0.00%
Septage Receiving Support - County	Α		109,440		54,720		109,440		54,720	100.00%
I rust Fund Interest			86,900		43,450		99,390		55,940	128.75%
Total Debt Service Revenues		\$	9 946 049	\$	4 973 025	\$	5 252 170	\$	279 146	<u> </u>
Total Desit Service Revenues		Ψ	3,340,043	Ψ	4,070,020	Ψ	5,252,170	Ψ	275,140	0.0170
Debt Service Costs										
Total Principal & Interest		\$	7.812.249	\$	3.906.125	\$	3.906.125	\$	-	0.00%
Reserve Additions-Interest		+	410,200	Ŧ	205,100	•	373,582	+	(168,482)	-82.15%
Debt Service Ratio Charge			325,000		162,500		162,500		-	0.00%
Est. New Debt Service - CIP Growth			1,398,600		699,300		699,300		-	0.00%
Total Debt Service Costs		\$	9,946,049	\$	4,973,025	\$	5,141,507	\$	(168,482)	-3.39%
Debt Service Surplus/(Deficit)		\$	-	\$	-	\$	110,664	:		
		Dat	to Contor S	um	mary					
		na	le Center J	um	inal y					
Total Revenues		\$	20,504,937	\$	10,252,469	\$	10,789,502	\$	537,033	5.24%
Total Expenses			20,504,936		10,252,468		10,316,602		(64,134)	-0.63%
Surplus/(Deficit)		\$	1	\$	0	\$	472,900			
Costs por 1000 Gallons		¢	2 11			¢	2.05			
Operating and DS		φ S	6.05			φ £	2.90			
		Ψ	0.00			Ψ	0.00			
Thousand Gallons Treated			3,390,400		1,695,200		1,753,427		58.227	3.43%
or							. ,			
Flow (MGD)			9.289				9.529			
<u>Glenmore Wastewater Rate Center</u> Revenues and Expenses Summary		Budget FY 2024	Y	Budget Actual Year-to-Date Year-to-Date		Actual ear-to-Date	Budget vs. Actual		Variance Percentage	
---	----------	-------------------	-----	--	---------	-----------------------	----------------------	---------	------------------------	
Operating Budget vs. Actual										
Revenues Notes										
Operations Rate Revenue	\$	521,916	\$	260,958	\$	260,958	\$	-	0.00%	
Interest Allocation		1,700		850		1,805		955	112.30%	
Total Operating Revenues	\$	523,616	\$	261,808	\$	262,763	\$	955	0.36%	
Expenses										
Personnel Cost	\$	127,879	\$	63,939	\$	66,225	\$	(2,286)	-3.58%	
Professional Services		25,000		12,500		11,686		814	6.52%	
Other Services & Charges		35,400		17,700		21,643		(3,943)	-22.28%	
		13 000		6 500		1,052		(8 289)	4.22%	
Supplies		-		-		-		(0,200)	121.0270	
Operations & Maintenance		143,550		71,775		57,103		14,672	20.44%	
Equipment Purchases		3,800		1,900		1,900		(0)	0.00%	
Depreciation		25,000		12,500		12,500		0	0.00%	
Subtotal Before Allocations	\$	377,079	\$	188,539	\$	187,499	\$	1,041	0.55%	
Allocation of Support Departments	¢	146,534	¢	73,267	¢	254 196	¢	6,570	8.97%	
Operating Surplus/(Deficit)	\$	323,013	\$	201,000	\$	8,567	Ψ	7,011	2.5170	
Debt Service Budget vs. Actual										
Revenues										
Debt Service Rate Revenue	\$	22,680	\$	11,340	\$	11,340	\$	-	0.00%	
Trust Fund Interest		200		100		247		147	146.92%	
Total Debt Service Revenues	\$	22 880	\$	- 11 440	\$	- 11 587	\$	- 147	1 28%	
	<u> </u>	,000	Ŧ	,	¥	,	•		112070	
Debt Service Costs										
Total Principal & Interest	\$	18,729	\$	9,365	\$	9,365	\$	-	0.00%	
Estimated New Principal & Interest		4,150		2,075		2,075		-	0.00%	
Reserve Additions-Interest	¢	- 22 879	¢	- 11 //0	¢	- 11 //0	¢	-	0.00%	
Debt Service Surplus/(Deficit)	\$	22,079	\$	1	\$	147	φ	-	0.00 %	
	<u> </u>				·					
	Rate	e Center Su	ımn	nary						
	¢	546 406	¢	070 040	¢	274 240	¢	1 101	0.400/	
Total Expenses	φ	546,490	φ	273,246	φ	265 635	φ	7 611	2 79%	
		010,102		210,210		200,000	•	1,011	2.1070	
Surplus/(Deficit)	\$	4	\$	2	\$	8,714				
Costs per 1000 Gallons	¢	10 65			¢	10 60				
Operating and DS	φ \$	13.20			φ \$	11.16				
	Ŧ				+					
Thousand Gallons Treated		41,401		20,701		23,797		3,097	14.96%	
Flow (MGD)		0.113				0.129				

or Flow (MGD)

<u>Scottsville Wastewater Rate Center</u> Revenues and Expenses Summary			Budget FY 2024	Budget Year-to-Date		Y	Actual ear-to-Date	ual Budget o-Date vs. Actual		Variance Percentage
Operating Budget vs. Actual										
Bevenues	Notes									
Revenues		•	004 400	•	100.000	•	400.000	•		0.000/
Operations Rate Revenue		\$	384,192	\$	192,096	\$	192,096	\$	-	0.00%
		¢	385 492	¢	192 746	¢	1,401	¢	811	124.75% 0 42%
-		Ψ	303,432	Ψ	132,740	Ψ	155,557	Ψ	011	0.4270
Expenses										
Personnel Cost		\$	127,949	\$	63,974	\$	66,225	\$	(2,251)	-3.52%
Professional Services			5,000		2,500		-		2,500	100.00%
Other Services & Charges			24,800		12,400		17,595		(5,195)	-41.89%
Communications			3,800		1,900		5,878		(3,978)	-209.34%
Supplies			14,025		7,013		413		0,000	94.11%
Operations & Maintenance			40,500		- 24 750		17 022		6 817	27 54%
Equipment Purchases			49,500		24,750		1 850		0,017	27.34%
Depreciation			20,000		10,000		1,000		(0)	0.00%
Subtotal Before Allocations		\$	248 774	\$	124 387	\$	120,508	\$	3 879	3 12%
Allocation of Support Departments		Ψ	136 722	Ψ	68,361	Ψ	62 287	Ψ	6 074	8 89%
Total Operating Expenses		\$	385,495	\$	192.748	\$	182.795	\$	9.953	5.16%
Operating Surplus/(Deficit)		\$	(3)	\$	(2)	\$	10,762	Ŧ	-,	
Revenues Debt Service Rate Revenue Trust Fund Interest Reserve Fund Interest		\$	18,636 80 1,800	\$	9,318 40 900	\$	9,318 103 1,603	\$	- 63 703	0.00% 157.18% 78.15%
Total Debt Service Revenues		\$	20,516	\$	10,258	\$	11,024	\$	766	7.47%
Debt Service Costs										
Total Principal & Interest		\$	7,471	\$	3,736	\$	3,736	\$	-	0.00%
Reserve Additions-Interest			1,800		900		1,603		(703)	-78.15%
Estimated New Principal & Interest		_	11,250		5,625	-	5,625		-	0.00%
Total Debt Service Costs		<u>\$</u>	20,521	\$	10,261	\$	10,964	\$	(703)	-6.86%
Debt Service Surplus/(Deficit)		φ	(5)	φ	(3)	φ	00	-		
		Rate	Center S	umi	mary					
Total Povenues		¢	106 000	¢	203 004	¢	201 591	¢	1 577	0 790/
Total Expanses		φ	400,000	φ	203,004	φ	204,001	φ	0.240	0.70%
			400,010		200,000		195,158	-	5,249	4.50%
Surplus/(Deficit)		\$	(8)	\$	(4)	\$	10,822	:		
Costs per 1000 Gallons		\$	16.30			\$	18.80			
Operating and DS		\$	17.17			\$	19.93			
									(c··	
Thousand Gallons Treated			23,643		11,822		9,724		(2,098)	-17.74%

0.065

0.053

1

Rivanna Water & Sewer Authority Monthly Financial Statements - December 2023

Administration

<u>Administration</u>				Budget FY 2024	Ye	Budget ear-to-Date	Ye	Actual ear-to-Date	VS	Budget s. Actual	Variance Percentage
Operating Budge	t vs. Actual		<u> </u>								
Revenues		Notes									
Payment for Services SWA			\$	781,000	\$	390,500	\$	390,500	\$	0	0.00%
Bond Proceeeds Funding Bo	nd Issuance Costs			-		-		-		-	
Miscellaneous Revenue				-		-		5,996		5,996	
	Total Operating Revenues		\$	781,000	\$	390,500	\$	396,496	\$	5,996	1.54%
Expenses											
Personnel Cost			\$	2,930,008	\$	1,465,004	\$	1,374,908	\$	90,096	6.15%
Professional Services				136,450		68,225		59,060		9,165	13.43%
Other Services & Charges		Α		140,760		70,380		85,505		(15,125)	-21.49%
Communications		Е		42,800		21,400		41,238		(19,838)	-92.70%
Information Technology				778,800		389,400		327,760		61,640	15.83%
Supplies				22,800		11,400		9,258		2,142	18.79%
Operations & Maintenance				64,200		32,100		21,001		11,099	34.58%
Equipment Purchases				15,000		7,500		7,589		(89)	-1.19%
Depreciation				-		-		-		-	
	Total Operating Expenses		\$	4,130,818	\$	2,065,409	\$	1,926,319	\$	139,091	6.73%

Department Summary								
Net Costs Allocable to Rate Centers		\$	(3,349,818)	\$	(1,674,909)	\$	(1,529,822)	\$ (145,087)
Allocations to the Rate Centers								
Urban Water	44.00%	\$	1,473,920	\$	736,960	\$	673,122	\$ 63,838
Crozet Water	4.00%	\$	133,993		66,996		61,193	5,803
Scottsville Water	2.00%	\$	66,996		33,498		30,596	2,902
Urban Wastewater	48.00%	\$	1,607,913		803,956		734,315	69,642
Glenmore Wastewater	1.00%	\$	33,498		16,749		15,298	1,451
Scottsville Wastewater	1.00%	\$	33,498		16,749		15,298	1,451
	100.00%	\$	3,349,818	\$	1,674,909	\$	1,529,822	\$ 145,087

Maintenance

Maintenance				Budget FY 2024		Budget Year-to-Date		Actual Year-to-Date	v	Budget s. Actual	Variance Percentage
Operating Budge	t vs. Actual	Notes	<u> </u>								
Revenues											
Payment for Services SWA			\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	
Miscellaneous Revenue				-		-		1,067		1,067	
	Total Operating Revenues		\$	-	\$	-	\$	1,067	\$	1,067	
Expenses											
Personnel Cost			\$	1.553.212	\$	776.606	\$	744.660	\$	31.946	4.11%
Professional Services			•	25,000	•	12,500		-	•	12,500	100.00%
Other Services & Charges				36,400		18,200		10,615		7,585	41.67%
Communications				11,300		5,650		12,261		(6,611)	-117.01%
Information Technology				17,500		8,750		505		8,245	94.23%
Supplies				4,000		2,000		-		2,000	100.00%
Operations & Maintenance				114,150		57,075		50,089		6,986	12.24%
Equipment Purchases				201,000		100,500		65,000		35,500	35.32%
Depreciation				-		-		-		-	
	Total Operating Expenses		\$	1,962,562	\$	981,281	\$	883,130	\$	98,151	10.00%
			Dep	artment S	um	imary					
Not Costs Allosable to	Pata Contora		¢	(1 062 562)	¢	(001 201)	¢	(992.062)	¢	(07 092)	0 90%

Г

let Costs Allocable to Rate Centers		\$ (1,962,562)	\$ (981,281)	\$ (882,063)	\$ (97,083)
Allocations to the Rate Centers					
Urban Water	30.00%	\$ 588,768	\$ 294,384	\$ 264,619	\$ 29,765
Crozet Water	3.50%	68,690	34,345	30,872	3,473
Scottsville Water	3.50%	68,690	34,345	30,872	3,473
Urban Wastewater	56.50%	1,108,847	554,424	498,365	56,058
Glenmore Wastewater	3.50%	68,690	34,345	30,872	3,473
Scottsville Wastewater	3.00%	58,877	29,438	26,462	2,977
	100.00%	\$ 1,962,562	\$ 981,281	\$ 882,063	\$ 99,218

٦

Scottsville Wastewater

Laboratorv

			Budget FY 2024	Ye	Budget ar-to-Date	Ye	Actual ear-to-Date	V	Budget s. Actual	Variance Percentage
Operating Budget vs.	Actual									
Revenues	Notes									
N/A										
Expenses										
Personnel Cost Professional Services		\$	456,056 -	\$	228,028	\$	229,057	\$	(1,029)	-0.45%
Other Services & Charges			14,580		7.290		674		6.616	90.76%
Communications			1,400		700		351		349	49.87%
Information Technology			1,000		500		-		500	100.00%
Supplies			1,200		600		351		249	41.42%
Operations & Maintenance			115,300		57,650		31,004		26,646	46.22%
Equipment Purchases			1,700		850		850		(0)	0.00%
Depreciation			-		-		-		-	
Total Op	erating Expenses	\$	591,236	\$	295,618	\$	262,287	\$	33,331	11.28%
	Depar	rtme	ent Summ	ary	/					
Net Costs Allocable to Rate C	Centers	\$	(591,236)	\$	(295,618)	\$	(262,287)	\$	(33,331)	11.28%
Allocations to the Rate Cer	nters									
Urban Water	44.00%	\$	260,144	\$	130,072	\$	115,406	\$	14,666	
Crozet Water	4.00%		23,649		11,825		10,491		1,333	
Scottsville Water	2.00%		11,825		5,912		5,246		667	
Urban Wastewater	47.00%		277,881		138,940		123,275		15,666	
Glenmore Wastewater	1.50%		8,869		4,434		3,934		500	

8,869

591,236 \$

4,434

\$

295,618

3,934

\$

262,287

500

33,331

1.50%

100.00% \$

Г

Fnaineerina

<u>Engineering</u>			Budget FY 2024		Budget Year-to-Date		Actual Year-to-Date	Budget s. Actual	Variance Percentage	
Operating Budget vs. Actual										
Povonuos	Notes									
Payment for Services SWA		\$	-	\$	-	\$	1 483	\$	1 483	
Total Operating Revenues		\$	-	\$	•	\$	1,483	\$	1,483	
Expenses										
Personnel Cost		\$	2,022,024	\$	1,011,012	\$	991,172	\$	19,840	1.96%
Professional Services		•	30,000		15,000		5,806		9,194	61.29%
Other Services & Charges			22,000		11,000		5,450		5,550	50.46%
Communications			19,540		9,770		7,830		1,940	19.85%
Information Technology			154,900		77,450		66,161		11,289	14.58%
Supplies			8,500		4,250		1,671		2,579	60.69%
Operations & Maintenance			86,740		43,370		18,803		24,567	56.65%
Equipment Purchases			21,500		10,750		10,750		0	0.00%
Depreciation		_	-	_	-		-			
Total Operating Expenses		\$	2,365,204	\$	1,182,602	\$	1,107,643	\$	74,959	6.34%
		De	partment S	um	mary					
Net Costs Allocable to Rate Centers		\$	(2,365,204)	\$	(1,182,602)	\$	(1,106,160)	\$	(73,476)	6.21%
Allocations to the Rate Centers Urban Water Crozet Water Scottsville Water	47.00% 4.00% 2.00%	\$	1,111,646 94,608 47,304	\$	555,823 47,304 23,652	\$	519,895 44,246 22,123	\$	35,928 3,058 1,529	
Urban Wastewater	44 00%		1.040.690		520.345		486.710		33.635	
Glenmore Wastewater	1.50%		35.478		17,739		16,592		1.147	
Scottsville Wastewater	1.50%		35,478		17,739		16,592		1,147	
	100 000/	¢	2 365 204	¢	4 492 602	¢	1 106 160	¢	76 442	

Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority Flow Graphs







MEMORANDUM

TO: **RIVANNA WATER & SEWER AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS** DAVE TUNGATE, DIRECTOR OF OPERATIONS & ENVIRONMENTAL FROM: **SERVICES REVIEWED BY: BILL MAWYER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR SUBJECT: OPERATIONS REPORT FOR JANUARY 2024 DATE: FEBRUARY 27, 2024**

WATER OPERATIONS:

WATER & SEWER AUTHORIT

The average and maximum daily water volumes produced in January 2024 were as follows:

Water Treatment Plant	Average Daily Production (MGD)	Maximum Daily Production in the Month (MGD)
South Rivanna	7.52	8.86 (1/22/2024)
Observatory	0.48	1.65 (1/18/2024)
North Rivanna	<u>0.44</u>	0.87 (1/25/2024)
Urban Total	8.44	9.72 (1/25/2024)
Crozet	0.55	0.65 (1/3/2024)
Scottsville	0.05	0.066 (1/19/2024)
Red Hill	<u>0.0019</u>	0.003 (1/29/2024)
RWSA Total	9.04	-

All RWSA water treatment facilities were in regulatory compliance during the month of January. •

Status of Reservoirs (as of February 16, 2024):

- ➢ Urban Reservoirs are 100% of Total Useable Capacity
 - South Rivanna Reservoir is 100 % full •
 - Ragged Mountain Reservoir is 100% full
 - Sugar Hollow Reservoir is 100% full •
- ▶ Beaver Creek Reservoir (Crozet) is 100% full
- ➤ Totier Creek Reservoir (Scottsville) is 100% full

WASTEWATER OPERATIONS:

All RWSA Water Resource Recovery Facilities (WRRFs) were in regulatory compliance with their effluent limitations during January 2024. There was a reported wastewater discharge from MCAWRRF into Moores Creek on 1/18/24 and 1/19/2024. Performance of the WRRFs in January was as follows compared to the respective VDEQ permit limits:

WRRF	Average Daily Effluent	Average (pp	Average CBOD ₅ (ppm) Average CBOD ₅			Average Ammonia (ppm)		
	Flow (MGD)) RESULT LIMIT		RESULT	LIMIT	RESULT	LIMIT	
Moores Creek	11.9	<ql< th=""><th>9</th><th>0.51</th><th>22</th><th>0.35</th><th>2.2</th></ql<>	9	0.51	22	0.35	2.2	
Glenmore	0.160	3.8	15	6.6	30	NR	NL	
Scottsville	0.09	3.4	25	12.0	30	NR	NL	
Stone Robinson	0.001	NR	30	NR	30	NR	NL	

NR = Not Required

NL = No Limit

<QL: Less than analytical method quantitative level (2.0 ppm for CBOD, 1.0 ppm for TSS, and 0.1 ppm for Ammonia).

State Annual A (lb./yr.) P	Allocation Permit	Average Monthly Allocation (lb./mo.) *	Moores Creek Discharge January (lb./mo.)	Performance as % of monthly average Allocation*	Year to Date Performance as % of annual allocation
Nitrogen	282,994	23,583	16,453	70%	6%
Phosphorous	18,525	1,636	165	10%	1%

Nutrient discharges at the Moores Creek AWRRF were as follows for January 2024.

*State allocations are expressed as annual amounts. One-twelfth of that allocation is an internal monthly benchmark for comparative purposes only.

WATER AND WASTEWATER DATA:

The following graphs are provided for review:

- Usable Urban Reservoir Water Storage
- Urban Water and Wastewater Flows versus Rainfall







MEMORANDUM

TO:RIVANNA WATER & SEWER AUTHORITY
BOARD OF DIRECTORSFROM:JENNIFER WHITAKER, DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING &
MAINTENANCEREVIEWED BY:BILL MAWYER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

- SUBJECT: CIP PROJECTS REPORT
- DATE: FEBRUARY 27, 2024

This memorandum reports on the status of the following Capital Projects as well as other significant operating, maintenance, and planning projects.

For the current CIP and additional project information, please visit: <u>https://www.rivanna.org/wp-content/uploads/2023/06/2024-2028-CIP-FINAL-DRAFT-1.pdf</u>

	Project	Construction Start Date	Construction Completion Date
1	SRWTP and OBWTP Renovations	May 2020	July 2024
2	Airport Rd. Water Pump Station and Piping	December 2021	September 2024
3	MC 5kV Electrical System Upgrades	May 2022	December 2024
4	South Fork Rivanna River Crossing	August 2024	June 2026
5	Red Hill Water Treatment Plant Upgrades	June 2024	December 2025
6	Central Water Line	December 2024	December 2028
7	Scottsville WRRF Whole Plant Generator and ATS	TBD	TBD
8	MC Administration Building Renovation and Addition	April 2025	December 2027
9	RMR to OBWTP Raw Water Line and Pump Station	September 2024	December 2028
10	MC Building Upfits and Gravity Thickener Improvements	August 2024	August 2026
11	Emmet Street Water Line Betterment	October 2024	October 2026
12	MC Structural and Concrete Rehabilitation	September 2024	December 2026
13	Crozet Pump Stations Rehabilitation	January 2025	December 2026
14	Crozet WTP GAC Expansion – Phase I	April 2025	October 2026
15	Beaver Creek Dam, Pump Station and Piping	April 2026	January 2029
16	SFRR to RMR Pipeline, Intake, and Facilities	June 2025	December 2030
17	Upper Schenks Branch Interceptor, Phase II	TBD	TBD
18	North Rivanna Water Treatment Plant Decommissioning	September 2025	March 2027

Summary

Under Construction

- 1. South Rivanna and Observatory Water Treatment Plant Renovations
- 2. Airport Road Water Pump Station and Piping
- 3. MC 5kV Electrical System Upgrades

Design and Bidding

- 4. South Fork Rivanna River Crossing
- 5. Red Hill Water Treatment Plant Upgrades
- 6. Central Water Line
- 7. Scottsville WRRF Whole Plant Generator and ATS
- 8. MC Administration Building Renovation and Addition
- 9. RMR to OBWTP Raw Water Line and Pump Station
- 10. MC Building Upfits and Gravity Thickener Improvements
- 11. Emmet Street Water Line Betterment
- 12. MC Structural and Concrete Rehabilitation
- 13. Crozet Pump Stations Rehabilitation
- 14. Crozet WTP GAC Expansion Phase I
- 15. Beaver Creek Dam, Pump Station, and Piping
- 16. SFRR to RMR Pipeline, Intake, and Facilities
- 17. Upper Schenks Branch Interceptor, Phase II
- 18. North Rivanna Water Treatment Plant Decommissioning

Planning and Studies

- 19. Asset Management Plan
- 20. MCAWRRF Biogas Upgrades

Other Significant Projects

- 21. Urgent and Emergency Repairs
- 22. Security Enhancements

Under Construction

1. South Rivanna and Observatory Water Treatment Plant Renovations

Design Engineer:Short Elliot Hendrickson, Inc. (SEH)Construction Contractor:English Construction Company (Lynchburg, VA)Construction Start:May 2020Percent Complete:96%Base Construction Contract +536,748,500 + \$1,329,762 = \$38,078,262Completion:July 2024Budget:\$43,000,000

<u>Current Status</u>: Improvements continue at the OBWTP including completion of the new Chemical Building and general site improvements. At the SRWTP, sludge pump improvements and final instrumentation programming work continues.

2. Airport Road Water Pump Station and Piping

Design Engineer:	Short Elliot Hendrickson (SEH)
Construction Contractor:	Anderson Construction, Inc. (ACI) (Lynchburg, VA)
Construction Start:	December 2021
Percent Complete:	85%
Base Construction Contract +	
Change Order to Date = Current Value:	\$8,520,312 + \$205,908 = \$8,726,221
Completion:	September 2024
Budget:	\$10,000,000

<u>Current Status</u>: Water line installation is substantially complete. All sections of the waterline have passed pressure testing with flushing and disinfection approximately 50% complete. Paving of Berkmar Drive is proceeding but is weather dependent. Pump station startup and testing can begin once electric power, water, and fiber installations to the site are completed in February. The roof installation is complete. Plumbers and electricians are completing their work inside the pump station.

3. MCAWRRF 5kV Electrical System Upgrades

Design Engineer:	Hazen and Sawyer (Hazen)
Construction Contractor:	Pyramid Electrical Contractors (Richmond, VA)
Construction Start:	May 2022
Percent Complete:	31%
Base Construction Contract +	
Change Order to Date = Current Value:	\$5,180,000 - \$848,368 = \$4,331,632
Completion:	December 2024
Budget:	\$5,635,000

<u>Current Status</u>: All major site-related work, including underground electrical ductbank, equipment pads, and curb and gutter replacements, is complete. Small electrical equipment for this project continues to arrive at the site, with the larger equipment scheduled to arrive later this Winter through early Spring.

Design and Bidding

4. South Fork Rivanna River Crossing

Design Engineer:	Michael Baker International (Baker)
Project Start:	November 2020
Project Status:	90% Design
Construction Start:	August 2024
Completion:	June 2026
Budget:	\$7,300,000

<u>Current Status</u>: Easement acquisition work is on-going. A required easement on the south side of the river is on a remnant property from the VDOT Berkmar Bridge project, and we cannot finalize that easement until the property transfer back to the original property owner is complete. We had a meeting with VDOT to move this acquisition forward. Another outstanding easement is on a Virginia Dominion Power parcel for which we completed a Phase 1 Environmental Survey because Virginia Dominion Power prefers that we purchase the small parcel instead of acquiring an easement. A purchase agreement package will go to Dominion this month. The final outstanding easement is with Albemarle County across the Brookhill Park property along Rio Mills Rd for which a final draft is pending.

5. <u>Red Hill Water Treatment Plant Upgrades</u>

Design Engineer:	Short Elliot Hendrickson (SEH)
Project Start:	July 2022
Project Status:	Bidding
Construction Start:	June 2024
Completion:	December2025
Budget:	\$2,000,000

<u>Current Status</u>: Review of bid costs and potential changes are ongoing with the contractor and Rivanna personnel. This is being done to ensure all operational needs are met while incorporating cost savings measures where feasible. This project received partial grant funding from Albemarle County.

6. Central Water Line

Design Engineer:	Michael Baker International (Baker)
Project Start:	July 2021
Project Status:	75% Design
Construction Start:	December 2024
Completion:	December 2028
Budget:	\$47,000,000

<u>Current Status</u>: Completion of 90% construction documents is anticipated this month with a stakeholder's workshop to follow. Easement acquisitions are being initiated with our real estate consultant. Soil borings and utility test pits along the alignment are complete. Railroad permits will be submitted this month.

7. Scottsville WRRF Whole Plant Generator and ATS

Wiley Wilson
December 2021
100% Design
TBD
TBD
\$520,000

<u>Current Status:</u> An update from VDEM indicated that the grant approval and funding process may continue until Summer 2024. As a result, the overall project schedule is uncertain.

8. Moores Creek Administration Building Renovation and Addition

Design Engineer:	SEH
Project Start:	October 2022
Project Status:	60% Design
Construction Start:	April 2025
Completion:	December 2027
Budget:	\$20,000,000

<u>Current Status</u>: Value Engineering recommendations are under review. Site planning for the temporary trailers is being incorporated. The education exhibit design will be incorporated into the 90% documents.

9. <u>Ragged Mountain Reservoir to Observatory Water Treatment Plant Raw Water Line and Pump</u> <u>Station</u>

Kimley-Horn
August 2018
87% Design
September 2024
December 2028
\$46,000,000

<u>Current Status</u>: Design of the pump station is 75% complete, and design of the waterline is 90% complete. Comments from the County on the Water Protection Ordinance permitting documents are being incorporated. Staff are meeting with UVA this month to discuss the final easement needed. An information meeting with contractors will be scheduled.

10. MCAWRRF Building Upfits and Gravity Thickener Improvements

Design Engineer:	Short Elliot Hendrickson (SEH)
Project Start:	March 2023
Project Status:	5% Design
Construction Start:	August 2024
Completion:	August 2026
Budget:	\$7,500,000

<u>Current Status</u>: A work authorization for design, bidding and construction administration services is being submitted this month for Board approval with design kickoff anticipated for early March.

11. Emmet Street Water Line Betterment

ίA)
2

Hydraulic/29 – Preliminary Design

Completion: Budget: 2024 - 2026, Phase I \$2,900,000

<u>Current Status</u>: WRA has completed 70% construction documents for the water main. Permitting efforts are underway. RWSA is coordinating with City Utilities for construction of a 24-30" water main in Emmet Street from Ivy Road to Arlington Boulevard as part of the City's Emmet Streetscape Phase I project. A Betterment Agreement and costs are under review by City Utilities.

An analysis of possible water main alignments along the Emmet Street Corridor was completed for the section between Morton Drive and Hydraulic Road. The report detailing this analysis was completed in December 2023.

12. MCAWRRF Structural and Concrete Rehabilitation

Design Engineer:	Hazen and Sawyer (Hazen)
Project Start:	April 2023
Project Status:	Preliminary Engineering
Construction Start:	September 2024
Completion:	December 2026
Budget:	\$11,300,000

<u>Current Status</u>: Revisions are underway to the draft PER Report to include additional information and associated costs for the digester alternatives. Design documents are progressing for all the other structural rehabilitation and repair elements towards a 90% deliverable within the next month.

13. Crozet Pump Stations Rehabilitation

Design Engineer:	Wiley Wilson
Project Start:	July 2023
Project Status:	60% Design
Construction Start:	January 2025
Completion:	December 2026
Budget:	\$10,350,000

<u>Current Status</u>: 60% design documents have been completed and a review workshop was held in January. Preparations are underway for a value engineering workshop.

14. Crozet GAC Expansion – Phase I

Design Engineer:	SEH
Project Start:	July 2023
Project Status:	Preliminary Engineering
Construction Start:	April 2025
Completion:	October 2026
Budget:	\$6,550,000

Current Status: The Preliminary Engineering Report will be completed in February.

15. Beaver Creek Dam, Pump Station and Piping Improvements

on)

<u>Current Status</u>: Design work is underway by Hazen for the new raw water pump station, intake, raw water main, and hypolimnetic oxygenation system, and by Schnabel Engineering for final design of the dam spillway upgrades, temporary detour, and spillway bridge. Site survey work for the pump station will be completed in March 2024.

16. SFRR to RMR Pipeline, Intake, and Facilities

Kimley Horn/SEH
July 2023
11% Design
June 2025
December 2030
\$80,000,000

<u>Current Status</u>: Boundary survey of the watermain alignment in VDOT right-of-way and the geotechnical investigation will be completed this month. Modifications to the Ragged Mtn Reservoir intake tower and perimeter clearing to allow for the 12-foot pool raise will be included in this overall project. A short section of the 36" raw water main is being constructed with the Victorian Heights housing development on Woodburn Road and will be completed in March 2024.

17. Upper Schenks Branch Interceptor, Phase II

Design Engineer:	CHA Consulting
Project Start:	July 2021
Project Status:	Design
Construction Start:	TBD
Completion:	TBD
Budget:	\$4,725,000

<u>Current Status</u>: The design team has provided additional information to assist the County with easement acquisition considerations.

18. North Rivanna Water Treatment Plant Decommissioning

SEH
July 2019
5% Design
September 2025
March 2027
\$2,425,000

<u>Current Status:</u> Design is underway for decommissioning of the North Rivanna Water Treatment Plant. Staff are pursuing funding and administrative assistance for removal of the North Fork Rivanna low head dam from the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) through their Partners for Fish and Wildlife Program. River survey work requested by USFWS is expected to be completed this month.

Planning and Studies

19. Asset Management Plan

Design Engineer:
Project Start:
Project Status:
Completion:
Budget:

GHD, Inc. July 2018 AMP Implementation – 80% Complete AMP Implementation – 2024 \$1,180,000

<u>Current Status</u>: Work continues to fully implement the Asset Management program across all applicable Authority facilities with refinement of a linear asset Excel model and planning associated with performing condition assessments on critical RWSA assets.

20. MCAWRRF Biogas Upgrades

Design Engineer:	SEH
Project Start:	October 2021
Project Status:	Preliminary Engineering/Study (99%)
Completion:	December 2024
Budget:	\$2,145,000

<u>Current Status</u>: This project now includes the Methane Sphere Rehabilitation, in addition to possible Cogeneration upgrades. RWSA and City staff continue to discuss all available options to reuse the biogas, with further investigation and analysis ongoing.

Other Significant Projects

21. Urgent and Emergency Repairs

Staff are currently working on several urgent repairs within the water and wastewater systems as listed below:

Project No.	Project Description	Approx. Cost
2023-01	Finished Water System ARV Repairs	\$150,000
2024-01	Rivanna Wastewater Pumping Station Flood	TBD
2024-02	North Rivanna Waterline Break Near Camelot	\$15,000

• <u>RWSA Finished Water ARV Repairs</u>: RWSA Engineering staff recently met with Maintenance staff to identify a list of Air Release Valves (ARVs) that need to be repaired, replaced, or

abandoned. Several of these locations will require assistance from RWSA On-Call Maintenance Contractors, due to the complexity of the sites (proximity to roadways, depth, etc.). The initial round will include six (6) sites, all along the South Rivanna Waterline, and will be completed starting in March 2024.

- <u>Rivanna WWPS Flood:</u> As discussed in the January Board of Directors Meeting and in this month's board packet, the Rivanna Wastewater Pump Station experienced a significant flooding event on the evening of January 9th, 2024, that has rendered the facility inoperable. Work continues on the 53-MGD bypass pumping installation, with completion anticipated over the next several weeks. In addition, staff continues investigation into the root cause of the event on the dry well side of the facility and has also begun analyzing procurement needs for long-lead equipment items.
- <u>North Rivanna Waterline Break Near Camelot Subdivision:</u> At around 1:45 PM on January 25th, the North Rivanna Waterline experienced a catastrophic failure, between its crossing of the North Fork of the Rivanna River and Camelot Drive. RWSA crews mobilized quickly and were able to isolate the leak, which helped to restore overall system pressures. Repair efforts began around 5:30 PM once the Virginia Miss Utility Ticket cleared, and the watermain was placed back into service around 1:00 AM the following morning.

22. Security Enhancements

Design Engineer:	Hazen & Sawyer
Construction Contractor:	Security 101 (Richmond, VA)
Construction Start:	March 2020
Percent Complete:	10% (WA9), 0% (WA10)
Based Construction Contract +	
Change Orders to Date = Current Value:	\$718,428 (WA1) + \$834,742 (WA2-10)
Completion:	June 2024 (WA9), August 2024 (WA10)
Budget:	\$2,810,000

<u>Current Status:</u> WA9 will include installation of card access on all exterior doors at the South Rivanna WTP. Work began during the week of January 29th. WA10 will include installation of card access on the exterior doors of the finished water pump station and 795 tank buildings in Scottsville, as part of the recent transfer in ownership of these facilities to RWSA. Materials are currently being procured for this work. Design of MCAWRRF entrance modifications with Hazen & Sawyer also continues, with discussions with Dominion Energy also ongoing, as relocation of existing electrical infrastructure will be required. This relocation process will need to be finalized prior to the project proceeding to the bidding phase. Relocation of existing electrical infrastructure will require coordination with the adjacent landowner, as the infrastructure must be completely relocated from the entrance area. As these discussions are ongoing, staff has submitted appropriate permitting documents with Albemarle County.



MEMORANDUM

TO: RIVANNA WATER & SEWER AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM: BETSY NEMETH, DIRECTOR OF ADMINISTATION AND COMMUNICATIONS

REVIEWED BY: BILL MAWYER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATION AND COMMUNICATIONS REPORT

DATE: FEBRUARY 27, 2024

Human Resources

Annual turnover for the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority, for the fiscal year beginning on July 1, 2023, is 9.0% through January, under our Strategic Plan goal of 10%.

Two of our long-time Water Department employees will be retiring at the end of February. Wayne Barnes, our Water Department Assistant Manager has been with us since 1979 and Konrad Zeller, one of our Class 1 Water Operators has been with us since 1999. We appreciate their many years of service to our community and wish them well with all of their future endeavors.

We are pleased to announce that two of our employees have been promoted. Joshua Bowen is our new Construction Inspection Supervisor and Bradley Puffenbarger is our new Water Department Assistant Manager.

Safety

Our Safety Manager continues to work with our team and contractors at the Rivanna Pump Station to ensure that all work is being done safely. We recently had the Albemarle County Fire and Rescue team spend time at the site to work through confined space entry into the pump station.

Community Outreach

The Rivanna Water & Sewer Authority will begin sponsoring tours of our facilities for the public. The first tour will be at the Moores Creek Advance Water Resource Recovery Facility in March. Up to 10 people will be able to sign up on our website for a guided tour with our Wastewater Department team.

We have added a new page to our website which updates the public on the progress at the Rivanna Pump Station.



MEMORANDUM

TO:RIVANNA WATER & SEWER AUTHORITY
BOARD OF DIRECTORSFROM:JENNIFER WHITAKER, DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING &
MAINTENANCEREVIEWED BY:BILL MAWYER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTORSUBJECT:WHOLESALE METERING REPORT FOR JANUARY 2024DATE:FEBRUARY 27, 2024

The monthly and average daily Urban water system usages by the City and the ACSA for January 2024 were as follows:

	Month	Daily Average	
City Usage (gal)	124,904,593	4,029,180	47.9%
ACSA Usage (gal)	135,698,388	4,377,367	52.1%
Total (gal)	260,602,981	8,406,548	

The *RWSA Wholesale Metering Administrative and Implementation Policy* requires that water use be measured based upon the annual average daily water demand of the City and ACSA over the trailing twelve (12) consecutive month period. The *Water Cost Allocation Agreement (2012)* established a maximum water allocation for each party. If the annual average water usage of either party exceeds this value, a financial true-up would be required for the debt service charges related to the Ragged Mountain Dam and the SRR-RMR Pipeline projects. Below are graphs showing the calculated monthly water usage by each party, the trailing twelve-month average (extended back to February 2023), and that usage relative to the maximum allocation for each party (6.71 MGD for the City and 11.99 MGD for ACSA). Completed in 2019 for a cost of about \$3.2 M, our Wholesale Metering Program consists of 25 remote meter locations around the City boundary and 3 finished water flow meters at treatment plants.



Figure 1: City of Charlottesville Monthly Water Usage and Allocation

Figure 2: Albemarle County Service Authority Monthly Water Usage and Allocation







TO:RIVANNA WATER & SEWER AUTHORITY
BOARD OF DIRECTORSFROM:ANDREA BOWLES, WATER RESOURCES MANAGER
JENNIFER WHITAKER, DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING &
MAINTENANCEREVIEWED:BILL MAWYER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTORSUBJECT:DROUGHT MONITORING REPORTDATE:FEBRUARY 27, 2024

State and Federal Drought Monitoring, as of February 15, 2024:

• U.S. Drought Monitoring Report: Indicates there are no drought conditions in Albemarle County or Virginia.

Virginia

Map released: Thurs. February 15, 2024

Data valid: February 13, 2024 at 7 a.m. EST



Authors

Intensity

United States and Puerto Rico Author(s): Deborah Bathke, National Drought Mitigation Center

Pacific Islands and Virgin Islands Author(s): <u>Richard Tinker</u>, NOAA/NWS/NCEP/CPC



• VDEQ Drought Status Report: Our region is listed as being in a "Normal" level for all indicators.



Precipitation & Stream Flows

Charlottesville Precipitation					
Year	Month	Observed	Normal (in.)	Departure	Comparison to
		(in.)		(in.)	Normal (%)
2021	Jan - Dec	33.82	41.61	-7.79	-19
2022	Jan - Dec	43.53	41.61	+1.92	+5
2023	Jan – Dec	26.95	41.61	-15.98	-35
2024	Jan	5.88	3.04	+2.84	+52

Source: National Weather Service, National Climatic Data Center, Climate Summary for Charlottesville, Charlottesville Albemarle Airport station

USGS Stream Gaging Station Near the Urban Area (February 8-February 14)						
Gage Name	Rolling 7-day Avg. Stream Flow		Gage Name Rolling 7-day Avg. Stream Flow Median		Median Dail	y Streamflow
	cfs	mgd	cfs	mgd		
Mechums River	110.7	71.6	100	64.6		
Moormans River	102.5	66.3	81	52.4		
NF Rivanna River	118.9	76.8	122	78.9		
SF Rivanna River	265.1	171.4	253	163.5		

Median daily flow: February 14 for the period of record (approx. 30 - 80 years)

Status of Reservoirs (as of February 15, 2024)

- ➤ Urban Reservoirs are 100% of Total Useable Capacity
- ▶ Beaver Creek Reservoir (Crozet) is 100% of Total Useable Capacity
- > Totier Creek Reservoir (Scottsville) is 100% of Total Useable Capacity

Drought History in Central Virginia

- Severe: 1930, 1966, 1982, 2002
- Longest: May 2007 April 2009 = 103 weeks
- Significant: every 10 -15 years
- Drought of Record: 2001-2002; 18 months



MEMORANDUM

TO: RIVANNA WATER & SEWER AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM: JENNIFER A. WHITAKER, DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING AND MAINTENANCE

REVIEWED: BILL MAWYER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: APPROVAL OF ENGINEERING SERVICES - MOORES CREEK BUILDING UPFITS AND GRAVITY THICKENER IMPROVEMENTS PROJECT- SHORT ELLIOT HENDRICKSON INC.

DATE: FEBRUARY 27, 2024

This request is to authorize the Executive Director to execute a Work Authorization with Short Elliot Hendrickson Inc. (SEH) totaling \$824,073 to provide full design and construction administration services to complete the MCAWWRF Building Upfits and Gravity Thickener Improvements project.

Background

The RWSA owns and operates the Moores Creek Advanced Water Resource Recovery Facility (MCAWRRF) and is proposing renovations and upgrades to the Operations and Maintenance buildings and improvements to select treatment processes. For the first part of the project, the scope is to renovate and upgrade two existing Operations Buildings (Blower Building and Sludge Pumping Building) and the existing Maintenance Shop and Vehicle Maintenance Buildings. These four buildings are located at the west end of the south side of Moores Creek, west of the existing Administration Building. The existing buildings are single story structures, with existing mezzanine space located in the Maintenance Shop Building. The renovations and upgrades to the Operations and Maintenance buildings will improve furnishings and address current and future space needs.

The second part of the project involves treatment process improvements located near the building renovations and includes:

- · Electronic Actuators for six secondary clarifier slide gates
- \cdot Gravity thickener chemical feed system
- \cdot Gravity thickener polymer delivery
- · Thickened sludge system

Under this contract, SEH would provide Professional Engineering Services for this project to include detailed design, permitting, bidding, construction administration and part-time field

inspection services. A preliminary engineering effort has been completed that included a programming review of space needs and personnel locations, schematic conceptual design to confirm floor plan options and site layout, initial finishes, and construction cost estimate.

Engineering staff have negotiated an initial scope of work to include:

- Site survey and geotechnical investigation
- Detailed design and regulatory permitting
- Site plan development and assistance through Albemarle County approval process
- Development of construction documents and bidding assistance
- Construction administration services

Board Action Requested:

Authorize the Executive Director to execute a Work Authorization totaling \$824,073 with Short Elliot Hendrickson Inc. for professional engineering services to complete the MCAWWRF Building Upfits and Gravity Thickener Improvements project, and any amendments needed to complete the tasks identified above, not to exceed 25% of the original contract amount, provided the resulting total cost is within the approved CIP project budget.



MEMORANDUM

TO: RIVANNA WATER & SEWER AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM: JENNIFER A. WHITAKER, DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING AND MAINTENANCE

REVIEWED BY: BILL MAWYER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: APPROVAL OF TERM CONTRACT FOR PROFESSIONAL WASTEWATER TREATMENT PLANT ENGINEERING SERVICES

DATE: FEBRUARY 27, 2024

This request is to authorize award of Term Engineering Services Agreements with Hazen and Sawyer (Hazen), Short Elliot Hendrickson (SEH), Wiley Wilson, and Whitman Requardt & Associates (WR&A) to provide Professional Wastewater Treatment Plant Engineering Services and future work authorizations less than \$200,000 under the conditions of the Term Agreement. Fees for each work authorization will be negotiated based on the services required and hourly rates from the consultant which have been approved by staff. The term of the contract will be for one year, with the option for three one-year renewals.

Background

RWSA has maintained a wastewater treatment plant engineering services contract for the last ten years. Over the course of those contracts, access to different consulting firms with varying skills, capabilities and resources has been invaluable as treatment plant needs continue to change and advance. As the current contracts are expiring, RWSA needed to procure these services again to handle services related to various studies, evaluations, operation and maintenance projects, capital improvement projects, and other upgrades or improvements to any of the wastewater treatment plants for on-going and future projects.

A Request for Proposals (RFP 23-04) for a new term contract was developed and advertised on December 7, 2023. Seven proposals were received on January 4, 2024. Based on the qualifications of the firms, the RFP selection committee short-listed and scheduled interviews with five firms. Interviews were conducted on January 31 and February 1, 2024, and the committee determined that four firms were best qualified to provide these services. All four firms have offices in Virginia and have extensive experience working under similar municipal term contracts, with Hazen, SEH, and Wiley Wilson all having provided these services for RWSA under the previous contract.

Board Action Requested:

Authorize the Executive Director to execute Professional Engineering Services Term Agreements with Hazen and Sawyer, Short Elliot Hendrickson, Wiley Wilson, and Whitman, Requardt & Associates for Professional Wastewater Treatment Plant Engineering Services.

Rivanna Wastewater Pumping Station Update Jan 9 - Feb 21, 2024



Presented to the Board of Directors By Bill Mawyer, Executive Director *February 27, 2024*





Service Area of the Rivanna Wastewater Pump Station

- Largest pump station
- Serves about 60% of the Urban wastewater system

Sewer Pump Stations at Moores Creek







Rivanna Wastewater Pump Station:

- Constructed 2017
- 53 million gallons per day pumping capacity
- Lifts wastewater about 110 feet to the headworks for treatment



Jan 10, 2024

Flow Control Gates and Channel Covers in the Wet Well ~2017



7 - 17 feet of wastewater below the covers

Dry Pump Room Under Construction ~2016


Two Pump Rooms each with 3 Pumps

- Four 13 mgd pumps
- Two 7 mgd pumps



Submerged Pump Room on Jan 10, 2024

SURGE RELIES

Wet Well Submerged to Top of Gates Jan 10, 2024



Excavating to Construct Bypass Piping Connection

Jan 11-13, 2024



10 MGD bypass piping assembly in wet well

➢ boat required

Jan 11, 2024



10 MGD Bypass Piping Connection

Jan 13, 2024



Temporary Bypass Piping

Jan 18, 2024



Wet well covers off

Jan 19, 2024







Hot Water Steam Cleaning of Wet Well and Fall Protection Installation

Installation of 4 Mid-Level Support Steel Beams

Date: 1/26/24 Wet Well Hot Water Cleaning and Steel Beam Installation for Pump Header Support

13 MGD Temporary Pump

Feb 8, 2024







Date: 1/30/24

Installation of 7 pumps and piping for the 53 MGD bypass in deep section (17 feet) of wet well









Date: 1/31/24 Overnight Installation of the 53 MGD Emergency Pumping Connection





Date: 1/31/24



Overnight Installation of the 53 MGD Emergency Pumping Connection



53 MGD Bypass Pumps and Piping in the Wet Well

Feb 14, 2024



Influent Flow Control Gate

Damaged Wet Well Access Door

Jan 11, 2024







HVAC Wall Penetrations

Jan 9, 2024

53 MGD Bypass System Feb 16, 2024

88

ÉR

Preparing to Inspect the Pump Rooms

Feb 8-14, 2024







Pump Room after water was removed

Feb 21, 2024

Plan Forward

Phase 1 - Completed 10 MGD Bypass SystemJan 26Phase 2 - Completed 53 MGD Bypass SystemFeb 14Phase 3 - Dewater, Test and Inspect EquipmentFeb - March• Contractor accessed Pump Rooms and began testing pipes,
valves and pumps. No defects were apparent. Feb 8 - 14Feb - MarchPhase 4 - Sanitize Spaces and Restore Pumping SystemApril - Dec• Cleaning contractor on site - Feb 20 - 29Feb 20 - 29

Estimated Cost of Restoration

- 1. Temporary Pumping and Piping System thru Dec 2024\$ 6 M
- 2. Construction to Restore the Pump Station\$15 M
- 3. Engineering Support Services\$1.5 M
 - \$20 25 M

Summary

- 7 temporary pumps and pipes installed by Feb 14 to provide 53 MGD capacity
- Contractor inspecting and testing existing equipment that was submerged
- Hazen Engineers investigating, planning and estimating replacement requirements
- SEH Engineers completing an independent review
- Cleaning company (Belfor) to sanitize pump rooms Feb 20 29
- Coordinating with insurance company (VRSA) and its engineer (Lynnhaven Engineering, Va Beach)
- No wastewater discharge or overflow since Jan 18
- Restoration cost may be \$20 25 M

Questions ?

Organizational Agreements of the RWSA



PRESENTED TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS BY BILL MAWYER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR FEBRUARY 27, 2024



Foundation Documents











1. Articles of Incorporation, 1972:

- The State Water Control Board notified the City and County about the availability of \$13 M in Federal and State grants conditioned that the City and County must designate a single political entity to represent both localities.
- By Concurrent Resolution of City Council and the Albemarle Board of Supervisors, the Rivanna Water Sewer Authority was created pursuant to the Virginia Water and Sewer Authorities Act on June 7, 1972 for the purpose of acquiring, financing, constructing and maintaining facilities for a potable water supply and for abatement of pollution resulting from sewage from the City and the County.
- 5 Board Members: 2 from City, 2 from County and 1 jointly appointed.
- Prohibited RWSA from contracting with any other party in the City or County for water or sewer services.
- The City and County approved a Concurrent Resolution to reauthorize the RWSA for another 50 years in 2022.
- 2. Service Agreement, 1973 (aka "Four Party Agreement") City, County, ACSA and RWSA, included:
 - Acquisition of existing water and wastewater facilities from the City and ACSA by RWSA.
 - Design, construct and issue bonds for new facilities.
 - RWSA to be sole producer of potable water and treatment of wastewater.
 - Rates and Charges
 - RWSA water rates shall be uniform for the Urban area.
 - Wastewater rates shall not be uniform. Wastewater operation and maintenance costs shall be uniform, but the City Utilities will pay one-half the debt service costs as the ACSA.
 - Term: June 30, 2012, or until bonds have been paid, with 2 years written notice of termination.
- 3. By-Laws of RWSA, 1973

Revisions to the Articles of Incorporation





4. Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, 1985:

• Limited RWSA to serve only the City and ACSA for the treatment and transmission of potable water and the treatment and disposal of sewage.

5. Second Restated Articles of Incorporation, 1986:

 Placed the Executive Director of the ACSA, or such Albemarle County Department Head as the Board of Supervisors may appoint, on the Board of the RWSA in lieu of the County Engineer of Albemarle County.

6. Third Restated Articles of Incorporation, 2009:

 Increased the number of members of the RWSA Board from 5 to 7 through the addition of 1 member of City Council and 1 member of the Albemarle Board of Supervisors.

7. Forth Restated Articles of Incorporation, 2017:

 Placed the City Director of Utilities, or such Department Head as City Council may appoint, on the Board of the RWSA in lieu of the City Director of Public Works.

Revisions to the By – Laws

Vice-Chair





Mike Gaffney Chair

Jeff Richardson Secretary-Treasurer



Gary O'Connell

Gary O'

Ann Mallek



Brian Pinkston

RWSA Board of Directors

8. By- Laws, 1973; Amendments:

- 1975: Established the Officers of the Board of Directors, an Executive Director position, schedule of meetings (3rd Monday at 403 Eighth St), agenda order of business for public Board meetings, all members must be present to amend the By-Laws.
- 1983: Allowed a designated Alternate to attend meetings, but not vote.
- 1986: Changed meetings from third Monday to fourth Monday.
- 2010: Included a requirement to have a Board of Directors; changed meetings from 4th Monday to 4th Tuesday.
- 2014: Allowed Board members to participate remotely in Board meetings through electronic communications, a quorum (4) must be physically present at the meeting.
- 2016: Authorized the Executive Director to sign contracts \leq \$100k.
- 2020 : Authorized Executive Director to sign contracts \leq \$200k.
- 2022: Adopted a "Remote Participation Policy" which also allows virtual Board meetings and remote participation by Board members.

Major Facilities





- 9. Working Agreement on Urban Area Wholesale Flow Allocation and Billing Methodology, 1983:
 - Water and Wastewater treatment charges determined by applying RWSA rates to the total amount of water produced, with the total cost proportionally allocated to City Utilities and ACSA based on their usage as obtained from their customer meter readings.

10. Joint Resolution, 1983:

• Purchased Buck Mtn property (1314 acres) for a drinking water supply reservoir; created Buck Mtn Surcharge on new public water connections in the City and County.

11. Southern Loop Agreement, 1987:

• Plan and cost allocation for water lines and storage tanks from OBWTP to Avon St (Western Branch; completed), and from Avon St to E. High St. (Eastern Branch; replanned in 2022 to a southern/Cherry Ave corridor closer to the center of the City; "Central Water Line").

12. Moore's Creek Relief Sewer Project, 1990:

• New sewer line to parallel the existing Moore's Creek Interceptor Line from Quarry Road to the MCAWRRF.

13. Urban Water Line, 1993:

• Funding formula for a water line along Berkmar Drive (52% City Utilities/48% ACSA).

14. South Rivanna WTP Expansion Agreement, 2003:

- Allocated capacity and cost of 4 mgd expansion of SRWTP: 100% ACSA
- Allocated non-capacity CIP costs for Urban Water System: 48% City Utilities / 52% ACSA.
- Allocated Urban plants capacity and South Fork Rivanna Reservoir safe yield.

Major Facilities





15. Ragged Mtn Dam Project Agreement, 2012:

- RWSA to design and build new Ragged Mtn Dam and pipeline from SRR to RMR.
- Water Supply and Demand studies every decade beginning 2020.
- Wholesale Meter system to be constructed, maintained and reported monthly.
- RMR leased from City for 40 years (2052).

16. Water Cost Allocation Agreement, 2012:

- Allocated cost of RMR dam (85% ACSA/15% City) and pipeline (80% ACSA/20% City Utilities).
- Allocated Urban Water System supply (safe yield), to be monitored by Wholesale Meter System.

17. Wastewater Projects Cost Agreement, 2014:

• Allocated cost of new Rivanna Pump Station and future capacity and non-capacity wastewater CIP projects, based on actual wastewater flows updated every 5 years.

18. Amendment to the "4 Party Agreement", 2015:

• Debt service charges to be computed as a monthly charge, rather than included in the Water and Wastewater Rates.

19. Joint Resolution, 2019:

• Ended the Buck Mtn surcharge.

20. Observatory WTP, Raw Water Pumping and Piping Upgrade Cost Allocation Agreement, 2020:

- Allocated costs for additional capacity in these facilities.
- Commitment to construct the Central Water Line centrally thru the City.

21. Observatory WTP Ground Lease; 2020:

• 49-year lease with UVA. \$175k / year with annual CPI-U increase updated every 10 years.

22. Northern Area Drinking Water Projects Agreement; 2022:

• Allocated costs to the ACSA for new drinking water facilities to be constructed north of the South Fork Rivanna River.

23. First Amendment to the Ragged Mountain Dam Project Agreement; 2023

• Authorized RWSA to increase the community's water supply by adding 700 MG to Ragged Mtn Reservoir

Summary

•Foundation documents of the RWSA include the Articles of Incorporation, 4 Party Agreement, and By-Laws.

- •Since RWSA was created 51 years ago, a large number of Agreements have been established to allocate water supply and costs.
- •Staff must accurately administer these Agreements to properly manage our resources, budgets, and charges to City Utilities and ACSA.

Questions?



MEMORANDUM

TO: RIVANNA WATER & SEWER AUTHORITY BOARD OF DIRECTORS

FROM: JENNIFER A. WHITAKER, DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING AND MAINTENANCE

REVIEWED: BILL MAWYER, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

SUBJECT: INTRODUCTION OF THE FY 2025-2029 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

DATE: FEBRUARY 27, 2024

We are pleased to present the proposed FY 2025 - 2029 Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) totaling \$371 M for your consideration. We continue to strategically balance the needs of the community with inflationary pressures, while meeting or exceeding regulations. This CIP will serve to fund completion of the community's Water Supply Plan by 2030, an overhaul of Crozet Raw Water infrastructure, as well as critical finished water transmission projects. Combined, these projects provide the reliability and resiliency needed to address the growing impacts of climate change. Additionally, we continue to expand our abilities to address emerging contaminants and greenhouse gas emissions. Lastly, we have endeavored to strategically renew critical assets throughout our systems to ensure we meet the quantity, quality, and reliability expectations of the public drinking water and wastewater customers in our community.

During this five-year period, the CIP will strengthen our drinking water systems with expenditures of \$223.4 M for essential projects including:

- *Community Water Supply Plan Components* the South Fork Rivanna Reservoir to Ragged Mtn Reservoir Water Pipe and Pumping Project; the Ragged Mountain to Observatory Water Pipe and Pumping Project; Raising the Normal Pool at Ragged Mountain Reservoir and adding aeration and Oxygenation Systems to the reservoirs.
- *Critical Finished Water Transmission projects* Completion of the Airport Road Pump Station; the Central Water Line; Additional Crossings of the South and North Fork Rivanna River, Crozet and Scottsville AC Pipe Replacement, Emmett St., and Berkmar Drive Ext Waterline Betterments, and Decommissioning of the North Rivanna WTP.
- *Enhancements of our Abilities to Address Emerging Contaminants* Additional Granular Activated Carbon Water Filtering Facilities at the Crozet and Red Hill Water Treatment Plants
- *Crozet Water Supply Projects* Modifications to the Beaver Creek Reservoir Dam, Construction of a New Pump Station, and Raw Water Piping

We will also complete significant improvements to our wastewater treatment and collection facilities to ensure regulatory compliance and environmental protection. The proposed CIP includes \$58.2M for essential wastewater projects including:

- *Renovations and Repairs to Wastewater Facilities* Moores Creek, Scottsville, Glenmore, Crozet Pump Stations, and the Albemarle Berkley Pump Station
- *Repairs and Replacement of Wastewater Piping and Manholes* Crozet, Albemarle-Berkley, Powell Creek, Moores Creek, Upper Rivanna Interceptors; Upper Schenks Branch Phase 2
- *Power System Upgrades* Scottsville WRRF Whole Plant Generator and Moores Creek AWRRF 5kV Electrical System

Board Action Requested:

The FY 25-29 CIP totaling \$371 M is provided for review by the Board of Directors. Adoption of the CIP will be requested during the Board's regular meeting on May 28, 2024.

Capital Improvement Plan Fiscal Years 2025-2029 Draft February 2024





OUR MISSION

Our knowledgeable and professional team serves the Charlottesville, Albemarle, and UVA community by providing high-quality water and wastewater treatment, refuse, and recycling services in a financially responsible and sustainable manner.







Rivanna Water & Sewer Authority 695 Moores Creek Lane Charlottesville, Virginia 22902



I.	INTRODUCTION	2	
II.	FINANCIAL SUMMARY BY CATEGORY	6	
III.	PROJECT DETAILS	8	
	Completed Projects	9	
	Urban Water Community Water Supply Plan	13	
	Urban Water Treatment Plants and Reservoirs	16	
	Finished Water Storage/Transmission	20	
	Non-Urban Water Crozet Water System	24	
	Scottsville Water System	28	
	Urban Wastewater Wastewater Interceptors/Pumping Stations	31	
	Moores Creek Advanced Water Resource Recovery Facility	35	
	Non-Urban Wastewater Scottsville Wastewater System	41	
	Glenmore Wastewater System	43	
	All Systems	45	
IV.	APPENDIXES	49	
	CIP Financial Summary	50	
	Water System Summary	57	
	Wastewater System Summary	58	
	All Systems Summary	59	

Introduction

The Capital Improvement Plan (CIP) for Fiscal Years 2025-2029 has been prepared as a strategic and financially responsible plan for the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority (RWSA) to complete major infrastructure construction projects. The projects included in the CIP are necessary to achieve the RWSA's core mission of providing safe, high-quality drinking water and environmentally responsible wastewater treatment services for the City of Charlottesville and the Albemarle County Service Authority (ACSA). The CIP is a 5-year planning document which provides an estimated budget and schedule for projects as they advance through the design and construction process.

The infrastructure requirements of the Capital Improvement Plan are developed through our Asset Management and Master Planning programs to address water and wastewater capacity demands, regulatory mandates and rehabilitation needs. Each year, these projects are reviewed and prioritized by the RWSA management team and brought forth for review by the Board of Directors.

During the past year, several capital projects were completed or are nearing the end of multi-year construction and as such are being removed from the 2025-2029 CIP. These projects account for approximately \$43.9 million or 13.5% of the FY 2024-2028 CIP. These projects include:

- 1 South Rivanna Reservoir to Ragged Mountain Reservoir Right-of-Way
- 7 Observatory Water Treatment Plant Improvements
- 18 South Rivanna Hydro Decommissioning
- 19 South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant Improvements
- 52 Asset Management
- 53 Security Enhancements
- 54 IT Infrastructure

The total 5-year 2025-2029 CIP is approximately \$371 million, with the previous expenditures on active projects totaling \$14.4 million, leaving a net proposed 5-year projected expenditure of \$311.7 million.

There are seven (7) new projects added to the CIP this year. The total estimated expenditures for the projects equal \$2.7 million and include:

- 6 South Rivanna Water Treatment Plants Hypo Tank Replacements
- 13 Dam Concrete and Steel Repairs
- 15 Buck Mountain Property Dam Remediation
- 51 Moores Creek AWRRF Blower Building Ventilation Improvements
- 62 Radio Upgrades
- 63 IT Equipment Secure Cabinets
- 64 eV Charging Infrastructure

There were no projects with mid-year cost additions.

There were four (4) projects that rolled in to the 5-Year CIP totaling \$0.79 million:

- 11 South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant Main Plant Generator Replacement
- 24 SCADA Panel Relocations
- 32 Crozet Ground Tank Painting
- 61 Climate Change Flood Resilience

There was one (1) project whose timeline was accelerated totaling \$13.62 million:

• 3 SFRR to RMR Pipeline, Intake & Facilities

There were thirty-nine (39) projects that were subject to inflation and scope progression resulting in a total proposed increase of \$25.1 million. Below are those projects showing the existing amount and the recommended total CIP amount:

- Ragged Mountain Reservoir to Observatory WTP Raw Water Line (\$33 million existing / \$33.5 million proposed)
- 2 Ragged Mountain Reservoir to Observatory WTP Raw Water Pump Station (\$11.3 million existing / \$12.3 million proposed)
- 3 SFRR to RMR Pipeline, Intake & Facilities (\$39.6 existing / \$75.3 proposed)
- 4 SFRR Aeration & RMR HLOS Systems (\$1.4 million existing / \$6.6 million proposed)
- 7 Observatory WTP Improvements (\$2 million existing / \$2 million proposed)
- 9 South Rivanna WTP Improvements (\$1.9 million existing / \$1.9 million proposed)
- 10 Urban WTP's GAC Building Dehumidification (\$0.2 million existing / \$2.2 proposed)
- 12 North Rivanna WTP Decomissioning (\$2.7 million existing / \$2.9 million proposed)
- 16 Central Water Line (\$41 million existing / \$47 million proposed)
- 17 South Fork Rivanna River Crossing (\$6.9 million existing / \$7.3 million proposed)
- 19 Avon, Pantops and Observatory Tank Painting (\$2.2 million existing / \$10 million proposed)
- 21 Emmet Street Betterment (\$10.6 million existing / \$9.2 million proposed)
- 22 Berkmar Drive Ext. Waterline Phase 2 (\$1.4 million existing / \$1.4 million proposed)
- 23 Urban Storage Evaluation and Tank(s) Addition (\$0.87 million existing / \$2.9 million proposed)
- 25 Beaver Creek Dam Alteration (\$22.7 million existing / \$23.7 million proposed)
- 26 Beaver Creek New Raw Water Pump Station & Intake (\$20.2 million existing / \$23.4 million proposed)
- 27 Buck's Elbow Tank and Waterball painting (\$1.1 million existing / \$1.7 million proposed)
- 28 Crozet WTP GAC Building Dehumidification (\$0.05 million existing / \$0.65 million proposed)
- 31 Crozet Finished Water Greyrock Pump Station (\$0.18 million existing / \$1.5 million proposed)
- 34 Red Hill WTP Upgrades & GAC Addition (\$0.74 million existing / \$0.8 million proposed)
- 35 Scottsville AC Pipe Replacement (\$0.08 million existing / \$0.275 million proposed)
- 36 Scottsville WTP GAC Building Dehumidification (\$0.05 million existing / \$0.66 proposed)
- 37 Upper Schenks Branch Interceptor (\$5.3 million existing / \$5.9 million proposed)
- 39 Crozet Pump Station 1, 2, 3 Rehabilitation (\$10.3 million existing / \$10.9 million proposed)
- 40 Albemarle Berkeley Pump Station Upgrade (\$0.115 million existing / \$0.84 million proposed)
- 41 Interceptor Sewer and Manhole Repair Phase 2 (\$1.2 million existing / \$1.4 million proposed)
- 42 Interceptor Sewer and Manhole Repair Phase 2 (\$0.6 million existing / \$1.7 million proposed)
- 43 Moores Creek AWWRF Engineering and Administration Building (\$10.5 million existing / \$20 million proposed)
- 44 Moores Creek AWWRF Biogas Upgrades (\$3.6 million existing / \$5.6 million proposed)
- 45 Moores Creek AWWRF Building Upfits and Gravity Thickener Improvements (\$4.5 million existing / \$5.1 million proposed)
- 46 Moores Creek AWWRF Meter and Valve Replacements (\$0.775 million existing / \$0.475 million proposed)
- 47 Moores Creek AWRRF 5kV Electrical System Upgrade (\$5.6 million existing / \$6.2 million proposed)
- 48 Moores Creek AWRRF Yard Piping Upgrades (\$0.315 million existing / \$0.8 million proposed)
- 53 Scottsville WRRF Lagoon Outfall Rehabilitation (\$0.025 million existing / \$0.3 million proposed)
- 54 Scottsville WRRF Polymer Feed Addition (\$0.026 million existing / \$0.27 million proposed)
- 55 Glenmore WRRF Polymer Feed Addition (\$0.003 million existing / \$0.03 million proposed)
- 56 Glenmore WRRF Upgrade (\$0 million existing / \$1.3 million proposed)
- 59 IT Infrastructure (\$0.37 million existing / \$0.6 million proposed)
- 60 ACM Remediation (\$0.094 million existing / \$0.71 million proposed)

In summary, the FY 25-29 CIP is largely driven by an increase in project costs and accelerated projects. The impact of all cost factors can be seen in Table 1 below:

Table	1
FY Line Item	Cost
24-28 Capital Improvement Plan	\$326,125,000
Mid-Year Additions	\$ 0
FY 29 Projects	\$ 47,391,000
Inflation and Scope Additions	\$ 25,082,750
New Projects	\$ 2,685,988
Accelerated Projects	\$ 13,620,000
Completed Projects	\$(43,904,302)
Total	\$371,000,436

FINANCIAL SUMMARY

MAJOR SYSTEM CATEGORIES

FINANCIAL SUMMARY Major System Categories – Water

	Five-	Year Capital Progr	am		Projecte					
System Description	Current CIP	Proposed Changes	Current Capital Budget	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	Recommended CIP	Work-in- Progress
Urban Water (UW)										
Community Water Supply Plan	\$85,365,000	\$42,410,000	\$10,855,000	\$10,955,000	\$28,215,000	\$28,250,000	\$24,860,000	\$24,640,000	\$127,775,000	\$1,079,491
Urban Water Treatment Plants & Reservoirs	\$7,925,000	\$5,095,000	\$4,445,000	\$945,000	\$3,930,000	\$325,000	\$600,000	\$2,775,000	\$13,020,000	\$76,110
Finished Water Storage/Distribution	\$73,050,000	\$9,546,000	\$22,515,000	\$9,670,000	\$14,130,000	\$12,800,000	\$14,715,000	\$8,766,000	\$82,596,000	\$8,252,084
Subtotal (UW)	\$166,340,000	\$57,051,000	\$37,815,000	\$21,570,000	\$46,275,000	\$41,375,000	\$40,175,000	\$36,181,000	\$223,391,000	\$9,407,685
Non-Urban Water (NUW)										
Crozet Water System	\$51,310,000	\$7,490,000	\$9,575,000	\$85,000	\$14,865,000	\$14,185,000	\$16,895,000	\$3,195,000	\$58,800,000	\$1,578,889
Scottsville Water System	\$1,420,000	\$1,680,000	\$511,000	\$299,000	\$0	\$0	\$695,000	\$1,595,000	\$3,100,000	\$42,486
Subtotal (NUW)	\$52,730,000	\$9,170,000	\$10,086,000	\$384,000	\$14,865,000	\$14,185,000	\$17,590,000	\$4,790,000	\$61,900,000	\$1,621,375
WATER TOTAL	\$219,070,000	\$66,221,000	\$47,901,000	\$21,954,000	\$61,140,000	\$55,560,000	\$57,765,000	\$40,971,000	\$285,291,000	\$11,029,060

FINANCIAL SUMMARY Major System Categories – Wastewater

	Five-	Year Capital Progr	am		Projecte	<u> </u>				
System Description	Current CIP	Proposed Changes	Current Capital Budget	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	Recommended CIP	Work-in- Progress
Urban Wastewater (UWW)										
Wastewater Interceptors and Pumping Stations	\$17,945,000	\$4,865,000	\$6,840,000	\$2,180,000	\$6,530,000	\$2,675,000	\$1,095,000	\$3,490,000	\$22,810,000	\$286,477
Moores Creek AWRRF	\$40,275,000	\$13,500,000	\$19,860,000	\$13,525,000	\$19,105,000	\$515,000	\$390,000	\$380,000	\$53,775,000	\$1,751,403
Subtotal (UWW)	\$58,220,000	\$18,365,000	\$26,700,000	\$15,705,000	\$25,635,000	\$3,190,000	\$1,485,000	\$3,870,000	\$76,585,000	\$2,037,880
Non-Urban Wastewater (NUWW)										
Scottsville WRRF	\$571,000	\$519,000	\$495,000	\$25,000	\$0	\$0	\$60,000	\$510,000	\$1,090,000	\$65,940
Glenmore WRRF	\$30,000	\$1,615,000	\$0	\$75,000	\$750,000	\$60,000	\$40,000	\$720,000	\$1,645,000	\$0
Subtotal (NUWW)	\$601,000	\$2,134,000	\$495,000	\$100,000	\$750,000	\$60,000	\$100,000	\$1,230,000	\$2,735,000	\$65,940
WASTEWATER TOTAL	\$58,821,000	\$20,499,000	\$27,195,000	\$15,805,000	\$26,385,000	\$3,250,000	\$1,585,000	\$5,100,000	\$79,320,000	\$2,103,820
All Systems Security & Technology	\$4,329,698	\$2,059,738	\$3,915,698	\$787,738	\$155,000	\$80,000	\$131,000	\$1,320,000	\$6,389,436	\$1,229,368
TOTAL	\$282,220,698	\$88,779,738	\$79,011,698	\$38,546,738	\$87,680,000	\$58,890,000	\$59,481,000	\$47,391,000	\$371,000,436	\$14,362,248

PROJECT DETAILS

Page	9	Completed Projects
Page	13	Urban Water
Page	24	Non-Urban Water
Page	31	Urban Wastewater
Page	41	Non-Urban Wastewater
Page	45	All Systems

Completed Projects

During fiscal year 2024, several capital improvement projects were completed, were advanced to the final phases of close-out, or were largely completed. Presented in the table below are the seven (7) completed projects, pertinent information on the adopted budgets, as well as the projected final costs and any anticipated savings. There was a total completed project cost savings of \$0.5 million.

- South Rivanna Reservoir to Ragged Mountain Reservoir Right Of Way: The approved 50-year Community Water Supply Plan includes the future construction of a new raw water pipeline from the South Fork Rivanna Reservoir to the Ragged Mountain Reservoir. This new pipeline will replace the Upper Sugar Hollow Pipeline along an alternative alignment to increase raw water transfer capacity in the Urban Water System. The project included a detailed routing study to account for recent and proposed development and road projects in Albemarle County and the University of Virginia, as well as preliminary design, preparation of easement documents, and acquisition of water line easements. Prior expenditures also covered a previous review of the 2009 conceptual design that was requested by the Board.
- 7. Observatory Water Treatment Plant Improvements: The Observatory Water Treatment Plant was originally constructed in the mid-1950s, and prior to this project, much of the original equipment remained. As a result, that equipment was inefficient, prone to unexpected failure, and did not have readily accessible replacement parts. Based on a Needs Assessment Study, the plant is undergoing a wholesale upgrade including improvements to the flocculators, sedimentation basins, filters, and chemical feed facilities to enhance future reliability. In addition, the existing reinforced concrete flume, which conveys treated water from the sedimentation basins to the filters, is being replaced, filter control valves and piping are being replaced, and electrical and SCADA control systems are being upgraded. A portion of this project was previously completed during the Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) project, where the flocculator systems were upgraded with new mechanical and electrical equipment, including variable speed drives for optimal efficiency. In addition to providing needed equipment upgrades, these improvements will increase the plant's capacity from 7.7 million gallons per day to 10 million gallons per day to provide needed reliability and redundancy in the Urban System. As part of this capacity increase, the plant's GAC treatment capacity is being increased as well, with the addition of four GAC contactors. A large portion of this project has been completed with only final startup and testing of the new chemical systems, final instrumentation and SCADA programming efforts and punch list items remaining.
- 18. <u>South Rivanna Hydro Decommissioning</u>: The South Fork Hydropower Plant was a small hydroelectric generating facility constructed in 1987. The plant had historically operated intermittently, as river flows allowed. The generated power was used at the South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant, thereby reducing power purchased off the electric grid. During an effort to troubleshoot and repair the turbine, a large rain and lightning event caused unexpected flooding into the facility. Insurance paid damages to more recent improvements, but not the pre-existing needs to repair the turbine. Engineering investigations in 2013 associated with the failed mechanical equipment and flood event confirmed the need for further disassembly and inspection of the turbine shaft and blade linkages from a remote factory location.

Due to the complexity of possible rehabilitation, the associated Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) dam permitting, and the numerous variables in the economic analysis, proposals were solicited from national hydropower experts to initiate a feasibility study to determine the cost effectiveness of rehabilitating the hydropower plant while making sure to account for FERC-related costs and issues. The feasibility study was completed in May 2016 and determined that rehabilitation of the facility had a small likelihood for a positive return on investment. This conclusion was brought to the Board of Directors along with a recommendation to initiate the surrender of the exemption to licensure and decommission the facility. The Board approved this recommendation and staff filed the Surrender Application with FERC. The application was approved in 2020 and the decommissioning of the facility, which includes removing defunct electrical components, abandoning components of the turbine, replacement of the 72" sluice gate and re-establishment of the penstock as a reservoir drain. All the work has been completed minus the replacement of the sluice gate which is delayed based on factory lead time. The gate replacement is expected in August of 2024.

- 19. South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant Improvements: The South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant previously completed limited upgrades as part of the Urban Granular Activated Carbon project. Over the course of that project, several other significant needs were identified and assembled into this single improvement project. Under this project the following improvements are being made: a new alum and fluoride storage facility; installation of two additional filters to meet firm capacity needs and new filter control panels; building around the lime storage facilities; the addition of a second variable frequency drive at the Raw Water Pump Station as well as other general pump station improvements; the relocation for the electrical gear from a subterranean location at the Sludge Pumping Station to a new aboveground enclosure; a new administration building on site for additional office, meeting, and storage space; high service pump improvements and the addition of variable frequency drives to three of the pumps; sedimentation basin improvements; replacement of filter inlet valves and actuators; remodeling of the existing filter building for better lab and control space and painting throughout; new clarifier drives; and incoming electrical system improvements for the facility. These upgrades will improve the reliability and resiliency of the plant, particularly at higher flow rates. The majority of this work is complete with only completion of sludge pumping improvements, a new IT data center in the Dewatering Building and punch list items remaining.
- 52. <u>Asset Management</u>: Asset management is the practice of managing infrastructure to minimize the total cost of owning and operating assets while providing desired levels of service. By doing so, asset management ensures planned maintenance activities occur and that capital assets are replaced, repaired, or upgraded at the right time, while guaranteeing the necessary resources are available to perform these activities. When the project began, RWSA had some components of an asset management program in place (i.e. GIS and a work order system), but through the Strategic Planning process identified the need to further develop the program. To fully realize the program, a consultant was procured to assist with a four-phase implementation process. Phase one included facilitation and development of an asset management strategic plan; phase two included development and management of a pilot study where the results of the strategic plan were applied to a specific facility; phase three included procurement and implementation of software (Cityworks) to facilitate the overall program; and phase 4 includes assistance through full implementation of the complete asset management program. With phases one through three

complete, RWSA is focusing its efforts on completing phase four, full asset management implementation.

- 53. Security Enhancements: Water utilities are required by federal law to conduct vulnerability assessments (VA) and have emergency response plans. RWSA completed an update of its VA for the water system in collaboration with other regional partners and identified a number of security improvements that could be applied to both its water and wastewater systems. The purpose of this project was to install security improvements at RWSA facilities, with the initial focus on an enhanced access control program. Other improvements will include: industrial strength door and window components, security gate and fencing modifications, an improved lock and key program, facility signage, closed circuit television (CCTV) enhancements, intrusion detection systems (IDS), additional security lighting, mass emergency notification systems, and emergency call stations/panic buttons. In addition, upgrades will be made to the entrance of MCAWRRF, to better secure the facility and vet individuals as they enter. In order to implement an access control system at Authority-owned facilities, staff procured an Implementer to finalize system design/requirements, procure all necessary equipment, and install the chosen system. Access control system implementation is nearly complete across all RWSA facilities; however, work continues to finalize this process. As such, the budget is being partially capitalized.
- 54. <u>IT Infrastructure</u>: Systematic Network upgrades and standardization for aging switches and other infrastructure. SCADA asset inventory assessment and management policy to help establish plans for PLC and other IO asset maintenance. New business process implementations are ongoing the general ledge/accounting systems currently in use will most likely need replacing as the current system will have an end of life in the late 2020's.

Completed Projects

	-		Five-Year Capital Program							
Line No.	Proj. No.	Project Description	Adopted Budget 5/2023	Previous Expenditures (6/30/2023)	Final Projected Costs/Close Out	Savings				
1	20.01	South Rivanna Reservoir to Ragged Mountain Reservoir Right of Way	\$2,740,000	\$1,946,353	\$2,740,000	\$0				
7	20.06	Observatory Water Treatment Plant Improvements - Partial Captitlization FY 24	ervatory Water Treatment Int Improvements - Partial \$70,000,000 \$17,4 Captitlization FY 24		\$20,000,000	\$0				
18	20.15	South Rivanna Hydropower Plant Decommissioning	\$1,010,000	\$511,588	\$14,000,000	\$0				
19	20.16	South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant Improvements - Partial Capitilization FY 24	\$19,500,000	\$18,952,244	\$19,500,000	\$0				
52	20.45	Asset Management - Partial Capitilization FY 23	\$427,552	\$427,552	\$427,552	\$0				
53	20.46	Security Enhancements - Partial Capitilization FY 24	\$2,227,552	\$1,076,034	\$2,227,552	\$0				
54	20.47	IT Infrastructure - Partial Captilization FY 23	\$226,750	\$226,750	\$226,750	\$0				
		TOTAL	\$96,131,854	\$40,544,732	\$59,121,854	\$0				

CIP 24-28 Total	CIP 24-28 Completed or Removed	CIP 24-28 Remaining	CIP 25-29 New Funding	CIP 25-29 New Total
\$326,125	\$43,904,302	\$282,220,698	\$88,779,738	\$371,000,436

Community Water Supply Plan

The Community Water Supply Plan represents the program developed with substantial community input to fulfill RWSA's contractual obligation to the City of Charlottesville (City) and the Albemarle County Service Authority (ACSA) to provide adequate drinking water for their future needs. This initiative started in 2003 to find a long-term solution that could achieve both local support and meet federal and state requirements. After multiple community meetings, updates with local officials, and frequent consultations with federal and state agencies, local support was obtained to apply for federal and state permits to expand the Ragged Mountain Reservoir and build a future pipeline between the South Rivanna and Ragged Mountain Creek area and property adjacent to a lower reach of Moores Creek near its confluence with the Rivanna River. Federal and state permits were granted in 2008 and amended in 2011.

The first phase of this long-term program centered around the expansion of the Ragged Mountain Reservoir, a project that would simultaneously address a legal obligation to correct safety deficiencies on the existing site. Through a combination of technical investigations, engineering evaluations, and continued public discussion, a decision was reached in February 2011 through the City Council and Board of Supervisors to build the new dam as an earthen dam, with the initial phase raising the reservoir pool height by 30 feet. The decision also outlined an objective of the further pursuit of water conservation through the City and ACSA, and the pursuit of opportunities for dredging of the South Rivanna Reservoir, with the second phase of reservoir expansion in the future. The Ragged Mountain Dam Agreement was amended in late 2023 and authorized the implementation and modifications for the additional 12 feet of storage at the Ragged Mountain Reservoir.

Project Descriptions:

- <u>Ragged Mountain Reservoir to Observatory Water Treatment Plant Raw Water Line</u>: Raw water is transferred from the Ragged Mountain Reservoir (RMR) to the Observatory Water Treatment Plant (OBWTP) by way of two 18-inch cast iron water lines which have been in service for more than 110 and 70 years, respectively. In addition to the need to increase transfer capacity between the RMR and OBWTP, increased frequency of emergency repairs and expanded maintenance requirements necessitates replacement of these water lines with a single, new raw water main. This new raw water main is expected to be constructed of 36-inch ductile iron pipe and will span a distance of approximately 21,400 linear feet, including the connection of the proposed RMR raw water pump station with the Southern terminus of the SRR-RMR raw water line constructed on the Birdwood Golf Course that was completed in 2019.
- 2. <u>Ragged Mountain Reservoir to Observatory Water Treatment Plan Raw Water Pump Station</u>: The Ragged Mountain Reservoir (RMR) to Observatory Water Treatment Plant (OBWTP) raw water pump station is planned to replace the existing Stadium Road and Royal Pump Stations, which have exceeded their design lives and would require significant upgrades to reliably meet the upgraded capacity of the Observatory WTP. The pump station will be designed initially to pump up to 10 million gallons per day (MGD) to the expanded Observatory WTP and will be integrated with the planned South Fork Rivanna Reservoir (SFRR) to RMR pipeline for

improved operational flexibility and cost efficiencies. This integrated pump station will include the capacity to transfer up to 16 MGD of raw water from RMR back to the South Rivanna WTP, as well as boost water from SFRR up to RMR and OBWTP. The pump station property was purchased as part of the SFRR to RMR raw water main preliminary design and right of way acquisition project.

3. South Fork Rivanna Reservoir to Ragged Mountain Pipeline, Intake and Facilities: The South Fork Rivanna Reservoir (SFRR) to Ragged Mountain Reservoir (RMR) Pipeline is a part of the approved and permitted Community Water Supply Plan. The pipeline and associated facilities will give RWSA the ability to move water between the two reservoirs, further enhancing the management capabilities of the Urban System water supply. The SFRR to RMR Pipeline, Intake, and Facilities Project will include construction of a 36" raw water pipeline, connecting SFRR and RMR, a new raw water intake and pump station at SFRR to bring total withdrawal capacity from SFRR to 41 MGD, and a discharge pipe at RMR to enable placement of the transferred water at the appropriate depth. Design and construction of approximately 380 LF of piping along the alignment, as a betterment opportunity through the Victorian Heights development, located on Woodburn Road, is also included in the work. Construction of the new intake and pump station will permanently close the existing boat ramp on RWSA property at SFRR to the public.

In addition, this project will also include raising of the RMR pool an additional 12', to bring the normal pool of the reservoir to the full design elevation of 683'. This portion of the project will include all clearing and grading work necessary to facilitate the raise, along with a geotechnical evaluation of the existing dam, and coordination with all community stakeholders impacted by the work. Prior to the completion of the SFRR-RMR pipeline, filling will take place as conditions allow via the existing Sugar Hollow Raw Waterline.

4. <u>South Fork Rivanna Reservoir Aeration & Ragged Mountain Reservoir Hypolimnetic</u> <u>Oxygenation Systems</u>: Through RWSA's ongoing Reservoir Water Quality Monitoring Program and the South Fork Rivanna Reservoir (SFRR) to Ragged Mountain Reservoir (RMR) Pretreatment Evaluation Study, water quality benefits for an Aeration System at SFRR and a Hypolimnetic Oxygenation System at RMR have been identified. While these systems would be configured differently for each reservoir, the purpose of each system is to inject Oxygen into the depths of each reservoir. Iron and manganese concentrations are reduced, thus improving water quality within the reservoir and for downstream treatment processes.

Community Water Supply Plan

			Five	-Year Capital Pro	gram	Projected Future Expenses by Year						
Line No.	Proj. No.	Project Description	Current CIP Adopted 5/2023	Proposed Changes	Current Capital Budget	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	Recommended CIP	Work-in-Progress (Prev. Expenses 6/30/2023)
1	20.03	Ragged Mountain Reservoir to Observatory Water Treatment Plant Raw Water Line	\$33,000,000	\$500,000	\$3,900,000	\$6,290,000	\$9,110,000	\$9,100,000	\$5,100,000		\$33,500,000	\$436,775
2	20.04	Ragged Mountain Reservoir to Observatory Water Treatment Plant Raw Water Pump Station	\$11,300,000	\$1,050,000	\$2,175,000	\$2,065,000	\$3,170,000	\$3,170,000	\$1,770,000		\$12,350,000	\$346,983
3	20.48 20.49	South Fork Rivanna Reservoir to Ragged Mountain Pipeline, Intake & Facilities	\$39,665,000	\$35,660,000	\$4,780,000	\$2,600,000	\$15,935,000	\$15,980,000	\$16,490,000	\$19,540,000	\$75,325,000	\$295,733
4	23.02	South Fork Rivanna Reservoir Aeration and Ragged Mountain Reservoir HLOS Systems	\$1,400,000	\$5,200,000					\$1,500,000	\$5,100,000	\$6,600,000	
		TOTAL	\$85,365,000	\$42,410,000	\$10,855,000	\$10,955,000	\$28,215,000	\$28,250,000	\$24,860,000	\$24,640,000	\$127,775,000	\$1,079,491

Urban Water Treatment Plants and Reservoirs

The Urban Water Treatment Plants and Reservoirs consist of the Observatory Water Treatment Plant (OBWTP) and Ragged Mountain/Sugar Hollow Reservoir System which is comprised of the water treatment facility on Observatory Mountain and the associated raw water infrastructure that stores and conveys source water to the plant. The raw water storage system includes the new Ragged Mountain Dam (constructed in 2014, with a useable raw water storage capacity of 1.44 billion gallons) and the Sugar Hollow Dam (originally constructed in 1947, upgraded in 1999 and downstream discharge improvements completed in September 2014, with a useable raw water storage capacity of 339 million gallons as updated by a 2015 bathymetric survey). The system also includes 17.6 miles of 18-inch raw water cast-iron mains, originally installed in 1908, 1922, and 1946. The Sugar Hollow Raw Water Main historically conveyed water from the Sugar Hollow Dam to the Observatory Water Treatment Plant, however, as a result of the New Ragged Mountain Dam project, the main now discharges directly into Ragged Mountain Reservoir. The remaining downstream section of the Sugar Hollow main now conveys raw water from the Ragged Mountain Reservoir to the treatment plant. The line crosses the Mechums River (where an abandoned pumping station is sited) on its way to Ragged Mountain Reservoir, and eventually passes through the Royal Pumping Station and terminates at the OBWTP. The Ragged Mountain Raw Water Main conveys water from the Ragged Mountain Reservoir through the Stadium Road Pumping Station and terminates at the OBWTP.

It also includes the South Rivanna Water System which is comprised of the source water, storage, conveyance and treatment infrastructure currently serving the urban area from the South Fork Rivanna River. The system includes the South Fork Rivanna Reservoir and Dam (built in 1966) with a raw water storage capacity of 1,248 MG of which 885MG is useable. The Dam is co-located with the raw water intake and pump station, as well as a small hydroelectric generation facility. The source water from the South Rivanna Reservoir is treated at the South Rivanna treatment plant (12-mgd rated capacity).

The North Rivanna Water System is also a part of this area and is comprised of a river intake and raw water pumping station on the North Fork of the Rivanna River, as well as the North Fork Water Treatment Plant (2-mgd rated capacity built in 1973). The North Rivanna System provides water to the ACSA service area located along US Route 29, between Forest Lakes subdivision and Piney Mountain Road.

Project Descriptions:

5. Observatory Water Treatment Plant Improvements: The Observatory Water Treatment Plant was originally constructed in the mid-1950s, and prior to this project, much of the original equipment remained. As a result, that equipment was inefficient, prone to unexpected failure, and did not have readily accessible replacement parts. Based on a Needs Assessment Study, the plant is undergoing a wholesale upgrade including improvements to the flocculators, sedimentation basins, filters, and chemical feed facilities to enhance future reliability. In addition, the existing reinforced concrete flume, which conveys treated water from the sedimentation basins to the filters, is being replaced, filter control valves and piping are being replaced, and electrical and SCADA control systems are being upgraded. A portion of this project was previously completed during the Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) project, where the flocculator systems were

upgraded with new mechanical and electrical equipment, including variable speed drives for optimal efficiency. In addition to providing needed equipment upgrades, these improvements will increase the plant's capacity from 7.7 million gallons per day to 10 million gallons per day to provide needed reliability and redundancy in the Urban System. As part of this capacity increase, the plant's GAC treatment capacity is being increased as well, with the addition of four GAC contactors. A large portion of this project has been completed with only final startup and testing of the new chemical systems, final instrumentation and SCADA programming efforts and punch list items remaining.

- 6. <u>Observatory Water Treatment Plant Hypo Tank Replacements</u>: Sodium hypochlorite is fed at the OBWTP for disinfection of the treated water and maintenance of a free chlorine residual in the distribution system. The chemical is stored in two 12,000 gallon fiberglass reinforced plastic (FRP) tanks in a separate building at the plant which was constructed in 1999. One of the existing tanks is original to the building and the second tank was installed in 2005. Due to the age of the tanks and the critical nature of this chemical usage at the plant, the intent of this project is to replace the two existing tanks with new 12,000-gallon FRP tanks. In addition to the tanks themselves, this project would include the replacement of access ladders, platforms, piping connections and tank tie-downs.
- 7. <u>Observatory Water Treatment Plant Backwash Basin Sludge Removal and Inspection</u>: The concrete backwash basins were built in the 1920's and 1950's as part of the original water treatment plant to be used as open storage for the filtered water. They are currently used to settle solids out of the backwash water before it is decanted to the sanitary sewer system. This project involves removal of the settled sludge and a complete inspection of the basins to determine the extent of concrete repairs needed to extend their useful life.
- 8. South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant Improvements: The South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant previously completed limited upgrades as part of the Urban Granular Activated Carbon project. Over the course of that project, several other significant needs were identified and assembled into this single improvement project. Under this project the following improvements are being made: a new alum and fluoride storage facility; installation of two additional filters to meet firm capacity needs and new filter control panels; building around the lime storage facilities; the addition of a second variable frequency drive at the Raw Water Pump Station as well as other general pump station improvements; the relocation for the electrical gear from a subterranean location at the Sludge Pumping Station to a new aboveground enclosure; a new administration building on site for additional office, meeting, and storage space; high service pump improvements and the addition of variable frequency drives to three of the pumps; sedimentation basin improvements; replacement of filter inlet valves and actuators; remodeling of the existing filter building for better lab and control space and painting throughout; new clarifier drives; and incoming electrical system improvements for the facility. These upgrades will improve the reliability and resiliency of the plant, particularly at higher flow rates. The majority of this work is complete with only completion of sludge pumping improvements, a new IT data center in the Dewatering Building and punch list items remaining.
- 9. <u>South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant Sanitary Sewer Connection</u>: Residual liquids and solids produced during the water treatment process at the South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant are

routed through an equalization basin to two residuals clarifiers. Sludge is pumped to a belt filter press in the Solids Handling Facility, and clarified water is discharged to the South Fork Rivanna River under a VPDES permit issued by the Virginia DEQ. In the future, anticipated increases in solids processing will necessitate an alternative means of disposing of sludge from the treatment process. This project includes preliminary and final design of a new sewer connection to convey sludge to the sanitary sewer system, as well as right of way acquisition, permitting, and construction costs.

- 10. <u>Urban Water Treatment Plants GAC Building Dehumidification</u>: Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) treatment was installed at the Urban water treatment plants (South Rivanna and Observatory) in 2018. The buildings constructed around the GAC vessels were not conditioned and only included unit heaters and portable dehumidifiers. As a result of the large GAC vessels in these buildings, the humidity levels in the area tend to promote condensation which can then lead to corrosion of the equipment and general degradation. While the portable dehumidifiers were beneficial, they tended to be unreliable and insufficient to maintain lower humidity levels in the buildings. As a result, the purpose of this project is to install permanent industrial dehumidification systems in the GAC buildings associated with the Urban water treatment plants. This project would include the dehumidification units as well as the necessary ductwork, electrical improvements, and architectural modifications required for a complete installation.
- 11. <u>South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant Main Plant Generator Replacement</u>: During recent treatment plant upgrades, the condition of the existing main plant generator was evaluated, and it was determined that while the generator was capable of meeting our current needs at the plant, we should plan for a future replacement. This replacement would help ensure the appropriate level of electrical service resiliency for the plant and would include a new prime rated generator, automatic transfer switch, associated electrical switchgear, feeder cables and other appurtenances necessary to allow this replacement to take place while still providing the plant with backup power during the construction process.
- 12. <u>North Rivanna Water Treatment Plant Decommissioning</u>: The North Rivanna Water Treatment Plant (NRWTP) has been in use since the 1970's with minimal upgrades aside from the addition of Granular Activated Carbon in 2018. A Needs Assessment was performed that identified additional improvements that would be required for the plant to continue to reliably provide drinking water to the North Rivanna Pressure Zone. Due to the anticipated expense of these proposed improvements, a feasibility study was performed to determine if the NRWTP should be upgraded or decommissioned. The study concluded that the plant should be decommissioned, and expenses saved could be better applied to other improvements throughout the Urban Water System. As a result, this project includes demolition of the plant facilities, removal of the low head dam on the North Fork Rivanna River and returning the property to its pre-existing conditions.
- 13. <u>Dam Concrete and Steel Repairs</u>: RWSA operates several dams for water supply and sediment storage. These include concrete gravity dams and earthen embankment dams, ranging in age from 10 to over 80 years. Over time, normal wear and tear from water passage and weather can cause degradation of concrete and steel structures. To ensure continued safe operation of these dams into the future, it is imperative to complete periodic maintenance and repairs. This project

includes funding for the evaluation, design, and construction of concrete and steel repairs at the Lickinghole Creek Dam, Totier Creek Dam, South Rivanna Dam, and Sugar Hollow Dam. Repairs are expected to include injection grouting of voids in concrete structures, concrete surface repairs, recoating steel hoist beams, and installation of new hoist trolleys, among others.

- 14. <u>South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant PAC Upgrades</u>: The SRWTP currently utilizes a repurposed lime silo reconfigured to feed powdered activated carbon (PAC). PAC is fed in the mixing basin where it is mixed with the raw water prior to entering the rapid mix basins. The PAC storage silo and feed system has reached the end of its useful life and requires frequent maintenance attention to maintain operations. The purpose of this project is to replace the existing PAC system with a new modern PAC storage and slurry feed system similar to the one installed at the Crozet WTP and the one under construction at the Observatory WTP. This project would include demolition of the existing PAC silo and feed pumps, site work and grading required for the new PAC silo location and installation of a new PAC storage and slurry feed system complete with all necessary dry feed equipment, metering pumps, HVAC equipment and electrical and control equipment.
- 15. <u>Buck Mountain Property Dam Remediation</u>: The RWSA Buck Mountain Property in Free Union includes a small pond dam acquired as part of the property acquisition in the early 1980's. Based on the height of the dam and volume of water impounded in the pond, the dam is considered a low-hazard impounding structure subject to Virginia Dam Safety Regulations. Recent evaluations by Schnabel Engineering revealed defects in the dam consistent with age and wear that should be addressed in order to comply with state regulations. This CIP project includes funds to dewater the pond and temporarily modify the dam for safety purposes until funding is allocated for the repair, modification, or removal of the dam, currently planned for 2030 or later.

			Five	-Year Capital Pro	gram			Projec	ted Future Expe	enses by Year		
Line No.	Proj. No.	Project Description	Current CIP Adopted 5/2023	Proposed Changes	Current Capital Budget	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	Recommended CIP	Work-in-Progress (Prev. Expenses 6/30/2023)
5	20.06	Observatory Water Treatment Plant - Improvements	\$2,000,000		\$2,000,000						\$2,000,000	
6	25.05	Observatory Water Treatment Plants - Hypo Tank Replacements		\$300,000		\$35,000	\$105,000	\$160,000			\$300,000	
7	23.05	Observatory Water Treatment Plant - Backwash Basin Sludge Removal and Inspection	\$50,000	\$515,000					\$80,000	\$485,000	\$565,000	
8	20.16	South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant - Improvements	\$1,900,000		\$1,900,000						\$1,900,000	
9	23.11	South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant - Sanitary Sewer Connection		\$750,000				\$165,000	\$285,000	\$300,000	\$750,000	
10	23.04 23.09	Urban Water Treatment Plants - GAC Building Dehumidification	\$200,000	\$1,975,000					\$235,000	\$1,940,000	\$2,175,000	
11	22.08	South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant - Main Plant Generator Replacement		\$50,000						\$50,000	\$50,000	
12	20.18	North Rivanna Water Treatment Plant - Decommissioning	\$2,675,000	\$265,000	\$485,000	\$195,000	\$2,260,000				\$2,940,000	\$76,110
13	25.01	Dam Concrete and Steel Repairs		\$1,190,000		\$415,000	\$775,000				\$1,190,000	
14	24.01	South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant - PAC Upgrades	\$1,100,000		\$60,000	\$250,000	\$790,000				\$1,100,000	
15	25.04	Buck Mountain Property Dam Remediation		\$50,000		\$50,000					\$50,000	
		TOTAL	\$7,925,000	\$5,095,000	\$4,445,000	\$945,000	\$3,930,000	\$325,000	\$600,000	\$2,775,000	\$13,020,000	\$76,110

Urban Water Treatment Plants and Reservoirs

Finished Water Storage/Transmission - Urban System

The urban finished water storage and transmission system serves to provide transmission of treated water from the three RWSA water plants (Observatory, South Rivanna, and North Rivanna) to the distribution networks of the Albemarle County Service Authority, the City of Charlottesville, and the University of Virginia. The system includes approximately 40 miles of pipeline, six water storage tanks: Avon Street (2 MG), Pantops (5 MG), Piney Mountain (0.7 MG), Stillhouse (0.7 MG), Observatory (3 MG), and Lewis Mountain (0.5 MG), and the Alderman Road and Stillhouse pumping stations.

Project Descriptions:

- 16. Central Water Line: The southern half of the Urban Area water system is currently served by the Avon Street and Pantops storage tanks. The Avon Street tank is hydraulically well connected to the Observatory Water Treatment Plant while the Pantops tank is well connected to the South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant. The hydraulic connectivity between the two tanks, however, is less than desired, creating operational challenges and reducing system flexibility. In 1987, the City and ACSA developed the Southern Loop Agreement, outlining project phasing and cost allocations, as envisioned at the time. The first two phases of the project were constructed shortly thereafter. The third phase, known as the "Eastern Branch" is the subject of the current project. The initial funding for this project was used for route alignment determination, hydraulic modeling, and preliminary design. Due to the complicated nature of our finished water systems, it was decided at the August 2018 Board meeting that a more comprehensive approach was warranted and we should complete the Finished Water Master Plan prior to moving forward with final design and construction of the Avon to Pantops Water Main. The Finished Water Master plan was completed in 2021 and the Central Water Line project was prioritized for design and construction in coordination with the City and ACSA. The project will consist of approximately 5 miles of new 24-inch and 30-inch through the City to connect the Observatory Water Treatment Plant to an existing RWSA transmission main at East High and Long St. to ensure the increased hydraulic capacity of 10 MGD from the water treatment plant upgrades can be utilized. As part of this project, several City water mains will be replaced where co-located with the Central Water Line and the City will reimburse RWSA for that work.
- 17. <u>South Fork Rivanna River Crossing</u>: RWSA has previously identified through master planning that a 24-inch water main will be needed from the South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant (SRWTP) to Hollymead Town Center to meet future water demands. Two segments of this water main were constructed as part of the VDOT Rt. 29 Solutions projects, including approximately 10,000 linear feet (lf) of 24-inch water main along Rt. 29 and 600 lf of 24-inch water main along the new Berkmar Drive Extension, behind the Kohl's department store. To complete the connection between the SRWTP and the new 24-inch water main in Rt. 29, there is a need to construct a new river crossing at the South Fork Rivanna River. The selected alternative will include a 1,200 lf trenchless river crossing to minimize environmental impacts. Acquisition of right-of-way is required for the river crossing and along Rio Mills Road.
- 18. <u>Airport Rd. Pump Station and North Rivanna Transmission Main</u>: The Rt. 29 Pipeline and Pump Station master plan was developed in 2007 and originally envisioned a multi-faceted

project that reliably connected the North and South Rivanna pressure bands, reduced excessive operating pressures, and developed a new Airport pressure zone to serve the highest elevations near the Airport and Hollymead Town Center. The master plan was updated in 2018 to reflect the changes in the system and demands since 2007. This project, along with the South Rivanna River Crossing project, will provide a reliable and redundant finished water supply to the North Rivanna area. Once the North Rivanna Water Treatment Plant is abandoned, the Airport Road Pump Station will be the primary means to supply water to the North Zone. The proposed pump station will be able to serve system demands at both the current high pressure and a future low-pressure condition. These facilities will also lead to a future phase implementation which will include a storage tank and the creation of the Airport pressure zone. To complete the connection between the new 24-inch water main in Rt. 29 and the pump station, construction will include two "gap" sections of 24-inch water main route is within VDOT right-of-way; however, acquisition of right-of-way was required on the Kohl's Property at Hollymead Town Center.

19. <u>Avon, Pantops, and Observatory Tank Painting</u>: The Avon, Pantops and Observatory Ground Storage Tanks have volumes of 2-million, 3-million, and 5-million gallons respectively, and each of the tanks are located within the Urban Pressure Band of RWSA's Finished water Distribution System. The Urban Pressure Band services most of the City and ACSA critical customers (UVA, UVA/MJ Hospital, Senior Living Facilities, Defense Contractors, etc.). Each of the tanks plat a pivotal role in maintaining system pressures and providing increased flows during fires and other system emergencies.

RWSA inspects its tanks on a regular basis and following recent inspections of the interior and exterior of each of the three tanks it was determined that these tanks are due for rehabilitation and necessary repairs. Each tank is slated to have its interior and exterior coatings rehabilitated, taken out of service, in turn, in order to complete repairs. While each tank is offline, roofing/structural repairs and safety enhancements will be made as appropriate to further protect the integrity of the tank.

- 20. <u>Second N. Rivanna River Crossing & Select Pipe Replacement</u>: The North Rivanna water distribution system has a 12-inch water line crossing of the North Rivanna River which is difficult to access and vulnerable to erosion and washout. The Finished Water Master Plan recommended we install a second redundant river crossing to ensure water can be conveyed north of the river to the Piney Mountain Tank from the new Airport Road Pump Station once the North Rivanna Water Treatment Plant is decommissioned. Approximately 1.2 miles of cast iron water line which has the highest system pressures and has experienced numerous emergency line breaks will be replaced as part of this project to improve system resiliency.
- 21. <u>Emmet Street Betterment</u>: The Urban Finished Water Master Plan identified several necessary upgrades to the urban water distribution system to improve system performance and reliability. One of the identified improvements is an upgrade and extension of the existing RWSA water main along the Emmet Street corridor from the UVA Dell Pond to Hydraulic Road. This project will utilize planned road, streetscape, utility, and development projects along the Emmet Street corridor to complete portions of the Emmet Street water main improvements as betterment, with the goal of completing the approximately 2-mile-long water main by 2030. The project scope

includes planning and coordination between RWSA, UVA, the City of Charlottesville, and VDOT, design services for the betterment and "gap" sections of water line, construction funding, and construction management services. Current identified projects with betterment opportunities include: the UVA Ivy Corridor Redevelopment, UVA Contemplative Commons, the City of Charlottesville Emmet Streetscape Projects (multiple phases), and intersection improvements at Barracks Road, and the US-250/Emmet Street Interchange.

- 22. <u>Berkmar Drive Ext. Waterline Phase 2</u>: This water line project will be completed as betterment with the construction of the last section of the VDOT Berkmar Drive Extended project. VDOT has begun preliminary design, however, the construction is not anticipated for several years. This betterment will include approximately 1,000 feet of 16-inch waterline which will connect the new Airport Road Pump Station to an RWSA main in Airport Road. This second feed out of the new pump station will provide more redundancy to supply the North Zone once the North Rivanna Water Treatment Plant is decommissioned.
- 23. <u>Urban Storage Evaluation and Tank(s) Addition</u>: The Finished Water Master Plan outlined the need to evaluate our water storage system for fire suppression, to address frequent tank cycling, and to evaluate alternatives for realizing more useable volume in each tank. Once an evaluation is completed, this project will determine the best pressure bands to add storage to ease operational constraints. This project envisions the addition of two more water storage tanks which may be at existing tank locations or new ones.
- 24. <u>SCADA Panel Relocations</u>: At many remote water storage tank sites, control panels and PLCs associated with operation and monitoring of the tanks are located in valve vaults. These locations are a concern based on limited access to the electrical and instrumentation components as well as the condition of the space and the associated impact to the longevity of the devices. This project includes installation of new control panels and PLCs aboveground in weatherproof enclosures under a self-supporting canopy that would protect staff from direct weather impacts during operation or maintenance activities at seven water storage tank sites throughout the RWSA water distribution system. The components located in the valve vaults would be demolished after the new components were installed to minimize monitoring downtime.

Finished water Storage/Transmission – Orban System	Finished	Water	Storage/	Transmission	– Urban	System
--	----------	-------	----------	--------------	---------	--------

			Five	-Year Capital Pro	gram	Projected Future Expenses by Year							
Line No.	Proj. No.	Project Description	Current CIP Adopted 5/2023	Proposed Changes	Current Capital Budget	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	Recommended CIP	Work-in-Progress (Prev. Expenses 6/30/2023)	
16	20.10	Central Water Line	\$41,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$4,300,000	\$7,250,000	\$10,350,000	\$10,350,000	\$10,350,000	\$4,400,000	\$47,000,000	\$1,040,961	
17	20.12	South Fork Rivanna River Crossing	\$6,900,000	\$400,000	\$5,500,000	\$400,000	\$1,400,000				\$7,300,000	\$307,143	
18	20.13	Airport Rd. Pump Station and North Rivanna Transmission Main	\$10,000,000		\$10,000,000						\$10,000,000	\$5,792,592	
19	20.50 20.51 20.64	Avon, Pantops and Observatory Tank Painting	\$2,200,000	\$1,745,000				\$245,000	\$2,100,000	\$1,600,000	\$3,945,000		
20	20.58	Second North Rivanna River Crossing and Select Pipe Replacement	\$30,000	\$620,000					\$30,000	\$620,000	\$650,000		
21	23.06	Emmet Street Betterment	\$10,650,000	(\$1,415,000)	\$2,495,000	\$2,020,000	\$2,225,000	\$1,250,000	\$1,245,000		\$9,235,000	\$1,111,388	
22	24.09	Berkmar Drive Ext. Waterline - Phase 2	\$1,400,000	\$40,000	\$220,000		\$100,000	\$650,000	\$470,000		\$1,440,000		
23	24.12	Urban Storage Evaluation and Tank(s) Addition	\$870,000	\$2,110,000			\$55,000	\$305,000	\$520,000	\$2,100,000	\$2,980,000		
24	24.13	SCADA Panel Relocations		\$46,000						\$46,000	\$46,000		
		TOTAL	\$73,050,000	\$9,546,000	\$22,515,000	\$9,670,000	\$14,130,000	\$12,800,000	\$14,715,000	\$8,766,000	\$82,596,000	\$8,252,084	

Crozet Water System

The Crozet Water System includes the source water, raw water conveyance, finished water treatment, transmission and storage infrastructure for the Crozet community in western Albemarle County. The source water for this system is the Beaver Creek Reservoir and Beaver Creek (Garnett) Dam which were built in 1964 with a current useable storage capacity of 521 million gallons. Raw water is treated at the Crozet Water Treatment Plant (2.0 mgd rated capacity) and provides finished water to the Albemarle County Service Authority. The system includes the Crozet Elevated (Waterball) Tank (0.05 MG) for water treatment plant backwash; the Crozet Ground Storage Tank (0.5 MG) and pump station, and the Buck's Elbow Storage Tank (2.0 MG).

Project Descriptions:

- 25. <u>Beaver Creek Dam Alteration</u>: RWSA operates the Beaver Creek Dam and reservoir as the sole raw water supply for the Crozet Area. In 2011, an analysis of the Dam Breach inundation areas and changes to the Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation (DCR) Impounding Structures Regulations prompted a change in hazard classification of the dam from Significant to High Hazard. This change in hazard classification requires that the capacity of the spillway be increased. Following the completion of a planning study in 2023, staff will proceed with final design and construction of a labyrinth spillway and chute with a bridge to allow Browns Gap Turnpike to cross over the new spillway. Work for this project will be coordinated with the new relocated raw water pump station and intake. Federal funding through the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) is being pursued to cover a portion of the design and construction costs.
- 26. <u>Beaver Creek New Raw Water Pump Station & Intake</u>: The existing Raw Water Pump Station and Intake at the Beaver Creek Reservoir was constructed in 1964 and is located at the foot of the Beaver Creek Dam. Obligatory dam safety upgrades to the Beaver Creek Dam spillway necessitate moving the pump station away from its current location downstream of the dam. Additionally, the Drinking Water Infrastructure Plan for the Crozet water service area recommends installation of a new Raw Water Pump Station and Intake to meet new minimum instream flow requirements and provide adequate raw water pumping capacity to serve the growing Crozet community for the next 50 years. The new pump station will be constructed adjacent to the dam on the Beaver Creek Reservoir. The new intake structure will include enhanced controls as well as a Hypolimnetic Oxygenation System to enhance water quality within the reservoir.
- 27. <u>Buck's Elbow Tank and Waterball Painting</u>: The 2,000,000-gallon Buck's Elbow Ground Storage Tank provides finished water storage for the Crozet Area while the 50,000-gallon Crozet Waterball Tank serves as filter backwash storage at the Crozet Water Treatment Plant (CZWTP). Routine inspections of these tanks in 2012 indicated that the tanks would require recoating by 2020. The current coating system has lasted beyond this initial prediction and as such was moved to 2028. The project includes recoating the interior and top-coating the exterior of both tanks to prevent corrosion. Minor repairs and improvements to both tanks, such as foundation repairs and safety enhancements, will precede the painting work due to necessity of repairs.

- 28. Crozet Water Treatment Plant GAC Building Dehumidification: Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) treatment was installed at the Crozet Water Treatment Plant in 2018. The building constructed around the GAC vessels was not conditioned and only included unit heaters and portable dehumidifiers. As a result of the large GAC vessels in this building, the humidity levels in the area tend to promote condensation which can then lead to corrosion of the equipment and general degradation. While the portable dehumidifiers were beneficial, they tended to be unreliable and insufficient to maintain lower humidity levels in the building. As a result, the purpose of this project is to install a permanent industrial dehumidification system in the GAC building. This project would include the dehumidification units as well as the necessary ductwork, electrical improvements, and architectural modifications required for a complete installation.
- 29. <u>Crozet AC Pipe Replacement</u>: This project includes the installation of approximately 5,000 linear feet of new 18-inch ductile iron water line along Three Notched Road in Crozet. It will replace the final remaining section of 12-inch RWSA transite (asbestos cement) pipe built in the 1970's which is difficult to repair due to health and safety hazards. The new water line will connect the new Crozet Finished Water Pump Station at the Water Treatment Plant to the end of the previous transite replacement project at the entrance to Western Ridge and will provide more reliable service to carry the capacity of the recent water treatment plant upgrades.
- 30. <u>Crozet Water Treatment Plant Full GAC Treatment</u>: In order to enhance RWSA's resiliency and commitment to long term finished water quality, the Authority has committed to expanding the Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) capacity at the Crozet WTP to match the current plant capacity. GAC has been identified as a leading best management practice to remove disinfection by product pre-cursers and similar potential contaminants from source water and can be used to manage removal of other emerging contaminants and compounds that are under consideration for regulation in the future. As a result, GAC treatment capacity will provide the Crozet WTP with the flexibility to provide high quality drinking water under various future conditions. This project includes an expansion of the existing GAC building, additional GAC vessels and the necessary pumps, piping, and electrical components to connect the expanded facility to the existing treatment plant.
- 31. <u>Crozet Finished Water Greyrock Pump Station</u>: RWSA's Bucks Elbow Ground Storage Tank (BET) currently can only be cycled as low as 26-feet (11-feet below overflow), due to the high elevation of several homes in the Greyrock area of Crozet. This results in about 0.6 million gallons (MG) of the total 2 MG being available for use. As a part of the proposed improvements, a small booster station will be installed near the tank site with a series of pressure reducing valves in order to allow the tank to cycle as low as 18-feet, making an additional 0.4 MG of water inside BET available for use. This will allow RWSA staff to cycle the tank lower, reducing water age and improving overall water quality in this area of the distribution system.
- 32. <u>Crozet Ground Tank Painting</u>: The 500,000-gallon Crozet Ground Storage Tank provides Chlorine Contact Time at the Crozet Water Treatment Plant (CZWTP) and serves as a clearwell for the Crozet Finished Water Pump Station. Inspections of this tank in 2018 and 2022 indicated that the tank's exterior will require top-coating by 2028 to prevent corrosion. The

project includes design, construction, and bid-phase services for top-coating of the tank exterior plus minor repairs and safety improvements as needed.

			Five-Year Capital Program Projected Future Expenses by Year									
Line No.	Proj. No.	Project Description	Current CIP Adopted 5/2023	Proposed Changes	Current Capital Budget	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	Recommended CIP	Work-in-Progress (Prev. Expenses 6/30/2023)
25	20.19	Beaver Creek Dam Alteration	\$22,700,000	\$1,000,000	\$3,975,000		\$5,825,000	\$6,900,000	\$7,000,000		\$23,700,000	\$987,079
26	20.20 21.15	Beaver Creek New Raw Water Pump Station & Intake	\$20,200,000	\$3,200,000	\$4,150,000		\$5,150,000	\$6,000,000	\$8,100,000		\$23,400,000	\$591,810
27	21.01	Buck's Elbow Tank and Waterball Painting	\$1,180,000	\$520,000		\$85,000		\$75,000	\$1,100,000	\$440,000	\$1,700,000	
28	23.10	Crozet Water Treatment Plant - GAC Building Dehumidification	\$50,000	\$615,000					\$60,000	\$605,000	\$665,000	
29	23.13	Crozet AC Pipe Replacement	\$450,000	\$725,000					\$450,000	\$725,000	\$1,175,000	
30	23.14	Crozet Water Treatment Plant - Full GAC Treatment	\$6,550,000		\$1,450,000		\$3,890,000	\$1,210,000			\$6,550,000	
31	23.30	Crozet Finished Water Greyrock Pump Station	\$180,000	\$1,405,000					\$185,000	\$1,400,000	\$1,585,000	
32	20.55	Crozet Ground Tank Painting		\$25,000						\$25,000	\$25,000	
		TOTAL	\$51,310,000	\$7,490,000	\$9,575,000	\$85,000	\$14,865,000	\$14,185,000	\$16,895,000	\$3,195,000	\$58,800,000	\$1,578,889

Crozet Water System

Scottsville Water System

The Scottsville Water System is comprised of the raw water conveyance, finished water treatment, transmission and storage infrastructure for the Town of Scottsville in southern Albemarle County. The source water for this system is the Totier Creek Intake, and the backup supply is the Totier Creek Reservoir, which was built in 1971 with a current useable capacity of 182 million gallons. Raw water is treated at the Scottsville Water Treatment Plant (0.25 mgd rated capacity) and provides finished water to the Albemarle County Service Authority. The system includes the Scottsville Storage Tank (0.25 MG). In 2023 ACSA conveyed to RWSA the Scottsville Finished Water Pump Station on James River Road, the Rt. 795 Tank (0.7 MG) and the piping in between.

Project Description:

- 33. <u>Scottsville Water Treatment Plant Upgrade</u>: The Scottsville Water Treatment Plant was originally constructed in 1967, and other then the addition of Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) treatment and interior finished water piping improvements, much of the original plant, including process and electrical equipment, remains in service. As a result, a needs assessment for the plant was completed that identified a number of additional improvements required to maintain a high level of treatment and water quality for the Scottsville community. Improvements include upgrades to the raw water pump stations, general electrical system upgrades, construction of a building addition to allow for more effective chemical storage and other general space needs, rehabilitation of the existing lab space, and other treatment process-based upgrades.
- 34. <u>Red Hill Water Treatment Plant Upgrades & GAC Addition</u>: The Red Hill Water Treatment Plant was constructed in a joint effort of ACSA and RWSA in 2009 and consists of a well, pneumatic tank and pump house that provides treated water to the Red Hill Elementary School and adjoining neighborhood. Originally the facility was operated primarily as a well head and pump house. More recently the facility has operated as a water treatment facility with a well as source water. As such, there have been several chemical process additions, automation, online monitoring and an increase in operator wet chemistry testing. The current building is well beyond its physical capacity and this project will serve to expand the building and improve the configuration of the process and laboratory needs of the WTP.

Furthermore, to enhance RWSA's resiliency and commitment to long term finished water quality, the Authority has committed to adding Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) treatment at the Red Hill WTP, sized to match the current plant capacity. GAC has been identified as a leading best management practice to remove disinfection by product pre-cursers and similar potential contaminants from source water and can be used to manage removal of other emerging contaminants and compounds that are under consideration for regulation in the future. As a result, full GAC treatment capacity will provide the Red Hill WTP with the flexibility to provide high quality drinking water under various future conditions. This project includes a building expansion to independently house sodium hypochlorite, fluoride and GAC treatment as well as piping, valves and pumping improvements necessary to incorporate these components into the existing treatment plant.

- 35. <u>Scottsville Asbestos-Cement Pipeline Replacement</u>: This project is intended to replace all remaining RWSA waterline in Scottsville that is currently constructed of asbestos-cement not included under ACSA's Phase 4 Waterline Replacement Project. The scope of the project generally includes approximately 500 linear feet of raw waterline replacement between the filter building and Totier Creek intake behind Scottsville WTP. The proposed budget includes design for the new water main, as well as bid/quote package assistance.
- 36. <u>Scottsville Water Treatment Plant GAC Building Dehumidification</u>: Granular Activated Carbon (GAC) treatment was installed at the Scottsville Water Treatment Plant in 2018. The building constructed around the GAC vessels was not conditioned and only included unit heaters and portable dehumidifiers. As a result of the large GAC vessels in this building, the humidity levels in the area tend to promote condensation which can then lead to corrosion of the equipment and general degradation. While the portable dehumidifiers were beneficial, they tended to be unreliable and insufficient to maintain lower humidity levels in the building. As a result, the purpose of this project is to install a permanent industrial dehumidification system in the GAC building. This project would include the dehumidification units as well as the necessary ductwork, electrical improvements, and architectural modifications required for a complete installation.

			Five-	Year Capital Pro	gram		Projected	Future Expense	s by Year			
Line No.	Proj. No.	Project Description	Current CIP Adopted 5/2023	Proposed Changes	Current Capital Budget	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	Recommended CIP	Work-in-Progress (Prev. Expenses 6/30/2023)
33	22.06	Scottsville Water Treatment Plant - Upgrade	\$550,000	\$800,000					\$550,000	\$800,000	\$1,350,000	
34	22.07 23.17	Red Hill Water Treatment Plant - Upgrades & GAC Addition	\$740,000	\$70,000	\$511,000	\$299,000					\$810,000	\$42,486
35	23.16	Scottsville AC Pipe Replacement	\$80,000	\$195,000					\$85,000	\$190,000	\$275,000	
36	23.18	Scottsville Water Treatment Plant - GAC Building Dehumidification	\$50,000	\$615,000					\$60,000	\$605,000	\$665,000	
		TOTAL	\$1,420,000	\$1,680,000	\$511,000	\$299,000	\$0	\$0	\$695,000	\$1,595,000	\$3,100,000	\$42,486

Scottsville Water System

Wastewater Interceptors/Pumping Stations

The RWSA wastewater interceptors and pumping stations convey wastewater from the collection systems of the City of Charlottesville and Albemarle County Service Authority to the Moores Creek Advanced Water Resource Recovery Facility (MCAWRRF). This grouping includes: the Crozet Interceptor and four associated pumping stations; the Moores Creek Interceptor and Relief Sewer; the Morey Creek, Maury Hills, Powell Creek, Meadow Creek, Schenks Branch, Woodbrook and Rivanna Interceptors; as well as the Albemarle-Berkley Interceptor and associated Albemarle Pumping Station. Also included in this system are the two primary pump stations into the MCAWRRF, the Rivanna and Moores Creek Pump Stations.

Project Descriptions:

- 37. Upper Schenks Branch Interceptor: The Schenks Branch Interceptor is located in the eastern part of the City of Charlottesville and ties into the Meadowcreek Interceptor. The interceptor was constructed in the mid-1950s of 21-inch clay and concrete pipe. The existing interceptor is undersized to serve present and future wet weather flows as determined by the City, and is to be upgraded to 30-inch pipe. The Upper Schenks Branch Interceptor consists of two sections along McIntire Road. Both of these sections have been designed with the first phase of this project located in the City's Schenks Branch Greenway, completed in early 2016. The second phase of the Upper Schenks Interceptor will be replaced by RWSA in coordination with the City of Charlottesville's sewer upgrades as easement negotiations with Albemarle County are completed.
- 38. <u>Maury Hill Branch Sewer Replacement</u>: The Maury Hill Branch Sewer is an 8-inch diameter clay sewer constructed in the 1970's. It ties into the Morey Creek Interceptor and primarily collects wastewater from the UVA Fontaine Research Park and a small portion of the adjacent City of Charlottesville neighborhoods. As a result of growth at the research park and increasing wastewater flows, the sewer line will need to be upsized to 12-inch diameter ductile iron pipe to properly convey flows for current and future needs. Since the existing pipe is also a clay sewer, the system has experienced a significant amount of inflow and infiltration (I&I). Installing a new ductile iron pipe and concrete manholes will reduce the I&I in the system and have a positive impact on the capacity of downstream sewers as well. Staff continues to coordinate with UVA staff on the upcoming expansion of the Fontaine Research Park, so flows in the existing sewer can be monitored accordingly.
- 39. <u>Crozet Pump Station 1, 2, and 3 Rehabilitation</u>: The Crozet Interceptor Pump Stations were constructed in the 1980's and many of the components are original. This project initially included the replacement of pumps and valves at Pump Station 2 in order to improve pumping capabilities at this location and provide spare parts for the pumps at Pump Station 1. It also included roof replacements at all four pump stations, siding replacement for the wet well enclosure at Pump Station 3, and installation of new wells at Pump Stations 3 and 4. The pump station improvements now also include new electrical motor control centers as they are obsolete and past their useful life, generators, power transfer switches, pumps and valves at all pump stations.

40. <u>Albemarle Berkley Pump Station Upgrade</u>: The Albemarle-Berkeley Pump Station was constructed in 1975 and conveys flows from several Albemarle County Public Schools (ACPS) and other ACSA customers into RWSA's gravity Albemarle-Berkeley Interceptor. Recently, the pump station's run times have increased, with the pumps running nearly continuously for some periods. It is anticipated that much of the pumping infrastructure has reached or exceeded its expected lifespan, and that the equipment may be in need of replacement.

A Capacity Analysis of the existing pump station has been completed, utilizing present flow rates, area-specific population projections, and known development projects on and adjacent to the ACPS campus in order to provide pump station buildout sizing to serve the area for the next 50 years. With the Capacity Analysis complete, this Pump Station Upgrade Project will utilize consultant assistance in order to formulate a set of bidding documents that will include the construction of a new pumping station that is sized to meet the current and future flows as determined by the Capacity Analysis, along with all equipment necessary for staff to safely remove individual pumps from service for maintenance purposes or temporarily bypass the station entirely as needed. Once the new pump station has been constructed and is in service, the existing pump station will be demolished, with that portion of the site returned to pre-existing conditions. This project is also being coordinated with the ongoing ACPS Master Planning Process.

- 39. Interceptor Sewer and Manhole Repair Phase 2: This project is used to conduct assessments of various interceptors as well as rehabilitation of interceptors that do not have a separate CIP project. Phase 1 of the Interceptor Sewer and Manhole Repair Project included completion of the baseline evaluation of all RWSA interceptors (except the 42/48" Upper Rivanna Interceptor & those replaced with new pipe), as well as completion of rehabilitation on the Upper Morey Creek Interceptor and high-priority rehabilitation on the Powell Creek and Woodbrook Interceptors. Planned projects for Phase 2 include completion of the baseline evaluation by performing closed-circuit television inspections of the Upper Rivanna Interceptor. In addition, the force mains on the Crozet and Albemarle-Berkely Interceptors will be inspected, as well as inverted siphons on the Powell Creek and Moores Creek Interceptors. These inspections will require specialty equipment to complete due to the vastly different flow conditions in these portions of the interceptors. Additional high-priority rehabilitation, possibly on the Maury Hills Branch Interceptor and other sewers, will be completed under this phase as funds allow, with additional rehabilitation efforts to take place under Phase 3. Sanitary sewer evaluation includes identification of inflow & infiltration (I & I), as well as structural defects and other maintenance issues to enable overall program planning and future rehabilitation scoping. Rehabilitation of existing sanitary sewer pipe and manholes reduces Inflow & Infiltration (I & I) in the system, thus reducing the chance for sanitary sewer overflows (SSOs) during high flow events and protecting downstream treatment processes.
- 40. <u>Interceptor Sewer and Manhole Repair Phase 3</u>: This project is used to conduct assessments of various interceptors as well as rehabilitation of interceptors that do not have a separate CIP project. Phase 2 of the Interceptor Sewer and Manhole Repair Project, which is currently underway, includes completion of the baseline evaluation of all RWSA interceptors, including the 42-48" Upper Rivanna Interceptor, gravity portions of the Crozet Interceptor (downstream of Crozet Pump Station No. 4), force mains on the Crozet and Albemarle-Berkeley

Interceptors, as well as inverted siphons on the Powell Creek and Moores Creek Interceptors. Phase 3 of this project will take data from the previous two phases and utilize that to perform rehabilitation on RWSA's largest interceptors, including the Moores Creek Interceptor, Upper Rivanna Interceptor, Crozet Interceptor, and others. Rehabilitation is anticipated to include continued usage of cured in place piping (CIPP) and point replacements of pipe as necessary, as well as cementitious manhole coatings and frame and cover replacements.

Urban Wastewater Interceptors/Pumping Stations

			Five-Year Capital Program			Projected Future Expenses by Year						
Line No.	Proj. No.	Project Description	Current CIP Adopted 5/2023	Proposed Changes	Current Capital Budget	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	Recommended CIP	Work-in-Progress (Prev. Expenses 6/30/2023)
37	20.25	Upper Schenks Branch Interceptor	\$5,300,000	\$600,000	\$4,725,000		\$400,000	\$775,000			\$5,900,000	\$50,787
38	20.29	Maury Hill Branch Sewer Replacement	\$350,000	\$1,650,000					\$350,000	\$1,650,000	\$2,000,000	
39	20.30	Crozet Pump Station 1, 2, 3 Rehabilitation	\$10,350,000	\$550,000	\$1,105,000	\$2,100,000	\$5,795,000	\$1,900,000			\$10,900,000	\$42,267
40	20.31	Albemarle Berkley Pump Station Upgrade	\$115,000	\$725,000					\$130,000	\$710,000	\$840,000	
41	21.07	Interceptor Sewer and Manhole Repair (Phase 2)	\$1,230,000	\$195,000	\$1,010,000	\$80,000	\$335,000				\$1,425,000	\$193,423
42	24.02	Interceptor Sewer and Manhole Repair (Phase 3)	\$600,000	\$1,145,000					\$615,000	\$1,130,000	\$1,745,000	
		TOTAL	\$17,945,000	\$4,865,000	\$6,840,000	\$2,180,000	\$6,530,000	\$2,675,000	\$1,095,000	\$3,490,000	\$22,810,000	\$286,477

Moores Creek Advanced Water Resource Recovery Facility

The Moores Creek Advanced Water Resource Recovery Facility (MCAWRRF) is the largest wastewater treatment facility within the RWSA system. The plant was originally constructed in 1958 and upgraded and expanded in 1981 and 1982, and currently has a rated capacity of 15 mgd. From 2009 thru 2012 the facility was again upgraded to provide enhanced nutrient removal, and increased wet weather pumping and treatment capacity. This site includes the infrastructure for the wastewater treatment process as well as the RWSA administration facilities.

Project Descriptions:

- 43. <u>Moores Creek AWRRF Engineering and Administration Building</u>: RWSA currently has its administrative headquarters in two buildings on the grounds of the Moores Creek Advanced Water Resource Recovery Facility. The two-story Administration Building was constructed in the late 1970's and houses offices, IT server space, meeting space and a full-service laboratory. The second building is a series of four trailers installed between 2003-2010 that house the Engineering department. There is currently a need to house additional staff; increase office and meeting space; plan for the replacement of the trailers; increase available parking; bring the IT server workrooms to modern standards; and provide classroom space for education outreach. This project was coordinated with the recent MCAWRRF Master Plan and expansion of the building will take place primarily in the lower parking lot adjacent to the existing building.
- 44. Moores Creek AWRRF Biogas Upgrades: The MCAWRRF has an existing cogeneration facility that was constructed in 2011. The purpose of the facility was to provide a beneficial purpose for using the gas produced by the digester process at the plant, and in doing so provide both process heating fluid to the digester tanks and electrical energy to the plant's electrical distribution system. Unfortunately, the existing cogeneration facility requires expensive recurring maintenance services, has proprietary equipment which further complicates servicing needs, and has had a number of operational issues that have impeded the benefit this facility was intended to provide. As a result, a Cogeneration System Analysis was performed to determine a recommended approach for proceeding with improvements to the existing facility, installation of a new cogeneration facility without the issues of the previous facility or removing the cogeneration facility altogether and providing a backup boiler. Following this analysis and the initial decision to proceed with replacement of the cogeneration facility, a State of the Industry study was performed, which found that many of the aforementioned issues are common across almost all cogeneration installations. This prompted staff to perform a wider study of the industry as a whole in which it was found that many facilities are transitioning to treating the digester gas produced and transferring the gas into local natural gas pipelines so it can be reused by residential and commercial consumers. While this is still being examined by RWSA and the City of Charlottesville, whom operates the gas utility in this area, the current project budget assumes implementation of this type of system. In addition to the gas conditioning system, this project also includes rehabilitation of the existing Methane Sphere at MCAWRRF, in order to extend its service life in accordance with the MCAWRRF Master Plan.

As the preliminary biogas evaluation is completed and a method to beneficially reuse the gas is finalized, staff will utilize consultant assistance to design and bid a project that not only allows the chosen technology to be implemented, but also performs critical spot and coating repairs to the existing gas sphere that was constructed in the 1980s. The work will also include adjustments as appropriate to the biogas processing system.

- 45. Moores Creek AWRRF Building Upfits and Gravity Thickener Improvements: This project will address the renovation needs of the current Maintenance and Operations building space requirements, improvements to the existing gravity thickener system, and installation of actuators on the secondary clarifier influent gate valves. The Moores Creek Maintenance and Operations Department facilities are over 40 years old, no longer meeting current staffing and operational needs. In accordance with the Moores Creek Master Plan, this project will increase and update personnel spaces such as offices, lunchrooms, labs, and locker rooms in the Maintenance, Blower, and Sludge Pumping Buildings to meet needs over an interim timeframe of approximately 15 years. Additionally, the project will construct increased oil and grease storage that will meet all current best practices for safety and address the need for additional parts storage. As part of the existing gravity thickener system, RWSA added temporary provisions to dose polymer to improve settling and thickening performance, which has proved to be effective and increased operational performance. The current polymer feed system consists of a bulk polymer tote stored on grade adjacent to the gravity thickener rapid mix and splitter structure. The current system is uncovered-and manually operated with totes being moved as needed for chemical feed. This project will allow for a permanent polymer feed system with proper provisions for chemical deliveries and weather protection, including additional space for sodium hypochlorite chemical storage and feed as part of the gravity thickener odor control system. The relocation of the sodium hypochlorite storage and feed will also allocate spacing needs as part of the previously discussed operational building renovations in the existing Sludge Pumping Building. Furthermore, access points will be installed on the thickener effluent line feeding the existing sludge pumps to allow for flushing, cleaning and inspection efforts to occur. Finally, the current secondary clarifier influent gate valves are manually operated, which can be time consuming, and during a wet weather event, the clarifiers need to be placed in service as quickly and safely as possible. The use of SCADA controlled actuators would streamline the process immensely. This work includes the installation of eight (8) new actuators on the influent gates of the secondary clarifiers.
- 46. <u>Moores Creek AWRRF Meter and Valve Replacements</u>: As part of the 2018 Odor Control Phase II Project, the post digestion clarifiers were eliminated from use and the gravity thickener overflow was diverted through existing piping directly to the Moores Creek Pump Station at the head of the treatment facility. This resulted in less odor generation, however, the gravity thickener overflow lost its metering location at the post digestion clarifiers. A new metering manhole location was installed near the Moores Creek Pump Station where several plant recycle flows come together. Unfortunately, this meter location has been problematic and is subject to backwater flows from the pump station and meter fouling from grease and solids. This project includes evaluation and implementation of alternatives for installation of individual meters on each recycle flow line at locations that will provide less operation and maintenance problems.

The circulation of Waste Activated Sludge (WAS) and Return Activated Sludge (RAS) is important in the wastewater process to maintain a healthy balance of microorganisms. The existing WAS and RAS flow meters are original to the 1980's construction of the facility and are nearly 40 years old. These meters can no longer be calibrated and replacement parts are not available. Replacement of these existing meters, in addition to installation of new meters for the primary and thickened sludge is necessary for process and operational efficiency and is currently under construction.

- 47. Moores Creek AWRRF 5kV Electrical System Upgrade: After discussions through the Moores Creek Facilities Master Plan, it was identified that several areas of the MCAWRRF, including the Blower Building, Sludge Pumping Building, Grit Removal Building, Moores Creek Pumping Station, and the Administration Building are all still connected to the original 5kV switchgear in the Blower Building. This equipment, including the associated cabling, switchgear, transformers, and motor control centers (MCCs), has a useful life expectancy of 20-30 years. Most of this equipment was installed around 1980. With the equipment having well exceeded its useful life expectancy at this point, safety is a concern given the large electric loads that the cabling and other equipment are handling on a day-to-day basis. Failure of the existing 5kV infrastructure could also result in temporary outages of certain treatment processes, and repairs could take weeks to months given the lead times associated with equipment of this age. In July 2020, staff recommended that a CIP Project be started as soon as possible to encompass replacement of the original 1980s-vintage 5kV cables, switchgear, transformers, and MCCs. Construction is underway, although severe lead time issues have been experienced on the new electrical equipment being installed under the project. All work has been coordinated with the Moores Creek Facilities Master Plan.
- 48. <u>Moores Creek AWRRF Yard Piping Upgrades</u>: The original 36-inch Rivanna Pump Station force main was constructed around 1980 and carried flow from the original 25 MGD pump station in Riverview Park. Now that the pump station has been relocated to MCAWRRF and upsized to 53 MGD, it is desirable to install a second force main based on the age of the pipe and for redundancy. This work will include construction of a second parallel 36-inch force main from the Rivanna Pump Station, across Moores Creek, and up to the headworks. A routing study will be completed prior to completion of the new Administration Building to minimize potential conflicts with future force main construction.
- 49. <u>Moores Creek AWRRF Structural and Concrete Rehabilitation</u>: This project comprises rehabilitation, repair and installation of multiple structural components throughout the MCAWRRF facility, to include concrete repairs in both the equalization basins and holding ponds, rehabilitation to the existing primary clarifiers and associated influent splitter box, installation of a bridge crane over the aeration basins for NRCY pump removal, improved access to the elevated valves and associated actuators in the Rivanna pump station, and rehabilitation to both the digester complex and compost shed roof and drainage system.

The existing holding ponds and equalization basins were constructed in 1977 and are showing signs of degradation. With now completed condition assessment inspections and subsequent recommendations, this project includes crack repair, spalling repair, joint repair, and coating of miscellaneous metals and valves associated with these critical structures.

Inspections performed on the two existing primary clarifiers and associated influent splitter box noted several deficiencies including structural and mechanical components, concrete degradation and corrosion around pipe penetrations in need of repair or replacement, and due to advanced corrosion of metal components within the clarifiers, coatings were recommended to avoid additional deterioration. This project will provide for the rehabilitation, replacement and/ or coatings of these previously identified components within the primary clarifiers and influent splitter box.

The aeration basins located at Moores Creek are a series of chambers that each have uniquely controlled oxygen and nutrient loading conditions. Mid-way thru the basins is ten nutrient recycle (NRCY) pumps. When maintenance or replacement of these pumps are required, staff must currently hire a long boom crane, which can be costly and disruptive to operations, especially in emergency conditions. This project will provide for the permanent means to remove and reinstall existing NRCY pumps.

Two of the six pumps in the Rivanna Pump Station are smaller and were designed to be replaced if future average day flows warrant increased capacity. The current configuration resulted in some valves being located approximately 40 feet above the pump floor level. Valve maintenance activities have been challenging due to their height. A project is proposed to either modify the piping configuration or install a catwalk from the upper mezzanine level to each valve to provide a safer means of accessing each valve.

Moores Creek AWRRF has five digester vessels. The two smaller digesters were part of the original 1958 plant construction. The three larger digesters were part of the 1979 plant upgrades following construction of the bridge over Moores Creek and the south side of the plant. Although numerous upgrades have been constructed at the digester complex over the last 11 years (including heating, mixing, gas compression, and roof repairs), the overall condition of the concrete and complex is reaching its useful life. This project includes addressing remaining repairs to the existing digester complex, including safety repairs, to extend the useful life approximately 10-15 years while RWSA plans, designs, and constructs a new digester complex at another location on the Moores Creek site.

Finally, in the early 1980's a large metal-framed roof was constructed to house the biosolids composting operations, which has subsequently ceased operation. The area was repurposed as a covered equipment maintenance yard, solids handling facility and material storage lock-up. The roof system is exhibiting signs of rafter deterioration and ongoing drainage and leakage issues. This project will evaluate and perform remediation needs at this facility.

50. <u>Moores Creek AWRRF MCPS Slide Gates</u>, Valves and Bypass & Septage Receiving <u>Upgrades</u>: Through separate procurements, previous inspections of the large aluminum slide gates at the influent side of the Moores Creek Pump Station have been conducted to determine the extent of repairs needed to stop them from leaking. Results of these investigations will be used to design the repair of the existing slide gates and add new gates so staff can have the flexibility to stop or divert flow to perform maintenance activities. In addition, this project will include the repair of 3 control valves within the pump station and provide permanent
bypass connections so the entire pump station can be bypassed more efficiently in the future when needed. To reduce odors and address maintenance concerns at the existing north septage receiving station, the project will enclose the leachate discharge pit, provide for better containment of discharged materials, and install rock traps and grinders with all associated process piping to prevent downstream blockages at the Moores Creek Pump Station.

51. <u>Moores Creek AWRRF Blower Building Ventilation Improvements</u>: The existing blower building at the MCAWWRF currently experiences issues related to high temperatures occurring within the building. The original building was designed for aeration blowers and a plant generator and was retrofitted to remove all this equipment and now houses upgraded blowers for the plant aeration treatment system. However, the heat generation from the newer equipment has been creating intermittent issues with high ambient temperatures causing blower equipment to fault out in warmer months due to current ventilation not being adequate. This project will look to evaluate and upgrade the ventilation system to ensure reliability for critical process operation and eliminate the excessive heat generation issues.

Moores Creek Advanced Water Resource Recovery Facility

			Five	-Year Capital Pro	gram		Projected	l Future Expense	s by Year			
Line No.	Proj. No.	Project Description	Current CIP Adopted 5/2023	Proposed Changes	Current Capital Budget	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	Recommended CIP	Work-in-Progress (Prev. Expenses 6/30/2023)
43	20.34	Moores Creek AWRRF Engineering and Administration Building	\$10,500,000	\$9,500,000	\$1,200,000	\$8,300,000	\$10,500,000				\$20,000,000	\$246,592
44	20.40 20.67	Moores Creek AWRRF Biogas Upgrades	\$3,595,000	\$2,055,000	\$3,365,000		\$1,770,000	\$515,000			\$5,650,000	\$61,484
45	20.68	Moores Creek AWRRF Building Upfits and Gravity Thickener Improvements	\$4,555,000	\$595,000	\$1,265,000	\$2,990,000	\$895,000				\$5,150,000	\$17,011
46	21.11 21.17	Moores Creek AWWRF Meter and Valve Replacements	\$775,000	(\$300,000)	\$775,000	(\$300,000)					\$475,000	\$163,254
47	21.18	Moores Creek AWRRF 5kV Electrical System Upgrade	\$5,635,000	\$565,000	\$5,830,000	\$370,000					\$6,200,000	\$1,245,490
48	22.10	Moores Creek AWRRF Yard Piping Upgrades	\$315,000	\$485,000		\$30,000			\$390,000	\$380,000	\$800,000	
49	20.39 20.67 22.11 22.12 24.07	Moores Creek AWRRF Structural and Concrete Rehabilitation	\$11,300,000		\$7,095,000		\$4,205,000				\$11,300,000	\$17,572
50	24.08 21.19	Moores Creek AWRRF MCPS Slide Gates, Valves, Bypass and Septage Receiving Upgrades	\$3,600,000		\$330,000	\$2,055,000	\$1,215,000				\$3,600,000	
51	25.07	Moores Creek AWRRF Blower Building Ventilation Improvements		\$600,000		\$80,000	\$520,000				\$600,000	
		TOTAL	\$40,275,000	\$13,500,000	\$19,860,000	\$13,525,000	\$19,105,000	\$515,000	\$390,000	\$380,000	\$53,775,000	\$1,751,403

Scottsville Wastewater System

The Scottsville Wastewater System includes the influent pumping station, the Water Resource Recovery Facility (WRRF) constructed in 1983, and the historical treatment lagoon (now incorporated into the plant operation). The water resource recovery facility has a rated capacity of 0.2 mgd.

Project Descriptions:

- 52. <u>Scottsville WRRF Whole Plant Generator and ATS</u>: The current back-up power generator at the Scottsville Water Resource Recovery Facility does not power the entire plant. It serves only the facilities needed to send flow to the lagoon for storage and UV disinfection. This project will provide back-up power for the entire plant and influent pump station and will offer greater treatment flexibility and monitoring capability for the operations staff, particularly when the plant is unmanned and monitored remotely.
- 53. <u>Scottsville Lagoon Outfall Rehabilitation</u>: The Scottsville Wastewater Lagoon outfall is original to the wastewater plant from its construction. The overflow tower was recently inspected in 2021 by Bander Smith and repairs were recommended. The concrete tower has four intakes, and the fourth intake is currently buried under debris. The tower is in fair condition and could use some general concrete repairs. All valves are recommended for replacement and removal of 2-3 feet of material is recommended from around the outflow tower.
- 54. <u>Scottsville WRRF Polymer Feed Addition</u>: The Scottsville WRRF Polymer Feed system has reached the end of its useful life and needs replacement. This project will focus on constructing a new polymer feed system and an enclosure to house the chemicals for the plant.

			Five	-Year Capital Pro	gram		Projected	l Future Expense	s by Year			
Line No.	Proj. No.	Project Description	Current CIP Adopted 5/2023	Proposed Changes	Current Capital Budget	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	Recommended CIP	Work-in-Progress (Prev. Expenses 6/30/2023)
52	21.12	Scottsville WRRF Whole Plant Generator and ATS	\$520,000		\$495,000	\$25,000					\$520,000	\$65,940
53	23.24	Scottsville WRRF Lagoon Outfall Rehabilitation	\$25,000	\$275,000					\$30,000	\$270,000	\$300,000	
54	23.25	Scottsville WRRF Polymer Feed Addition	\$26,000	\$244,000					\$30,000	\$240,000	\$270,000	
		TOTAL	\$571,000	\$519,000	\$495,000	\$25,000	\$0	\$0	\$60,000	\$510,000	\$1,090,000	\$65,940

Scottsville Water Resource Recovery Facility

Glenmore Wastewater System

The 0.381-mgd water resource recovery facility, located within the Glenmore subdivision, is owned by ACSA and operated by RWSA. The facility includes an influent pumping station located immediately adjacent to the treatment facility.

Project Descriptions:

- 55. <u>Glenmore WRRF Polymer Feed Addition</u>: The Glenmore WRRF currently has two existing automated chemical feed systems, one of which includes polymer. The polymer system is primarily used to feed polymer to the WAS as a settling aid for the sludge holding tank. The current systems are near the end of their useful life and are in need of replacement. The new polymer feed system will consist of drums or totes and chemical feed pumps to dose polymer to the WAS to improve sludge settling in the digester.
- 56. <u>Glenmore WRRF Upgrade</u>: The Glenmore WRRF was originally constructed in 1993 and much of the original facility remains in service. As a result of the age several needs assessment for the facility were completed that identified a number of additional improvements required to maintain quality service for the Glenmore community. The most recent needs assessment included improvements to the influent pump station coarse screen, influent pump station dry well ventilation, aeration blower, aeration basin, RAS/WAS pump station, chemical feed system, flocculator paddle, UV disinfection channel, non-potable water system, emergency generator, and capital equipment replacement or rehabilitation.

			Five	-Year Capital Pro	gram		Projected	l Future Expense	s by Year			
Line No.	Proj. No.	Project Description	Current CIP Adopted 5/2023	Proposed Changes	Current Capital Budget	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	Recommended CIP	Work-in-Progress (Prev. Expenses 6/30/2023)
55	23.26	Glenmore WRRF Polymer Feed Addition	mer \$30,000 \$280,000						\$40,000	\$270,000	\$310,000	
56	24.14	Glenmore WRRF Upgrade	Jpgrade \$1,335,000			\$75,000	\$750,000	\$60,000		\$450,000	\$1,335,000	
		TOTAL	\$30,000	\$1,615,000	\$0	\$75,000	\$750,000	\$60,000	\$40,000	\$720,000	\$1,645,000	\$0

Glenmore Water Resource Recovery Facility

All Systems

Project Descriptions:

- 57. <u>Asset Management</u>: Asset management is the practice of managing infrastructure to minimize the total cost of owning and operating assets while providing desired levels of service. By doing so, asset management ensures planned maintenance activities occur and that capital assets are replaced, repaired, or upgraded at the right time, while guaranteeing the necessary resources are available to perform these activities. When the project began, RWSA had some components of an asset management program in place (i.e. GIS and a work order system), but through the Strategic Planning process identified the need to further develop the program. To fully realize the program, a consultant was procured to assist with a four-phase implementation process. Phase one included facilitation and development of an asset management strategic plan; phase two included development and management of a pilot study where the results of the strategic plan were applied to a specific facility; phase three included procurement and implementation of software (Cityworks) to facilitate the overall program; and phase 4 includes assistance through full implementation of the complete asset management program. With phases one through three complete, RWSA is focusing its efforts on completing phase four, full asset management implementation.
- 58. Security Enhancements: Water utilities are required by federal law to conduct vulnerability assessments (VA) and have emergency response plans. RWSA completed an update of its VA for the water system in collaboration with other regional partners and identified a number of security improvements that could be applied to both its water and wastewater systems. The purpose of this project was to install security improvements at RWSA facilities, with the initial focus on an enhanced access control program. Other improvements will include: industrial strength door and window components, security gate and fencing modifications, an improved lock and key program, facility signage, closed circuit television (CCTV) enhancements, intrusion detection systems (IDS), additional security lighting, mass emergency notification systems, and emergency call stations/panic buttons. In addition, upgrades will be made to the entrance of MCAWRRF, to better secure the facility and yet individuals as they enter. In order to implement an access control system at Authority-owned facilities, staff procured an Implementer to finalize system design/requirements, procure all necessary equipment, and install the chosen system. Access control system implementation is nearly complete across all RWSA facilities; however, work continues to finalize this process. As such, the budget is being partially capitalized.
- 59. <u>IT Infrastructure</u>: At many remote water storage tank sites, control panels and PLCs associated with operation and monitoring of the tanks are located in valve vaults. These locations are a concern based on limited access to the electrical and instrumentation components as well as the condition of the space and the associated impact to the longevity of the devices. This project includes installation of new control panels and PLCs aboveground in weatherproof enclosures under a self-supporting canopy that would protect staff from direct weather impacts during operation or maintenance activities at seven water storage tank sites throughout the RWSA water distribution system. The components located in the valve vaults would be demolished after the new components were installed to minimize monitoring downtime.

- 60. <u>ACM Remediation</u>: Based on the age of many RWSA facilities, the potential for the presence of Asbestos-Containing Materials (ACM) in various buildings has been assumed. A 2005 Workplace Assessment that evaluated the presence of ACM at the Moores Creek Advanced Water Resource Recovery Facility (MCAWRRF) was performed and then many facilities had individual assessments prior to construction projects where the ACM needed to be disposed of properly before refurbishment of the existing building or as a part of a larger demolition process. In order to confirm the presence of any ACM at all remaining RWSA facilities, an asbestos survey was completed in 2022. Based on this report, additional abatement procedures were identified for seven buildings at the MCAWRRF that had not been remediated previously and the filter building at the Scottsville Water Treatment Plant. This project includes proper removal and disposal of these ACM and refurbishment of the associated building components required as a result.
- 61. <u>Climate Change Flood Resilience Enhancements</u>: RWSA owns and operates a number of water and wastewater facilities that may be at risk of future flooding. One of the ways climate change is expected to manifest itself in Central Virginia is via more frequent and intense rainfall and flooding events. While RWSA facilities were generally designed to perform during 100-year flood level conditions, future flooding could result in higher water levels that would require facility improvements to ensure continued operation during these events. This project includes installation of flood mitigation measures at vulnerable assets/facilities throughout the RWSA system that are likely to be impacted by these potential higher flood levels. Necessary improvements have been identified at six separate facilities and multiple structures and buildings at many of the sites. Improvements include raising electrical and control equipment to higher levels, replacement of existing pumps with submersible style/rated pumps, raising HVAC intakes and exhausts to higher elevations, and dry floodproofing structures when feasible to minimize floodwater intrusion.
- 62. <u>Radio Upgrades</u>: The regional 800 MHz Public Safety Communication System, in which the Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority participates in to provide internal and emergency radio communication, was nearing the end of its service life. Because of technology changes (software and hardware) the Charlottesville-UVA-Albemarle County Emergency Communications Center (ECC) needed to upgrade or replace the system to keep it useable. This project planned for the upgrade or replacement of major technology components and equipment of the existing system include electronic components at all tower sites and the prime site at the ECC facility; new console equipment at the regional ECC; equipment such as tower site generators and UPS systems; an additional tower site (to improve service in southern Albemarle County); microwave backbone; and replacement of the system recording facilities. RWSA was apportioned a part of the project cost proportionately based on the number of radios.
- 63. <u>IT Equipment Secure Cabinets</u>: As part of our overall Cyber Security initiatives, specifically physical security, we are in the process of making sure all of our facilities have a locked network cabinet/rack or a locked closet for all IT networking equipment. This also includes all of our remote Tanks, and Pump Stations. Currently we are over 50% complete. Any new or current capital improvement projects at all locations, we are securing the network cabinets

and when appropriate, separating the network equipment in an environmentally controlled closet.

64. <u>eV Charging Infrastructure</u>: This project will investigate and implement eV charging infrastructure for staff and visitor vehicles as well as RWSA feet vehicles and rolling stock. Initially charging facilities will be predominantly at Moores Creek AWRRF, where staff and visitor density is the highest. This will allow for greater utilization of the charging facilities. As the eV fleet expands, additional charging locations will be added. This project will be performed in concert with building renovations and fleet conversion to hybrid and electric vehicles.

All Systems

			Five	-Year Capital Pro	gram		Projected	l Future Expense	s by Year			
Line No.	Proj. No.	Project Description	Current CIP Adopted 5/2023	Proposed Changes	Current Capital Budget	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	Recommended CIP	Work-in-Progress (Prev. Expenses 6/30/2023)
57	20.45	Asset Management	\$752,448		\$717,448	\$35,000					\$752,448	\$3,884
58	20.46	Security Enhancements	\$2,980,000		\$2,695,000	\$285,000					\$2,980,000	\$1,225,484
59	20.47	IT Infrastructure	\$373,250	\$226,750	\$373,250	\$226,750					\$600,000	
60	23.27	ACM Remediation	\$94,000	\$617,000					\$96,000	\$615,000	\$711,000	
61	24.06	Climate Change Flood Resiliance	\$130,000	\$670,000	\$130,000					\$670,000	\$800,000	
62	25.10	Radio Upgrades		\$210,988		\$210,988					\$210,988	
63	25.08	IT Equipment Secure Cabinets		\$200,000			\$120,000	\$80,000			\$200,000	
64	25.09	eV Charging Infrastructure		\$135,000		\$30,000	\$35,000		\$35,000	\$35,000	\$135,000	
		TOTAL	\$4,329,698	\$2,059,738	\$3,915,698	\$787,738	\$155,000	\$80,000	\$131,000	\$1,320,000	\$6,389,436	\$1,229,368

APPENDICES

CIP Financial Summary Water System Summary Wastewater System Summary All Systems Summary

CIP Financial Summary

			Five	-Year Capital Prop	gram		Projecte	d Future Expense	s by Year		1	
Line No.	Proj. No.	Project Description	Current CIP Adopted 5/2023	Proposed Changes	Current Capital Budget	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	Recommended CIP	Work-in-Progress (Prev. Expenses 6/30/2023)
1	20.03	Ragged Mountain Reservoir to Observatory Water Treatment Plant Raw Water Line	\$33,000,000	\$500,000	\$3,900,000	\$6,290,000	\$9,110,000	\$9,100,000	\$5,100,000	\$0	\$33,500,000	\$436,775
2	20.04	Ragged Mountain Reservoir to Observatory Water Treatment Plant Raw Water Pump Station	\$11,300,000	\$1,050,000	\$2,175,000	\$2,065,000	\$3,170,000	\$3,170,000	\$1,770,000	\$0	\$12,350,000	\$346,983
3	20.48	South Rivanna Reservoir to Ragged Mountain Pipeline, Intake & Facilities	\$39,665,000	\$35,660,000	\$4,780,000	\$2,600,000	\$15,935,000	\$15,980,000	\$16,490,000	\$19,540,000	\$75,325,000	\$295,733
4	23.02	South Rivanna Reservoir Aeration and Ragged Mountain Reservoir HLOS Sytems	\$1,400,000	\$5,200,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,500,000	\$5,100,000	\$6,600,000	\$0
5	20.06	Observatory Water Treatment Plant - Improvements	\$2,000,000		\$2,000,000						\$2,000,000	
6	25.05	Observatory Water Treatment Plants - Hypo Tank Replacements		\$300,000		\$35,000	\$105,000	\$160,000			\$300,000	
7	23.05	Observatory Water Treatment Plant - Backwash Basin Sludge Removal and Inspection	\$50,000	\$515,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$80,000	\$485,000	\$565,000	\$0
8	20.16	South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant - Improvements	\$1,900,000		\$1,900,000						\$1,900,000	
9	23.11	South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant - Sanitary Sewer Connection		\$750,000				\$165,000	\$285,000	\$300,000	\$750,000	
10	23.04 23.09	Urban Water Treatment Plants - GAC Building Dehumidification	\$200,000	\$1,975,000					\$235,000	\$1,940,000	\$2,175,000	

			Five	-Year Capital Prog	gram		1					
Line No.	Proj. No.	Project Description	Current CIP Adopted 5/2023	Proposed Changes	Current Capital Budget	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	Recommended CIP	Work-in-Progress (Prev. Expenses 6/30/2023)
11	22.08	South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant - Main Plant Generator Replacement		\$50,000						\$50,000	\$50,000	
12	20.18	North Rivanna Water Treatment Plant - Decommissioning	\$2,675,000	\$265,000	\$485,000	\$195,000	\$2,260,000				\$2,940,000	\$76,110
13	25.01	Dam Concrete and Steel Repairs		\$1,190,000		\$415,000	\$775,000				\$1,190,000	
14	24.01	South Rivanna Water Treatment Plant - PAC Upgrades	\$1,100,000		\$60,000	\$250,000	\$790,000				\$1,100,000	
15	25.04	Buck Mountain Property Dam Remediation	\$0	\$50,000	\$0	\$50,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$50,000	\$0
16	20.10	Central Water Line	\$41,000,000	\$6,000,000	\$4,300,000	\$7,250,000	\$10,350,000	\$10,350,000	\$10,350,000	\$4,400,000	\$47,000,000	\$1,040,961
17	20.12	South Fork Rivanna River Crossing	\$6,900,000	\$400,000	\$5,500,000	\$400,000	\$1,400,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$7,300,000	\$307,143
18	20.13	Airport Rd. Pump Station and North Rivanna Transmission Main	\$10,000,000	\$0	\$10,000,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$10,000,000	\$5,792,592
19	20.50	Avon, Pantops and Observatory Tank Painting	\$2,200,000	\$1,745,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$245,000	\$2,100,000	\$1,600,000	\$3,945,000	\$0
20	20.58	Second North Rivanna River Crossing and Select Pipe Replacement	\$30,000	\$620,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$620,000	\$650,000	\$0

			Five	-Year Capital Prog	gram		Projecte	ed Future Expenses				
Line No.	Proj. No.	Project Description	Current CIP Adopted 5/2023	Proposed Changes	Current Capital Budget	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	Recommended CIP	Work-in-Progress (Prev. Expenses 6/30/2023)
21	23.06	Emmet Street Betterment	\$10,650,000	(\$1,415,000)	\$2,495,000	\$2,020,000	\$2,225,000	\$1,250,000	\$1,245,000	\$0	\$9,235,000	\$1,111,388
22	24.09	Berkmar Drive Ext. Waterline - Phase 2	\$1,400,000	\$40,000	\$220,000	\$0	\$100,000	\$650,000	\$470,000	\$0	\$1,440,000	\$0
23	24.12	Urban Storage Evaluation and Tank(s) Addition	\$870,000	\$2,110,000	\$0	\$0	\$55,000	\$305,000	\$520,000	\$2,100,000	\$2,980,000	\$0
24	24.13	SCADA Panel Relocations	\$0	\$46,000	\$0	\$0		\$0	\$0	\$46,000	\$46,000	\$0
25	20.19	Beaver Creek Dam Alteration	\$22,700,000	\$1,000,000	\$3,975,000	\$0	\$5,825,000	\$6,900,000	\$7,000,000	\$0	\$23,700,000	\$987,079
26	20.20 21.15	Beaver Creek New Raw Water Pump Station & Intake	\$20,200,000	\$3,200,000	\$4,150,000	\$0	\$5,150,000	\$6,000,000	\$8,100,000	\$0	\$23,400,000	\$591,810
27	21.01	Buck's Elbow Tank and Waterball Painting	\$1,180,000	\$520,000	\$0	\$85,000	\$0	\$75,000	\$1,100,000	\$440,000	\$1,700,000	\$0
28	23.10	Crozet Water Treatment Plant - GAC Building Dehumidification	\$50,000	\$615,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$60,000	\$605,000	\$665,000	\$0
29	23.13	Crozet AC Pipe Replacement	\$450,000	\$725,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$450,000	\$725,000	\$1,175,000	\$0
30	23.14	Crozet Water Treatment Plant - Full GAC Treatment	\$6,550,000	\$0	\$1,450,000	\$0	\$3,890,000	\$1,210,000	\$0	\$0	\$6,550,000	\$0

			Five	-Year Capital Pro	gram		Projecte	d Future Expense		1		
Line No.	Proj. No.	Project Description	Current CIP Adopted 5/2023	Proposed Changes	Current Capital Budget	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	Recommended CIP	Work-in-Progress (Prev. Expenses 6/30/2023)
31	23.30	Crozet Finished Water Greyrock Pump Station	\$180,000	\$1,405,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$185,000	\$1,400,000	\$1,585,000	\$0
32	20.55	Crozet Ground Tank Painting	\$0	\$25,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$25,000	\$25,000	\$0
33	22.06	Scottsville Water Treatment Plant - Upgrade	\$550,000	\$800,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$550,000	\$800,000	\$1,350,000	\$0
34	22.07 23.17	Red Hill Water Treatment Plant - Upgrades & GAC Addition	\$740,000	\$70,000	\$511,000	\$299,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$810,000	\$42,486
35	23.16	Scottsville AC Pipe Replacement	\$80,000	\$195,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$85,000	\$190,000	\$275,000	\$0
36	23.18	Scottsville Water Treatment Plant - GAC Building Dehumidification	\$50,000	\$615,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$60,000	\$605,000	\$665,000	\$0
37	20.25	Upper Schenks Branch Interceptor	\$5,300,000	\$600,000	\$4,725,000	\$0	\$400,000	\$775,000	\$0	\$0	\$5,900,000	\$50,787
38	20.29	Maury Hill Branch Sewer Replacement	\$350,000	\$1,650,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$350,000	\$1,650,000	\$2,000,000	\$0
39	20.30	Crozet Pump Station 1, 2, 3 Rehabilitation	\$10,350,000	\$550,000	\$1,105,000	\$2,100,000	\$5,795,000	\$1,900,000	\$0	\$0	\$10,900,000	\$42,267
40	20.31	Albemarle Berkley Pump Station Upgrade	\$115,000	\$725,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$130,000	\$710,000	\$840,000	\$0

			Five	-Year Capital Prog								
Line No.	Proj. No.	Project Description	Current CIP Adopted 5/2023	Proposed Changes	Current Capital Budget	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	Recommended CIP	Work-in-Progress (Prev. Expenses 6/30/2023)
41	21.07	Interceptor Sewer and Manhole Repair (Phase 2)	\$1,230,000	\$195,000	\$1,010,000	\$80,000	\$335,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,425,000	\$193,423
42	24.02	Interceptor Sewer and Manhole Repair (Phase 3)	\$600,000	\$1,145,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$615,000	\$1,130,000	\$1,745,000	\$0
43	20.34	Moores Creek AWRRF Engineering and Administration Building	\$10,500,000	\$9,500,000	\$1,200,000	\$8,300,000	\$10,500,000	\$0			\$20,000,000	\$246,592
44	20.67	Moores Creek AWRRF Biogas Upgrades	\$3,595,000	\$2,055,000	\$3,365,000	\$0	\$1,770,000	\$515,000	\$0	\$0	\$5,650,000	\$61,484
45	20.68	Moores Creek AWRRF Building Upfits and Gravity Thickener Improvements	\$4,555,000	\$595,000	\$1,265,000	\$2,990,000	\$895,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,150,000	\$17,011
46	21.11	Moores Creek AWWRF Meter and Valve Replacements	\$775,000	(\$300,000)	\$775,000	(\$300,000)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$475,000	\$163,254
47	21.18	Moores Creek AWWRF 5kV Electrical System Upgrade	\$5,635,000	\$565,000	\$5,830,000	\$370,000	\$0				\$6,200,000	\$1,245,490
48	22.10	Moores Creek AWRRF Yard Piping Upgrades	\$315,000	\$485,000	\$0	\$30,000	\$0	\$0	\$390,000	\$380,000	\$800,000	\$0
49	22.12	Moores Creek AWRRF Structural and Concrete Rehabilitation	\$11,300,000	\$0	\$7,095,000	\$0	\$4,205,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$11,300,000	\$17,572
50	24.08	Moores Creek AWRRF MCPS Slide Gates, Valves, Bypass and Septage Receiving Upgrades	\$3,600,000	\$0	\$330,000	\$2,055,000	\$1,215,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$3,600,000	\$0

			Five	-Year Capital Prog	gram		Projecte	s by Year				
Line No.	Proj. No.	Project Description	Current CIP Adopted 5/2023	Proposed Changes	Current Capital Budget	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	Recommended CIP	Work-in-Progress (Prev. Expenses 6/30/2023)
51	25.07	Moores Creek AWRRF Blower Building Ventilation Improvements	\$0	\$600,000	\$0	\$80,000	\$520,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$600,000	\$0
52	21.12	Scottsville WRRF Whole Plant Generator and ATS	\$520,000	\$0	\$495,000	\$25,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$520,000	\$65,940
53	23.24	Scottsville WRRF Lagoon Outfall Rehabilitation	\$25,000	\$275,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$270,000	\$300,000	\$0
54	23.25	Scottsville WRRF Polymer Feed Addition	\$26,000	\$244,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$30,000	\$240,000	\$270,000	\$0
55	20.42	Glenmore WRRF Polymer Feed Addition	\$30,000	\$280,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$40,000	\$270,000	\$310,000	\$0
56	24.14	Glenmore WRRF Upgrade	\$0	\$1,335,000	\$0	\$75,000	\$750,000	\$60,000	\$0	\$450,000	\$1,335,000	\$0
57	20.45	Asset Management	\$752,448	\$0	\$717,448	\$35,000	\$0				\$752,448	\$3,884
58	20.46	Security Enhancements	\$2,980,000	\$0	\$2,695,000	\$285,000	\$0				\$2,980,000	\$1,225,484
59	20.47	IT Infrastructure	\$373,250	\$226,750	\$373,250	\$226,750					\$600,000	
60	23.27	ACM Remediation	\$94,000	\$617,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$96,000	\$615,000	\$711,000	\$0

			Five	-Year Capital Prog	gram		Projecte	d Future Expenses	s by Year]	
Line No.	Proj. No.	Project Description	Current CIP Adopted 5/2023	Proposed Changes	Current Capital Budget	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	Recommended CIP	Work-in-Progress (Prev. Expenses 6/30/2023)
61	24.06	Climate Change Flood Resiliance	\$130,000	\$670,000	\$130,000	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$670,000	\$800,000	\$0
62	25.10	Radio Upgrades	\$0	\$210,988	\$0	\$210,988	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$210,988	\$0
63	25.08	IT Equipment Secure Cabinets	\$0	\$200,000 \$0		\$0	\$120,000	\$80,000	\$0	\$0	\$200,000	\$0
64	25.09	eV Charging Infrastructure	\$0	\$135,000	\$0	\$30,000	\$35,000	\$0	\$35,000	\$35,000	\$135,000	\$0
		Total	\$282,220,698	\$88,779,738	\$79,011,698	\$38,546,738	\$87,680,000	\$58,890,000	\$59,481,000	\$47,391,000	\$371,000,436	\$14,362,248

Water System Summary

		Sum	mary						Project	ted F	uture Expenses	by Ye	ar						
Urban Water System	Cu	irrent CIP	Chan	ges	Current Capital Budget		FY25		FY26		FY27		FY28		FY29	Red	commended CIP	Work	-in -Progress
PROJECT COSTS																			
Community Water Supply Plan	\$	85,365,000	\$ 42,	410,000	\$ 10,855,000	\$	10,955,000	\$	28,215,000	\$	28,250,000	\$	24,860,000	\$	24,640,000	\$	127,775,000	\$	1,079,491
Urban Water Treatement and Reservoir		4,025,000	5,	095,000	4,445,000		945,000		3,930,000		325,000		600,000		2,775,000		13,020,000		76,110
Finished Water Storage/Distribution - Urban System		73,050,000	9,	546,000	22,515,000		9,670,000		14,130,000		12,800,000		14,715,000		8,766,000		82,596,000		8,252,084
Total Projects Urban Water Systems	\$	162,440,000	\$57,	051,000	\$ 37,815,000	\$	21,570,000	\$	46,275,000	\$	41,375,000	\$	40,175,000	\$	36,181,000	\$	223,391,000	\$	9,407,685
								-											
Work-in-Progress					\$ 9,407,700	ć		ć		ć		ć	-	ć		ć	9 407 700		
Debt Proceeds 2018 & 2021Band					3 9,407,700	Ş		Ş		Ş		ş	-	Ş		Ş	9,407,700		
Conital Funda Ausilabla					4,210,100						-		-				4,210,100		
								-					-				-		
SUBIOTAL					13,623,800		-		-		-		-		-		13,623,800		
FUNDING SOURCES URBAN SYSTEM - NEEDS																			
Euture Cash reserve transfer to Canital Fund						¢	1 000 000	¢	1 000 000	¢	1 000 000	¢	500.000	¢	500.000	Ś	4 000 000		
Grants						Ś	500.000	Ś	1.000.000	Ś	1,500,000	Ś	1.000.000	Ŷ	500,000	Ŷ	4,000,000		
New Debt Needed					20.291.200	Ŧ	20.070.000	Ŧ	44,275,000	Ŧ	38.875.000	Ŧ	38.675.000		35.681.000		197.867.200		
SUBTOTAL					20 291 200		21 570 000	-	46 275 000		41 375 000		40 175 000		36 181 000		205 867 200		
SOBIOTAL					20,231,200		21,570,000		40,275,000		41,373,000		40,175,000		30,101,000		203,007,200		
TOTAL URBAN WATER FUNDING					\$ 33.915.000	Ś	21.570.000	Ś	46.275.000	Ś	41.375.000	Ś	40.175.000	Ś	36.181.000	Ś	219.491.000		
					,					1			., .,				\$219,491,000		
Estimated Bond Issues								Ś	84.636.200			\$1	13.231.000			\$19	7.867.200		
								· ·	. ,,				-, - ,				,,		
		Sumi	mary						Project	ted F	uture Expenses	by Ye	ar						
Non-Urban Water System	Cu	irrent CIP	Propo Chan	sed ges	Current Capital Budget		FY25		FY26		FY27		FY28		FY29	Red	commended CIP	Work	-in -Progress
PROJECT COSTS																			
Crozet Water System	\$	51,310,000	\$7,	490,000	\$ 9,575,000	\$	85,000	\$	14,865,000	\$	14,185,000	\$	16,895,000	\$	3,195,000	\$	58,800,000	\$	1,578,890
Scottsville Water System		1,420,000	1,	680,000	511,000		299,000		-		-		695,000		1,595,000		3,100,000		42,486
Total Rural Water Systems	\$	52,730,000	\$9,	170,000	\$ 10,086,000	\$	384,000	\$	14,865,000	\$	14,185,000	\$	17,590,000	\$	4,790,000	\$	61,900,000	\$	1,621,376
						<u> </u>													
Mark in Dragrass					ć 1.001.400	ć		ć		ć		ć		ć		ć	1 (21 400		
Work III Progress					\$ 1,621,400	Ş	-	Ş	-	Ş	-	Ş	-	Ş	-	Ş	1,621,400		
Ganital Funda Availabla							46,890	_	6,451,410		7,086,770		7,332,430				20,917,500		
Capital Fullos Available					-			-									-		
Future Cash reserve transfer to Capital Fund					-		-	-	-		-		-	-			-		
New Debt Needed					- 8 464 600		450,000	-	250,000		6 008 330		-	-	-		29 561 100		
					8,464,600		(112,890)		8,103,590		6,998,230		10,257,570		4,790,000		38,501,100		
TOTAL NON-LIBBAN WATER FUNDING					\$ 10.086.000	ć	384 000	ć	14 865 000	ć	14 185 000	ć	17 590 000	ć	1 700 000	ć	61 000 000		
TO TAL NON-DRIBAN WATER TO NDING					÷ 10,080,000	,	304,000	Ş	14,005,000	Ş	14,105,000	Ş	17,550,000	ş	4,750,000	Ş	01,500,000		
Estimated Bond Issues						Ċ1	6 515 200					-	22 045 900			¢2	9 561 100		
Estimated Bond Issues	ļ					ιşı	10,515,300					4	22,045,800			ŞŞ	0,301,100		

Wastewater System Summary

		Summ	nary							Projecte	d Fu	iture Expenses b	oy Yea						
Urban Wastewater System	C	urrent CIP		Changes	C	urrent Capital Budget		FY25		FY26		FY27		FY28		FY29	Recommended CIP		Work-in - Progress
PROJECT COSTS						<u> </u>													
Wastewater Interceptor/Pumping Stations	\$	17,945,000	\$	4,865,000	\$	6,840,000	\$	2,180,000	\$	6,530,000	\$	2,675,000	\$	1,095,000	\$	3,490,000	\$ 22,810,000	\$	286,477
Moores Creek WWTP		40,275,000		13,500,000		19,860,000		13,525,000		19,105,000		515,000		390,000		380,000	53,775,000		1,751,403
Total Urban Wastewater Systems	\$	58,220,000	\$	18,365,000		\$26,700,000		\$15,705,000		\$25,635,000		\$3,190,000		\$1,485,000		\$3,870,000	\$76,585,000		\$2,037,880
FUNDING SOURCES URBAN SYSTEM - IN PLACEA																			
Work-in-Progress					\$	2,037,800	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$ 2,037,800		
Debt Proceeds - 2018 & 2021Bond						1,237,800		-		-		-		-			1,237,800		
Capital Funds Available						1,300,000		-	_	-		-		-		-	1,300,000		
SUBTOTAL						4,575,600		-		-		-		-		-	4,575,600		
FUNDING SOURCES URBAN SYSTEM - NEEDS																			
Future Cash Reserves					\$	-	\$	1,500,000	\$	1,500,000	\$	1,500,000	\$	-	\$	1,500,000	\$ 6,000,000		
New Debt Needed						22,124,400		14,205,000		24,135,000		1,690,000		1,485,000		2,370,000	66,009,400		
SUBTOTAL						22,124,400		\$15,705,000		25,635,000		3,190,000		1,485,000		3,870,000	72,009,400		
TOTAL URBAN WASTEWATER FUNDING					\$	26,700,000	\$	15,705,000	\$	25,635,000	\$	3,190,000	\$	1,485,000	\$	3,870,000	\$ 76,585,000		
Estimated Bond Issues									\$	60,464,400			\$5 ,	545,000			\$ 66,009,400		
															_				
															-				
		Summ	hanv							Projecte	d Fu	iture Evnenses h	ny Year						
Non-Urban Wastewater System	C	urrent CIP		Proposed	Cu	urrent Capital		FY25		FY26	uru	FY27	Jy rea	FY28		FY29	Recommended CIP		Work-in -
DROJECT COSTS				Changes		Бийдет													Progress
Clanmora W/W/TP	ć	20,000	ć	1 615 000	ć		ć	75.000	ć	750,000	ć	60,000	ć	40.000	ć	720,000	¢ 1.645.000	ć	
	Ş	50,000	Ş	510,000	Ş	-	Ş	75,000	Ş	750,000	Ş	60,000	Ş	40,000	Ş	720,000	<u>\$ 1,045,000</u>	Ş	-
Total Bural Westewater Sustance		\$71,000		\$19,000	ć	495,000	ć	25,000	ć	750.000	ć	-	~	100,000	ć	510,000	1,090,000	ć	65,940
		\$601,000		\$2,134,000	Ş	495,000	Ş	100,000	Ş	750,000	Ş	60,000	Ş	100,000	Ş	1,230,000	\$ 2,735,000	Ş	65,940
FUNDING SOURCES RURAL SYSTEM - NEEDS																			
Work in Progress					Ş	65,940	Ş	-	Ş	-	Ş	-	Ş	-	Ş	-	\$ 65,940		
Dept Proceeds - 2018 & 2021Bond					Ş	-	Ş	-	⊢								-		
Future Cash Reserve						-		-	⊢	-		-					-		
New Debt Needed						429,060		100,000		750,000		60,000		100,000		1,230,000	2,669,060		
TOTAL RURAL WASTEWATER FUNDING					Ş	495,000	Ş	100,000	\$	750,000	Ş	60,000	\$	100,000	Ş	1,230,000	\$ 2,735,000		
Estimated Bond Issues									\$	1,339,060									

All Systems Summary

	Sum	mary			Projected					
Shared Projects - All Rate Centers	Current CIP	Changes	Current Capital Budget	FY25	FY26	FY27	FY28	FY29	Recommended CIP	Work-in - Progress
PROJECT COSTS										
Authority Wide Projects	\$ 4,329,698	\$ 2,059,738	\$ 3,915,698	\$ 787,738	\$ 155,000	\$ 80,000	\$ 131,000	\$ 1,320,000	\$ 6,389,436	\$ 1,229,368
Total Projects Urban Water Systems	\$ 4,329,698	\$ 2,059,738	\$ 3,915,698	\$ 787,738	\$ 155,000	\$ 80,000	\$ 131,000	\$ 1,320,000	\$ 6,389,436	\$ 1,229,368
FUNDING SOURCES										
Work in Progress			\$ 1,229,400						\$ 1,229,400	
Possible Future Reserves			\$ 1,000,000	\$ 500,000	\$0	1		\$500,000	\$ 2,000,000	
New Debt Needed			\$ 1,686,298	\$ 287,738	\$ 155,000	\$ 80,000	\$ 131,000	\$ 820,000	\$ 3,160,036	
									-	
TOTAL URBAN WATER FUNDING			\$ 3,915,698	\$ 787,738	\$ 155,000	\$ 80,000	\$ 131,000	\$ 1,320,000	\$ 6,389,436	
Estimated Bond Issues					\$3,160,036					

Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority CIP 2025-2029

	2025 - 2029 Draft <u>CIP</u>	2024 - 2028 Adopted <u>CIP</u>	<u>Change \$</u>
Project Cost			
Urban Water Projects Urban Wastewater Projects Non-Urban Projects & Shared Total Project Cost Estimates	\$ 223,391,00 76,585,00 71,024,40 \$ 371,000,40	0 \$ 209,590,000 0 58,220,000 0 58,315,000 \$ 326,125,000	<pre>\$ 13,801,000 18,365,000 12,709,400 \$ 44,875,400</pre>
Funding in place			
Work-in-Progress (paid for) Debt Proceeds Available Cash-Capital Available	\$ 14,362,04 9,353,80 1,300,00	0 \$ 35,570,900 0 25,472,300 0 2,000,000	(21,208,860) (16,118,500) (700,000)
Financing Needs	\$ 25,015,84	0 \$ 63,043,200	Ş (38,027,360)
Possible Future Reserves Grants New Debt	\$ 12,800,00 24,917,50 <u>308,267,06</u> \$ 345,984,56	0 \$ 10,435,000 0 20,560,000 0 232,086,800 0 \$ 263,081,800	2,365,000 4,357,500 76,180,260 \$ 82,902,760
Total Funding	\$ 371,000,40	<u>\$ 326,125,000</u>	\$ 44,875,400
Percentage of funding in place Ratio of debt to expense Ratio of grant to expense Ratio of cash to expense	6.7% 89.5% 6.7% 3.8%	19.3% 89.9% 6.3% 3.8%	

Detail by Major Systems		Total	ι	Jrban Water	١	Urban Vastewater	Shared	Water Non-Urban	N N	/astewater Ion-Urban
		CIP		Projects		Projects	Projects	Projects		Projects
Project Cost										
Urban Water Projects	\$	223,391,000	\$	223,391,000	\$	-		\$ -	\$	-
Urban Wastewater Projects		76,585,000		-		76,585,000		-		-
Non-Urban Projects & Shared		71,024,400		-		-	 6,389,400	 61,900,000		2,735,000
Total Project Cost Estimates	\$	371,000,400	\$	223,391,000	\$	76,585,000	\$ 6,389,400	\$ 61,900,000	\$	2,735,000
Funding in place										
Work-in-Progress (paid for)	\$	14,362,040	\$	9,407,500	\$	2,037,800	\$ 1,229,400	\$ 1,621,400	\$	65,940
Debt Proceeds available		9,353,800		8,116,000		1,237,800	-	-		-
Cash-Capital Available		1,300,000		-		1,300,000	 -	 -		-
Subtotal	\$	25,015,840	\$	17,523,500	\$	4,575,600	\$ 1,229,400	\$ 1,621,400	\$	65,940
Financing Needs										
Possible Future Reserves	\$	12,800,000		4,000,000		6,000,000	2,000,000	800,000		-
Grants		24,917,500		4,000,000		-	-	20,917,500		
New Debt		308,267,060		197,867,500		66,009,400	 3,160,000	 38,561,100		2,669,060
Subtotal	\$	345,984,560	\$	205,867,500	\$	72,009,400	\$ 5,160,000	\$ 60,278,600	\$	2,669,060
Total Funding	<u>\$</u>	371,000,400	\$	223,391,000	\$	76,585,000	\$ 6,389,400	\$ 61,900,000	\$	2,735,000
Percentage of funding in place		6 7%		7.8%		6.0%	10.2%	2.6%		2 1%
Ratio of debt to expense		89.5%		92.2%		0.0 <i>%</i> 87.8%	19.2 <i>%</i>	2.0 <i>%</i> 62.3%		2.4 <i>%</i> 97.6%
Ratio of cash to expense		3.8%		1.8%		9.5%	31.3%	1.3%		0.0%

			<u>Urban</u>								
	Urban Water	N	<u>lastewater</u>	Ν	<u>Ion-Urban</u>	<u>Shared</u>		<u>Total</u>	Cu	rrent Adopted	
Adopted CIP 2024 - 2028	\$ 209,590,000	\$	58,220,000	\$	57,714,000	\$ 601,000	\$	326,125,000	[
Completed or closed projects	(47,150,000)				_	 (654,302)		(47,804,302)			
Adopted - Adusted	162,440,000		58,220,000		57,714,000	(53,302)		278,320,698			
<u>Changes:</u> Rollover for FY 2029 (roughly)	36,631,000		3,870,000		6,020,000	128,500		46,649,500			
Adjustments on existing projects or new projects	20,420,000		14,495,000	_	901,000	 6,314,238		42,130,238			
Total Changes	57,051,000		18,365,000		6,921,000	6,442,738		88,779,738			
Total Proposed CIP 2025 - 2029	\$ 219,491,000	\$	76,585,000	\$	64,635,000	\$ 6,389,436	\$	367,100,436		326,125,000	
Vears 6 - 10 (EV 2030-34)							¢	104 093 000		218 6/1 755	
Teals 0 - 10 (1 1 2030-34)							φ	104,093,000		210,041,755	
Years 11 - 15 (FY 2035-39)							\$	107,318,000		165,880,000	
			TOTA	L 1	5 YEAR CIP		\$	578,511,436	\$	710,646,755	
										(47,804,302)	Complete
									\$	662,842,453 N	Net CIP

Draft 2												
	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030	FY 2031	FY 2032	FY 2033	FY 2034
City of Charlottesville Charges												
Urban Water												
Operating Rate Per 1000 gal.	\$ 2.653	\$ 2.949	\$ 3.363	\$ 3.699	\$ 4.069	\$ 4.476	\$ 4.924	\$ 5.219	\$ 5.532	\$ 5.864	\$ 6.216	\$ 6.589
% Change	13.1%	11.2%	14.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	6 10.0%	6.0	% 6.0%	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%
	0.40.407			440 504	500.000	570.044						
Debt Service Charge Per month	249,497	307,200	376,226	448,561	508,606	576,011	643,652					
	1.3%	23.1%	22.5%	19.2%	13.4%	13.3%	o 11.7%					
Revenue Requirements:			16 38%									
Operating Rate Revenue	\$ 4 4 17 300	\$ 4,810,300	\$ 5 598 400	\$ 6 158 240	\$ 6 774 064	\$ 7 451 470	\$ 8 196 617	\$ 8 688 414	1 \$ 9 209 719	\$ 9762303	\$ 10.348.041	\$ 10,968,923
Debt Service Revenues	2 994 000	3 686 400	4 514 700	5 382 736	6 103 269	6 912 128	7 723 818	φ 0,000,11	-	φ 0,702,000 -	-	φ 10,000,020 -
Total	\$ 7.411.300	\$ 8,496,700	\$ 10.113.100	\$ 11.540.976	\$ 12.877.333	\$ 14.363.598	\$ 15.920.435	\$ 8,688,414	\$ 9.209.719	\$ 9.762.303	\$ 10.348.041	\$ 10.968.923
\$ Change	<u> </u>	\$ 1.085.400	\$ 1.616.400	\$ 1.427.876	\$ 1.336.357	\$ 1.486.265	\$ 1.556.837	\$ 491.797	7 \$ 521.305	\$ 552,583	\$ 585,738	\$ 620.882
% Change		14.6%	19.0%	14.1%	11.6%	11.5%	10.8%		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	,	
Urban Wastewater												
Operating Rate Per 1000 gal.	2.664	2.922	3.247	3.572	3.929	4.322	2 4.754	5.03	9 5.341	5.662	6.002	6.362
% Change	5.8%	9.7%	11.1%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	6 10.0%	6.0	% 6.0%	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%
Debt Service Charge Per month	384,637	\$ 394,890	415,446	436,712	455,092	473,672	494,962					
	2.3%	2.7%	5.2%	5.1%	4.2%	4.1%	a 4.5%					
Bovenue Beguirementer			12 510/									
Operating Rate Revenue	\$ 1 245 800	\$ 1 557 800	\$ 5 173 500	\$ 5,600,850	\$ 6 250 035	\$ 6,885,020	¢ 7 574 521	\$ 8,028,003	¢ 8 5 10 7 3 2	\$ 0,021,376	\$ 0.562.650	\$ 10 136 / 18
Debt Service Revenues	φ 4,245,000 4 615 644	φ 4,337,000 4,738,800	φ 0,170,000 4 985 500	5 240 540	φ 0,209,900 5.461.100	φ 0,000,929 5 684 060	φ 7,574,521 5 030 540	ψ 0,020,390	- φ 0,010,752	φ 3,021,370	φ 3,302,033	φ 10,130,410
Total	\$ 8,861,444	\$ 9,296,600	\$ 10,159,000	\$ 10,931,390	\$ 11,721,035	\$ 12,569,989	\$ 13,514,061	\$ 8,028,993	8 \$ 8.510.732	\$ 9.021.376	\$ 9.562.659	\$ 10,136,418
\$ Change	• •,•••,,	\$ 435,156	\$ 862.400	\$ 772.390	\$ 789.645	\$ 848.954	\$ 944.073	\$ 454.471	\$ 481.740	\$ 510,644	\$ 541.283	\$ 573.760
% Change		4.9%	9.3%	7.6%	7.2%	7.2%	7.5%					
Total all Rate Centers												
Operating Rate Revenue	\$ 8,663,100	\$ 9,368,100	\$ 10,771,900	\$ 11,849,090	\$ 13,033,999	\$ 14,337,399	\$ 15,771,139	\$ 16,717,407	\$ 17,720,452	\$ 18,783,679	\$ 19,910,699	\$ 21,105,341
Debt Service Revenues	7,609,644	8,425,200	9,500,200	10,623,276	11,564,369	12,596,188	13,663,358	13,663,358	3 13,663,358	13,663,358	13,663,358	13,663,358
Total City All Revenues	\$16,272,744	\$ 17,793,300	\$ 20,272,100	\$ 22,472,366	\$ 24,598,368	\$ 26,933,587	\$ 29,434,497	\$ 30,380,765	5 \$ 31,383,810	\$ 32,447,037	\$ 33,574,057	\$ 34,768,699
\$ Change		\$ 1,520,556	\$ 2,478,800	\$ 2,200,266	\$ 2,126,002	\$ 2,335,219	\$ 2,500,910	\$ 946,268	3 \$ 1,003,044	\$ 1,063,227	\$ 1,127,021	\$ 1,194,642
% Change		9.3%	13.9%	10.9%	9.5%	9.5%	9.3%	100.47	(044 500)	(0.44,000)	400.040	400.000
40 Veen CID Dabt Comise				404 700	200.420	504.000	4 040 777	168,474	<u>(311,563)</u>	(341,983)	162,642	406,622
Total Estimated Charge	¢ 46 272 744	¢ 17 702 200	\$ 20 272 100	101,700	280,429	\$ 27 549 555	1,010,777	1,179,25	00/,000	525,/U5 ¢ 22,072,742	688,347 \$ 24,262,404	1,094,969
	\$10,272,744	\$ 17,793,300	43.00/	φ 22,3/4,132 11 /0/	φ 24,070,797 10.2%	φ 21,510,550 10 £0/	\$ 30,445,274 10 6%	÷ 51,560,010	γ 32,231,430 / 2 20/	φ 32,312,142 2 30/	φ J4,202,404 2 Ω0/	¢ 33,303,008
% Change		φ U	13.9%	11.4%	10.2%	10.0%	0 10.0%	3.7	2.2%	2.2%	3.9%	4.170
	Additional An	nual Revenues	\$ 2.478.800	\$ 2,302,032	\$ 2,304,665	\$ 2,639,759	\$ 2,926,718	\$ 1,114,743	2 \$ 691,481	\$ 721,244	\$ 1,289,663	\$ 1.601.264
			13.9%	11.4%	10.2%	10.6%	10.6%	3.7%	6 2.2%	2.2%	3.9%	4.7%

Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority CIP 2025-2029

		FY 2023	<u>FY 2024</u>	FY 2025	FY 2026	<u>FY 2027</u>	FY 2028	<u>FY 2029</u>	FY 2030	<u>FY 2031</u>	FY 2032	FY 2033	<u>FY 2034</u>
ACSA Charges													
Urban Water													
Operating Rate	Per 1000 gal.	2.653	2.949	3.363	3.6	99 4.06	9 4.47	6 4.924	5.2	19 5.532	2 5.864	6.216	6.589
	% Change	0.0%	11.2%	14.0%	10.0	% 10.09	% 10.0%	6 10.0%	6.0	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%
Dabt Sarviga Charge		¢ 112.255	¢ 510.000	672 264	910.64	1 025 006	1 069 129	1 201 275					
Debt Service Charge	Per month	φ 442,335 8.1%	φ 042,202 22.6%	24.2%	20 /	% 955,900	6 1,000,130	6 1,201,275 6 12.5%					
		0.170	22.070	24.270	20.4	10.0	/0 14.17	12.570					
Revenue Requirements:				11.82%	10.00	% 10.009	% 10.00%	6 10.00%					
Operating Rate Revenue	Annual	\$ 4,597,600	\$ 5,211,100	\$ 5,826,900	\$ 6,409,59	0 \$ 7,050,549	9 \$ 7,755,604	\$ 8,531,164	\$ 9,043,03	4 \$ 9,585,616	\$ 10,160,753	\$ 10,770,398	\$ 11,416,622
Debt Service Revenues	Annual	5,308,200	6,507,400	8,079,200	9,727,72	2 11,230,860	12,817,652	14,415,304	-	-	-	-	· · · · -
Total		\$ 9,905,800	\$ 11,718,500	\$ 13,906,100	\$ 16,137,31	2 \$ 18,281,409	\$ 20,573,256	\$ 22,946,468	\$ 9,043,03	4 \$ 9,585,616	\$ 10,160,753	\$ 10,770,398	\$ 11,416,622
	\$ Change	. , ,	\$ 1,812,700	\$ 2,187,600	\$ 2,231,21	2 \$ 2,144,097	/ \$ 2,291,847	\$ 2,373,212	\$ 511,87	0 \$ 542,582	\$ 575,137	\$ 609,645	\$ 646,224
	% Change		\$ 0	18.7%	16.0	% 13.39	6 12.5%	6 11.5%					
Urban Wastewater													
Operating Rate	Per 1000 gal.	2.664	2.922	3.247	3.5	72 3.92	9 4.32	2 4.754	5.0	39 5.34°	1 5.662	6.002	6.362
	% Change	5.8%	9.7%	11.1%	10.0	% 10.09	% 10.0%	6 10.0%	6.0	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%
Debt Service Charge	Per month	\$ 355,205	\$ 383,403	412,149	458,80	2 497,712	2 536,622	575,532					
		5.1%	0.0%	7.5%	11.3	8.5	% 7.8%	6 7.3%					
Bovonuo Boguiromonto:				0.04%	10.00	0/ 10.000	/ 10.000	4 10.00%					
Operating Rate Revenue	Americal	\$ 1 787 800	\$ 5 350 500	\$ 5,834,000	\$ 6/17/0	0 \$ 7,050,140	0 \$ 7 765 054	¢ 8541550	\$ 9,054,05	3 \$ 0,507,206	¢ 10 173 134	¢ 10 783 522	¢ 11/30/533
Debt Service Revenues	Annual	4,707,000	φ 0,000,000 4 600 800	φ 3,034,000 4 945 800	φ 0,417,40 5 505 62	5 + 507254	5 6 / 30 / 65	6 006 385	φ 3,004,00	υ φ 9,097,290	φ 10,175,154	φ 10,705,522	φ 11,400,000
Total	Annuai	\$ 9,050,260	\$ 9,000,000	\$ 10 779 800	\$ 11 923 02	5 \$ 13.031.68	5 \$ 14 204 510	\$ 15 447 944	\$ 9,054,05	- 	\$ 10 173 134	\$ 10 783 522	\$ 11 430 533
Total	\$ Change	φ 3,030,200	\$ 901 040	\$ 828 500	\$ 1 143 22	5 \$ 1108 660	$5 + \frac{1}{2} + $	\$ 1 243 425	\$ 512.49	4 \$ 543 243	\$ 575.838	\$ 610,388	\$ 647 011
	% Change		10.0%	8.3%	10.6	% 1,100,000	۶ 004 (۱,۱۱ <u>2,</u> 004	6 8.8%	¢ 012,40	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	¢ 0.0,000	• • • • • • • • •	φ οτι,στι
Non-Urban Rate Centers				10.86%	10.00	% 10.00	% 10.00%	6 10.00%					
Operating Rate Revenue	Annual	\$ 2,565,900	\$ 2,797,300	3,101,200	3,411,32	0 3,752,452	4,127,697	4,540,467	4,812,89	5 5,101,669	5,407,769	5,732,235	6,076,169
Debt Service Revenues	Annual	2,342,600	2,585,800	2,862,100	3,245,90	0 3,629,700	4,013,500	4,397,300	-				
Total		\$ 4,908,500	\$ 5,383,100	\$ 5,963,300	\$ 6,657,22	0 \$ 7,382,152	2 \$ 8,141,197	'\$ 8,937,767	\$ 4,812,89	5 \$ 5,101,669	\$ 5,407,769	\$ 5,732,235	\$ 6,076,169
			\$ 474,600	\$ 580,200	\$ 693,92	0 \$ 724,932	2 \$ 759,045	\$\$ 796,570	\$ 272,42	8 \$ 288,774	\$ 306,100	\$ 324,466	\$ 343,934
			9.7%	10.8%	11.6	% 10.9°	% 10.3%	9.8%					
Total all Rate Centers													
Operating Rate Revenue		\$11,951,300	\$ 13,358,900	\$ 14,762,100	\$ 16,238,31	0 \$ 17,862,141	\$ 19,648,355	\$ 21,613,191	\$ 22,909,98	2 \$ 24,284,581	\$ 25,741,656	\$ 27,286,155	\$ 28,923,324
Debt Service Revenues		11,913,260	13,694,000	15,887,100	18,479,24	7 20,833,108	5 23,270,617	25,718,989	25,718,98	9 25,718,989	25,718,989	25,718,989	25,718,989
Total ACSA All Revenues		\$23,864,560	\$ 27,052,900	\$ 30,649,200	\$ 34,717,55	7 \$ 38,695,240	5 \$ 42,918,972	\$ 47,332,180	\$ 48,628,97	1 \$ 50,003,570	\$ 51,460,645	\$ 53,005,144	\$ 54,642,313
	\$ Change		\$ 3,188,340	\$ 3,596,300	\$ 4,068,35	7 \$ 3,977,68	9 \$ 4,223,726	5 \$ 4,413,208	\$ 1,296,79	1 \$ 1,374,599	\$ 1,457,075	\$ 1,544,499	\$ 1,637,169
	% Change		13.4%	13.3%	13.3	% 11.5°	% 10.9%	6 10.3%					
10-Year CIP Debt Service	_				347 45	8 929.234	1 649 343	2 490 682	2 857 77	3 2 660 491	2 345 940	2 562 393	3 003 685
Total Estimated Charge	_	\$23,864,560	\$ 27,052,900	\$ 30,649,200	\$ 35,065,01	5 \$ 39,624,480	\$ 44,568,315	\$ 49,822,862	\$ 51,486,74	4 \$ 52,664,061	\$ 53,806,585	\$ 55,567,537	\$ 57,645,999
% Change			\$ 0	13.3%	14.4	% 13.09	6 12.5%	6 11.8%	3.3	% 2.3%	6 2.2%	3.3%	3.7%
		Additional A	nnual Revenues	\$ 3,596,300	\$ 4,415,81	5 \$ 4,559,46	5	5 \$ 5,254,547	\$ 1,663,88	2 \$ 1,177,317	\$ 1,142,524	\$ 1,760,952	\$ 2,078,461
				13.3%	14.4	% 13.0%	6 12.5%	6 11.8%	3.3	% 2.3%	2.2%	3.3%	3.7%

Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority CIP 2025-2029

		FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025		FY 2026		FY 2027		FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030	F	Y 2031		FY 2032	FY 2033	FY 2034
RWSA																		
Operations Revenues																		
Urban Water	\$	9,014,900	\$ 10,021,400	\$ 11,425,300	\$	12,567,830	\$	13,824,613	\$	15,207,074	\$ 16,727,782	\$ 17,731,449	\$ 1	8,795,336	\$	19,923,056	\$ 21,118,439	\$ 22,385,545
Urban Wastewater		9,033,600	9,908,300	11,007,500		12,108,250		13,319,075		14,650,983	16,116,081	17,083,046	1	8,108,028		19,194,510	20,346,181	21,566,951
Other Rate Centers		2,565,900	2,797,300	3,101,200		3,411,320		3,752,452		4,127,697	4,540,467	4,812,895		5,101,669		5,407,769	5,732,235	6,076,169
Tota	⊫ <u>\$</u> 2	20,614,400	\$ 22,727,000	\$ 25,534,000	\$	28,087,400	\$	30,896,140	\$	33,985,754	\$ 37,384,329	\$ 39,627,389	\$ 4	2,005,033	\$	44,525,334	\$ 47,196,855	\$ 50,028,666
Chang	e \$		2,112,600	2,807,000		2,553,400		2,808,740		3,089,614	3,398,575	2,243,060	1	2,377,643		2,520,302	2,671,520	2,831,811
Change	e %		10.2%	12.4%		10.0%		10.0%		10.0%	10.0%	6.0%		6.0%		6.0%	6.0%	6.0%
Debt Service Charge Revenues																		
Urban Water		8,302,200	10,193,800	12,593,900		15,110,458		17,334,129		19,729,780	22,139,122							
Urban Wastewater		8,878,104	9,339,600	9,931,300		10,746,165		11,433,645		12,123,525	12,845,925							
Other Rate Centers		2,342,600	2,585,800	2,862,100		3,245,900		3,629,700		4,013,500	4,397,300							
	\$1	19,522,904	\$ 22,119,200	\$ 25,387,300	\$	29,102,523	\$	32,397,474	\$	35,866,805	\$ 39,382,347	\$ 39,382,347	\$ 3	9,382,347	\$	39,382,347	\$ 39,382,347	\$ 39,382,347
Chang	e \$		2,596,296	3,268,100		3,715,223		3,294,951		3,469,331	3,515,542							
Change	е %		13.3%	14.8%		14.6%		11.3%		10.7%	9.8%							
Total RWSA Customer Revenues	\$4	40,137,304	\$ 44,846,200	\$ 50,921,300	\$	57,189,923	\$	63,293,614	\$	69,852,559	\$ 76,766,676	\$ 79,009,736	\$8	1,387,380	\$	83,907,681	\$ 86,579,202	\$ 89,411,013
Chang	e \$		\$ 4,708,896	\$ 6,075,100	\$	6,268,623	\$	6,103,691	\$	6,558,945	\$ 6,914,117	\$ 2,243,060	\$	2,377,643	\$	2,520,302	\$ 2,671,520	\$ 2,831,811
Change	e %		11.7%	13.5%		12.3%		10.7%		10.4%	9.9%	2.9%		3.0%		3.1%	3.2%	3.3%
Additional for 10-Year CIP					•	449,224	•	1,209,663	•	2,234,312	3,501,459	4,037,024		3,528,179	•	2,871,645	3,250,740	4,098,654
Total Estimated Charge	\$4	40,137,304	\$ 44,846,200	\$ 50,921,300	\$	57,639,147	\$	64,503,277	\$	72,086,871	\$ 80,268,135	\$ 83,046,760	\$8	4,915,558	\$	86,779,327	\$ 89,829,942	\$ 93,509,666
% Change			0.0%	13.5%		13.2%		11.9%		11.8%	11.3%	3.5%		2.3%		2.2%	3.5%	4.1%
					_										_			
				\$ 50,921,300	\$	57,639,147	\$	64,503,277	\$	72,086,871	\$ 80,268,135	\$ 83,046,760	\$ 8	4,915,558	\$	86,779,327	\$ 89,829,942	\$ 93,509,666
	Ad	dditional An	nual Revenues	\$ 6,075,100	\$	6,717,847	\$	6,864,130	\$	7,583,594	\$ 8,181,264	\$ 2,778,625	\$	1,868,798	\$	1,863,769	\$ 3,050,615	\$ 3,679,725
				13.5%		13.2%		11.9%		11.8%	11.3%	3.5%		2.3%		2.2%	3.5%	4.1%

Proposed FY 25-29 Capital Improvement Program

RWSA BOARD OF DIRECTORS FEBRUARY 27, 2024 DIRECTOR OF ENGINEERING & MAINTENANCE JENNIFER WHITAKER





Goals of the FY 25 – 29 CIP

- 1. Maintain our drinking water and wastewater infrastructure to provide reliable services which comply with or exceed regulatory requirements.
- 2. In preparation for the uncertainties of climate change, enhance the capacity, reliability and resilience of our community's drinking water supply by completing the Rivanna to Ragged Pipeline and Pumping project as soon as possible (by 2030 rather than 2033); completing the Ragged Mountain to Observatory Pipeline and Pumping Project, and raising the Ragged Mtn. Reservoir normal pool and begin filling by 2026 (as rainfall patterns allow).
- 3. Install critical drinking water infrastructure to reliably meet the needs of the entire service area now and in the future by constructing the Airport Road Pumping Station, the second South and North Fork Rivanna River crossings, the Central Waterline, and decommissioning of the North Fork WTP.
- 4. Continue to enhance our abilities to address emerging contaminants at both the water and wastewater facilities. Provide additional GAC filtering capacity at Red Hill and Crozet WTPs using grant funding from Albemarle County (\$400k) and VDH (\$3.4 M).
- 5. Leverage partnerships with City Utilities, UVA, and VDOT to reduce costs and disruption of piping projects in key roadways such as the Emmet Street and High Street corridors.
- 6. Complete the CIP in an environmentally protective and financially responsible manner.

FY 25 – 29 Capital Improvement Program

64 Projects, \$371 M

Urban Water	Urban Wastewater	Non-Urban Projects & Shared	Total
\$223.4 M	\$76.6 M	\$71 M	\$371 M

Funding & Financing

Already Paid For	Ex. Debt Proceeds	Cash Reserves	Grants	New Debt	Total
\$14.4 M	\$9.4 M	\$14.1 M	\$24.9 M	\$308.3 M	\$371 M

FY 25 – 29 Capital Improvement Program

Line Item	Cost
FY 24-28 Capital Improvement Plan	\$326,125,000
Completed Projects	(\$43,904,302)
FY 29 Projects	\$47,391,000
New Projects (7)	\$2,685,988
Accelerated Projects (1)	\$13,620,000
Inflation and & Scope Additions	<u>\$25,082,750</u>
Total	\$371,000,436



Major Programs and Projects

- Water Treatment Plants: \$18 M
 - GAC Facility Dehumidification
 - South Rivanna PAC Upgrades
 - Observatory Hypo Tank Replacements
 - Observatory Back Wash Tank
 - South Rivanna Main Plant Generator Replacement
 - Crozet GAC Filters
 - Scottsville & Red Hill Upgrade & GAC Addition
 - SRWTP & OBWTP Improvements
- Capacity: \$122 M
 - Airport Rd Water Pump Station and Piping
 - Admin Building Renovation & Addition
 - SRR to RMR Raw Water Piping
 - Emmet St. Water Piping
 - Berkmar Ext. Waterline Phs. 2
 - Maury Hill Sewer Replacement
 - Glenmore WRRF Upgrade

- Operations and Maintenance / Safety: \$63 M
 - Dam Concrete and Steel Repairs
 - Security Enhancements
 - WW Piping and MH Repairs
 - MC Biogas Upgrades
 - MC Maintenance, Blower, Pumping Building Repairs
 - MC Concrete and Steel Repairs
 - Tank Painting and Rehabilitation
 - Crozet WW Pump Station Rehabilitations
 - SRR and RMR HLOS and Aeration
 - Crozet Greyrock Pump Station
 - MCPS and Septage Receiving Upgrades
 - ACM Remediation





- Regulatory: \$50 M
 - Beaver Creek Dam, Pump Station and Piping
 - Buck Mtn. Property Dam Remediation
 - North Rivanna WTP Decommissioning
- Reliability / Redundancy : \$117 M
 - RMR to OBWTP Piping and Pumping
 - Central Water Line
 - MC 5kv Electrical System Upgrade
 - South Fork Rivanna River Crossing
 - Scottsville WWTP Emergency Generator
 - Upper Schenks Branch Interceptor
 - AC Pipe Replacement
 - North Fork Rivanna River Crossing
 - Flood Resilience Enhancements
 - IT Infrastructure
 - Asset Management



15 Year CIP Planning

- FY 25-29 \$371 M*
- FY 30-34 \$104 M
- FY 35-39 <u>\$107 M</u>
 - \$582 M*

* Anticipating at least \$20 M in grants, which will reduce debt funding needs

FY 25 – 29 Charge Increases (%)

	FY 25	FY 26	FY 27	FY 28	FY 29
City Utilities	13.9	11.4	10.2	10.6	10.6
ACSA	13.3	14.4	13.0	12.5	11.8
RWSA Overall	13.5	13.2	11.9	11.8	11.3

Charges include:

- 1. Albemarle County grants totaling \$750,000
- 2. City Utilities Reimbursement on Central Water Line \$4 M
- 3. VDH BIL grant for Crozet GAC Filters (\$3.18 M, FY 23 and \$0.26, FY 24)
- 4. NRCS grant for BCR Planning & Design \$1.7 M
- 5. NRCS grant for BCR (\$17.7 M); ACSA charges will increase about 1% if grant is not received.
- 6. Annual increases in Operating expenses
- 7. 1% Flow Shift from ACSA to City Utilities

Note: Additional grants totaling \$50.65 M have been requested for CWL, SVWRRF Generator, Flood Protection Study

Project Highlights

CIP FY 25-29
Airport Road Water Pump Station and Piping

- Connects Piney Mountain and Urban pressure zones to improve reliability and capacity
- Will supply the Piney Mountain Tank and be part of future Airport pressure zone
- Completion: Fall 2024
- Budget: \$10 M
- 100% ACSA









MC 5kv Electrical System Upgrade

- Replace major electrical cables and equipment installed in the 1980's to maintain reliability
- Includes motor control centers, transformers, and switchgear building
- Completion: December 2024
- Budget: \$6.2 M
- 48% City Utilities/ 52% ACSA



Red Hill WTP Upgrades

- Operated as a water treatment facility as opposed to a well system
- Will provide space for additional chemical storage, monitoring and automation
- GAC treatment was added during design
- Completion:
 June 2024- Dec 2025
- Budget: \$2 M; 100% ACSA (\$400k in funding from Albemarle County)







Proposed Building Addition



South Rivanna River Crossing

- Second pipe to be installed
 40 feet beneath the river to improve capacity, reliability and resiliency in the northern area of the Urban Water System
- Construction: August 2024 – June 2026
- Budget: \$7.3 M
- 100% ACSA



Crozet WTP GAC Expansion Phase 1

- Provides for full GAC treatment up to 2 MGD
- GAC is a leading best management practice to remove DBP pre-cursers and can be used to manage removal of other emerging contaminants
- Receiving \$3.43M in grant funding from VDH (\$3.17M FY22 BIL and \$0.26M FY23 BIL)
- Finalizing GAC media evaluation and beginning preliminary design work
- Completion: 2025 2026
- Budget: \$6.6 M; 100% ACSA



MC Renovation & Addition Administration Building

- Additional office and meeting/ educational outreach spaces.
- Eliminate 15-year-old "temporary" Engineering trailers
- Modernize IT & laboratory spaces
- Completion: 2025 2027
- Budget: \$20 M











RMR to OBWTP – Pump Station and Water Line

- Improves raw water conveyance capacity to OBWTP
- Replaces outdated infrastructure: 40- and 70-year-old pump stations and 70 and 110-year-old water lines
- All easements and property acquisitions are complete other than final UVA easement
- VE workshop on 60% design
- Completion: Fall 2024 2028
- Budget \$46 M
 - Piping 48% City Utilities / 52% ACSA
 - PS 28% City Utilities/ 72% ACSA









Central Water Line

- Will more efficiently convey drinking water, improve redundancy, and generally strengthen the Urban Area
 Drinking Water System for the benefit of both the City and the ACSA
- 60% Design Complete
- Completion: Dec 2024- Dec 2028
- Budget: \$47 M
 Betterment for City Utilities = \$4 M
 Remaining = \$43 M
 48% City Utilities / 52% ACSA



Emmet Street Water Line Betterment

- Upgrade and extension of water line along Emmet was identified in the Urban Finished Water Master Plan – UVA Dell Pond to Hydraulic Road
- Utilizes other planned projects in area to minimize cost and disturbance
- UVA Contemplative Commons, City of Charlottesville Streetscape Projects (multiple phases), VDOT projects
- Working with City Utilities on streetscape design and VDOT around Hydraulic Road
- Completion: 2024 2026 (Phase 1)
- Budget \$2.9 M



Statio

Existing Pump Station

Existing Ground Storage Tank

Existing Water Main (RWSA)

ned Project & Bett

Option/Opportunity

on/Opportunity er Construction

lanned Project & Be

Project or Alternative to

be Completed by RWSA

Figure 1

Rivanna Water and Sewer Authority

Capital Improvement Program

Emmet Street Water Main Replacement

PROJECT IDENTIFICATION MAP

RIVANNA

7

11A

WRA

10 10A

Proposed Central Waterlin

Stream

UVA Campus

Park\Athletic Fi

MC Building Upfits and Gravity Thickener Improvements

- Renovation of current Operations and Maintenance building
- Original structures are 40 years old and no longer meet current staffing and operational needs
- Includes gravity thickener improvements – chemical feed and sludge line clean-outs
- Finalizing needs assessment and concept plans
- Completion: Aug 2024 Aug 2026
- Budget \$5.15 M

Maintenance and Vehicle Shops







MC Operations Buildings





Beaver Creek Dam, Pump Station & Piping Modifications

- Replace spillway to meet VDCR Dam Safety standards
- Replace the raw water pump station, intake, and pipe to the Crozet WTP
- Completion: 2026 2029
- Budget: \$47.1 M
 - 100% ACSA
 - Requesting Federal Funding (\$17 M)











MC Structural and Concrete Rehabilitation

- Includes various repairs and rehabs throughout plant
- Repairs pumps, holding ponds, EQ basin concrete, primary clarifiers, valve access, digesters, compost shed roof and drainage
- Currently in Preliminary design
- Completion: Sept 2024 2026
- Budget \$11.3 M









Crozet Pump Stations Rehabilitation

- Series of 4 pump stations constructed in 1980's
- Includes replacement of pumps and valves, roofs, motor control centers, generators, automatic transfer switches, and other architectural improvements
- 30% design stage
- Construction: 2025 2026
- Budget \$10.9 M







SFRR to RMR Pipeline, Intake, and Facilities

- 36-in Transfer pipeline from Foxhaven Farm to SFRR (includes Birdwood to Old Garth section); 25mgd Capacity
- Raw water pump station and intake on SFRR
- Clearing vegetation around RMR; modifications to Intake Tower
- Easements have been obtained
- Completion: 2025 2030
- Budget \$84 M ACSA 80%, City Utilities 20%







Summary Information

	202 [5 - 2029 Draft <u>CIP</u>	2	024 - 2028 Adopted <u>CIP</u>		<u>Change \$</u>
Project Cost						
Urban Water Projects	\$ 22	3,391,000	\$	209,590,000	\$	13,801,000
Urban Wastewater Projects	7	6,585,000		58,220,000		18,365,000
Non-Urban Projects & Shared	7	1,024,400		58,315,000	_	12,709,400
Total Project Cost Estimates	\$ 37	1,000,400	\$	326,125,000	<u>\$</u>	44,875,400
Funding in place						
Work-in-Progress (paid for)	\$1	4,362,040	\$	35,570,900		(21,208,860)
Debt Proceeds Available		9,353,800		25,472,300		(16,118,500)
Cash-Capital Available		1,300,000		2,000,000	_	(700,000)
	\$2	5,015,840	\$	63,043,200	\$	(38,027,360)
Financing Needs						
Possible Future Reserves	\$1	2,800,000	\$	10,435,000		2,365,000
Grants	2	4,917,500		20,560,000		4,357,500
New Debt	30	8,267,060		232,086,800	_	76,180,260
	\$ 34	5,984,560	\$	263,081,800	\$	82,902,760
Total Funding	<u>\$ 37</u>	1,000,400	<u>\$</u>	326,125,000	<u>\$</u>	44,875,400
Percentage of funding in place	(5.7%		19.3%		
Ratio of debt to expense	8	9.5%		89.9%		
Ratio of grant to expense	(5.7%		6.3%		
Ratio of cash to expense	3	3.8%		3.8%		

Table 1 -Summary Information

Table 2 – Detail By Major System

					Urban			Water	Ν	/astewater	
Detail by Major Systems	Total		rban Water	V	Vastewater	Shared	I	Non-Urban	Non-Urban		
	<u>CIP</u>		Projects		Projects	Projects		Projects		Projects	
Project Cost											
Urban Water Projects	\$ 223,391,000	\$	223,391,000	\$	-		\$	-	\$	-	
Urban Wastewater Projects	76,585,000		-		76,585,000			-		-	
Non-Urban Projects & Shared	 71,024,400		-		-	 6,389,400		61,900,000		2,735,000	
Total Project Cost Estimates	\$ 371,000,400	\$	223,391,000	\$	76,585,000	\$ 6,389,400	\$	61,900,000	\$	2,735,000	
Funding in place											
Work-in-Progress (paid for)	\$ 14,362,040	\$	9,407,500	\$	2,037,800	\$ 1,229,400	\$	1,621,400	\$	65,940	
Debt Proceeds available	9,353,800		8,116,000		1,237,800	-		-		-	
Cash-Capital Available	 1,300,000		-		1,300,000	 -		-		-	
Subtotal	\$ 25,015,840	\$	17,523,500	\$	4,575,600	\$ 1,229,400	\$	1,621,400	\$	65,940	
Financing Needs											
Possible Future Reserves	\$ 12,800,000		4,000,000		6,000,000	2,000,000		800,000		-	
Grants	24,917,500		4,000,000		-	-		20,917,500			
New Debt	 308,267,060		197,867,500		66,009,400	 3,160,000		38,561,100		2,669,060	
Subtotal	\$ 345,984,560	\$	205,867,500	\$	72,009,400	\$ 5,160,000	\$	60,278,600	\$	2,669,060	
Total Funding	\$ 371,000,400	\$	223,391,000	\$	76,585,000	\$ 6,389,400	\$	61,900,000	<u>\$</u>	2,735,000	
Percentage of funding in place	6.7%		7.8%		6.0%	19.2%		2.6%		2.4%	
Ratio of debt to expense	89.5%		92.2%		87.8%	49.5%		62.3%		97.6%	
Ratio of cash to expense	3.8%		1.8%		9.5%	31.3%		1.3%		0.0%	

Table 3 – CIP Adjustments

	<u>Urban Water</u>	N	<u>Urban</u> /astewater	1	<u>Non-Urban</u>	<u>Shared</u>	<u>Total</u>	Cu	irrent Adopted	
Adopted CIP 2024 - 2028	\$ 209,590,000	\$	58,220,000	\$	57,714,000	\$ 601,000	\$ 326,125,000			
Completed or closed projects	(47,150,000)		<u> </u>			 (654,302)	 (47,804,302)			
Adopted - Adusted	162,440,000		58,220,000		57,714,000	(53,302)	278,320,698			
<u>Changes:</u> Rollover for FY 2029 (roughly)	36,631,000		3,870,000		6,020,000	128,500	46,649,500			
Adjustments on existing projects or new projects	20,420,000		14,495,000		901,000	 6,314,238	 42,130,238			
Total Changes	57,051,000		18,365,000		6,921,000	6,442,738	88,779,738			
								_		
Total Proposed CIP 2025 - 2029	\$ 219,491,000	\$	76,585,000	\$	64,635,000	\$ 6,389,436	\$ 367,100,436	-	326,125,000	
Years 6 - 10 (FY 2030-34)							\$ 104,093,000		218,641,755	
							, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,	
Years 11 - 15 (FY 2035-39)							\$ 107,318,000		165,880,000	
			ΤΟΤΑ	L 1	5 YEAR CIP		\$ 578,511,436	\$	710,646,755	
									(47,804,302)	Co
								\$	662,842,453	Net

Table 4 – City Utilities

		FY 2023	<u>FY 2024</u>	<u>FY 2025</u>	<u>FY 2026</u>	<u>FY 2027</u>	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030	<u>FY 2031</u>	<u>FY 2032</u>	<u>FY 2</u>	033	<u>FY 2034</u>
City of Charlottesville Char	qes													
<u>Urban Water</u>														
Operating Rate	Per 1000 gal.	\$ 2.653	\$ 2.949	\$ 3.363	\$ 3.699	\$ 4.069	\$ 4.476	\$ 4.924	\$ 5.2	19 \$ 5.53	32 \$ 5.864	\$	6.216	\$ 6.589
	% Change	13.1%	11.2%	14.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	6.	0% 6.0	0% 6.0	6	6.0%	6.0%
		o (o , o ,												
Debt Service Charge	Per month	249,497	307,200	376,226	448,561	508,606	576,011	643,652						
		1.3%	23.1%	22.5%	19.2%	13.4%	13.3%	11.7%						
Boyonuo Boguiromonto:				16 200/										
Operating Rate Revenue	Annual	\$ 1 117 300	\$ 1,810,300	\$ 5.508.400	\$ 6 158 240	\$ 6.774.064	\$ 7 451 470	\$ 8 106 617	\$ 9,699.4	1/ \$ 0.200.71	0 \$ 0.762.30	د 10 ع ۱0 ع	48 0/1	\$ 10.068.023
Debt Service Revenues	Annual	2 994 000	3 686 400	¢ 5,590,400	5 382 736	6 103 269	\$ 7,431,470 6,012,128	7 723 818	\$ 0,000,4	14 \$ 9,209,71	9 9 9,702,50	φ 10,3	40,041	\$ 10,800,823
Total	Annual	\$ 7 411 300	\$ 8496700	\$ 10 113 100	\$ 11 540 976	\$ 12 877 333	\$ 14 363 598	\$ 15 920 435	\$ 8 688 4	<u>-</u>	9 \$ 9762.30	\$ 10.3	48 041	\$ 10.968.923
lotar	S Chango	φ 1,411,000	\$ 1,085,400	\$ 1,616,400	\$ 1 427 876	\$ 1 336 357	\$ 1 486 265	\$ 1 556 837	\$ 491.7	$\frac{14}{9}$ $\frac{0}{200,71}$	5 \$ 552.58	<u>, </u>	85 738	\$ 620,882
	% Change		14.6%	19.0%	14.1%	11.6%	11.5%	10.8%	φ 401,1	στ φ σ21,00	ο φ 002,000	, ψ .	,00,100	φ 020,002
	70 Onlange		14.070	10.070	14.170	11.070	11.070	10.070						
Urban Wastewater														
Operating Rate	Per 1000 gal.	2.664	2.922	3.247	3.572	3.929	4.322	4.754	5.0	39 5.3	41 5.66	2	6.002	6.362
	% Change	5.8%	9.7%	11.1%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	6.	0% 6.0	0% 6.0	6	6.0%	6.0%
Debt Service Charge	Per month	384,637	\$ 394,890	415,446	436,712	455,092	473,672	494,962						
		2.3%	2.7%	5.2%	5.1%	4.2%	4.1%	4.5%						
Revenue Requirements:				13.51%										
Operating Rate Revenue	Annual	\$ 4,245,800	\$ 4,557,800	\$ 5,173,500	\$ 5,690,850	\$ 6,259,935	\$ 6,885,929	\$ 7,574,521	\$ 8,028,9	93 \$ 8,510,73	32 \$ 9,021,37	\$\$9,5	62,659	\$ 10,136,418
Debt Service Revenues	Annual	4,615,644	4,738,800	4,985,500	5,240,540	5,461,100	5,684,060	5,939,540	-	-	-		-	-
Total		\$ 8,861,444	<u>\$ 9,296,600</u>	\$ 10,159,000	<u>\$ 10,931,390</u>	<u>\$ 11,721,035</u>	\$ 12,569,989	\$ 13,514,061	\$ 8,028,9	<u>93 \$ 8,510,73</u>	<u>2 \$ 9,021,37</u>	3 \$ 9,5	62,659	\$ 10,136,418
	\$ Change		\$ 435,156	\$ 862,400	\$ 772,390	\$ 789,645	\$ 848,954	\$ 944,073	\$ 454,4	(1 \$ 481,74	0 \$ 510,64	\$ 5	641,283	\$ 573,760
	% Change		4.9%	9.3%	7.6%	7.2%	7.2%	7.5%						
Total all Data Contana														
Iotal all Rate Centers		¢ 0.662.400	¢ 0.260.400	¢ 40 774 000	¢ 44.040.000	¢ 42.022.000	¢ 44.227.200	¢ 45 774 420	¢ 46 747 4		C		40.000	¢ 04.405.044
Debt Service Revenue		\$ 8,003,100 7,600,644	\$ 9,308,100	\$ 10,771,900	\$ 11,849,090 40,622,276	\$ 13,033,999 44,564,260	a 14,337,399	3 10,771,139 42,662,259	\$ 10,717,4)/ \$ 1/,/20,43 50 42,662,26	02 \$ 10,703,07) (\$ 19,8) (12,6	10,099	
Total City All Revenues		\$ 16 272 7/4	\$ 17 793 300	\$ 20 272 100	\$ 22 472 366	\$ 24 598 368	\$ 26.033.587	\$ 29,434,497	\$ 30 380 7	35 \$ 31 383 81	0 \$ 32 447 03	0 13,0 7 ¢ 33,6	74 057	\$ 34 768 600
Total City All Revenues	C (1)	φ10,212,144	\$ 1,735,500	\$ 2478,800	\$ 2,472,500	\$ 24,330,300	\$ 20,335,307	\$ 25,454,457	\$ 046.2	39 ¢ 1,003,01	4 \$ 1062.22	φ 33,3 7 ¢ 44	27 024	\$ 14,700,033
	\$ Change		\$ 1,520,550 0.3%	\$ 2,470,000 13.0%	φ 2,200,200 10.0%	φ 2,120,002 0.5%	φ 2,333,219 0.5%	\$ 2,300,910 0.3%	φ 940,Z	JO \$ 1,003,04	i4 φ 1,003,22	φ Ι,Ι	21,021	φ 1,194,04Z
	% Change		9.3 /0	13.3 /0	10.5 /6	9.0 /0	9.5 /6	5.5 /0	168.4	7.4 (311.56	(3/1 08)	a) 1	62 642	406 622
10-Year CIP Debt Service					101,766	280,429	584,969	1.010.777	1,179.2	51 867.68	18 525 70	5 6	88.347	1.094.969
Total Estimated Charge		\$16,272,744	\$ 17,793,300	\$ 20,272,100	\$ 22,574,132	\$ 24,878,797	\$ 27,518,556	\$ 30,445.274	\$ 31,560.0	6 \$ 32.251.49	8 \$ 32.972.74	\$ 34.2	62,404	\$ 35,863,668
% Change			\$ 0	13.9%	11.4%	10.2%	10.6%	10.6%	3.	7% 2.2	2.2	6	3.9%	4.7%
i cinango													,0	
		Additional An	nual Revenues	\$ 2,478,800	\$ 2,302,032	\$ 2,304,665	\$ 2,639,759	\$ 2,926,718	\$ 1,114.7	42 \$ 691.48	31 \$ 721.24	1 \$ 1.2	289,663	\$ 1,601,264
				13.9%	11.4%	10.2%	10.6%	10.6%	3.1	2.2	% 2.29	6	3.9%	4.7%

Table 5 – ACSA

		<u>FY 2023</u>		<u>FY 2024</u>	<u>FY 2025</u>		FY 2026		<u>FY 2027</u>		<u>FY 2028</u>		FY 2029		<u>FY 2030</u>	<u> </u>	<u>Y 2031</u>		<u>FY 2032</u>		FY 2033		FY 2034
ACSA Charges																							
Urban Water																							
Operating Rate	Per 1000 gal.	2.653		2.949	3.363		3.699		4.069		4.476	5	4.924		5.219		5.532		5.864		6.216		6.589
	% Change	0.0%		11.2%	14.0%		10.0%		10.0%		10.0%	,	10.0%		6.0%		6.0%		6.0%		6.0%		6.0%
Debt Service Charge	Per month	\$ 442,355	\$	542,282	673,264		810,644		935,905		1,068,138		1,201,275										
_		8.1%		22.6%	24.2%		20.4%		15.5%		14.1%	,	12.5%										
Revenue Requirements:					11.82%		10.00%		10.00%		10.00%		10.00%										
Operating Rate Revenue	Annual	\$ 4,597,600	\$	5,211,100	\$ 5,826,900	\$	6,409,590	\$	7,050,549	\$	7,755,604	\$	8,531,164		\$ 9,043,034	\$	9,585,616	\$	10,160,753	\$	10,770,398	\$	11,416,622
Debt Service Revenues	Annual	5,308,200		6,507,400	8,079,200		9,727,722		11,230,860		12,817,652		14,415,304		-		-		-		-		-
Total		\$ 9,905,800	\$	11,718,500	\$ 13,906,100	\$	16,137,312	\$	18,281,409	\$	20,573,256	\$	22,946,468		\$ 9,043,034	\$	9,585,616	\$	10,160,753	\$	10,770,398	\$	11,416,622
	\$ Change		\$	1,812,700	\$ 2,187,600	\$	2,231,212	\$	2,144,097	\$	2,291,847	\$	2,373,212		\$511,870	\$	542,582	\$	575,137	\$	609,645	\$	646,224
	% Change		\$	0	18.7%		16.0%		13.3%		12.5%		11.5%										
Urban Wastewater																							
Operating Rate	Per 1000 gal.	2.664		2.922	3.247		3.572		3.929		4.322	2	4.754		5.039		5.341		5.662		6.002		6.362
	% Change	5.8%		9.7%	11.1%		10.0%		10.0%		10.0%	•	10.0%		6.0%		6.0%		6.0%		6.0%		6.0%
Debt Service Charge	Per month	\$ 355,205	\$	383,403	412,149		458,802		497,712		536,622		575,532										
		5.1%		0.0%	7.5%		11.3%		8.5%		7.8%	•	7.3%										
Revenue Requirements:					9.04%		10.00%		10.00%		10.00%		10.00%										
Operating Rate Revenue	Annual	\$ 4,787,800	\$	5,350,500	\$ 5,834,000	\$	6,417,400	\$	7,059,140	\$	7,765,054	\$	8,541,559		\$ 9,054,053	\$	9,597,296	\$	10,173,134	\$	10,783,522	\$	11,430,533
Debt Service Revenues	Annual	4,262,460		4,600,800	4,945,800		5,505,625	_	5,972,545	_	6,439,465		6,906,385		-		-		-	_	-		-
lotal		\$ 9,050,260	\$	9,951,300	\$ 10,779,800	\$	11,923,025	\$	13,031,685	\$	14,204,519	\$	15,447,944		<u>\$ 9,054,053</u>	\$	9,597,296	\$	10,173,134	\$	10,783,522	\$	11,430,533
	\$ Change		\$	901,040	\$ 828,500	\$	1,143,225	\$	1,108,660	\$	1,1/2,834	\$	1,243,425		\$ 512,494	\$	543,243	\$	575,838	\$	610,388	\$	647,011
	% Change			10.0%	8.3%		10.6%		9.3%		9.0%	•	8.8%										
Nen Urben Bete Centere					10.960/		10.000/		10.00%		10.000/		10.00%										
Operating Rate Centers		¢ 2.565.000	¢	2 707 200	2 101 200		2 411 220		2 752 452		10.00%	•	10.00%		1 912 905		5 101 660		5 407 760		5 722 225		6 076 160
Operating Rate Revenue	Annual	\$ 2,565,900	Φ	2,797,300	3,101,200		3,411,320		3,752,452		4,127,097		4,540,467		4,012,095		5,101,009		5,407,769		5,732,235		0,070,109
Dept Service Revenues	Annual	\$ 4009 500	¢	2,303,000	2,002,100	¢	5,245,900	¢	3,029,700	¢	4,013,500	¢	4,397,300		- • 1010.005	¢	F 101 660	¢	5 407 760	¢	5 722 225	¢	6.076.460
Total		\$ 4,900,000	\$ \$	474 600	\$ 5,903,300	- P	602 020	ф ф	72/ 022	4 6	750.045	- -	706 570		\$ 4,012,095 \$ 272,429	\$ ¢	299 774	\$ \$	306 100	ф ¢	224 466	\$ ¢	242 024
			φ	474,000	\$ J00,200 10.8%	φ	11.6%	φ	10.0%	φ	10.3%		0.8%		\$ 212,420	φ	200,774	φ	500,100	φ	524,400	Φ	343,934
Total all Rate Centers				5.1 /0	10.070		11.070		10.070		10.576	,	5.0 /0										
Operating Rate Revenue		\$ 11 951 300	\$	13 358 900	\$ 14 762 100	¢	16 238 310	¢	17 862 1/1	¢	19 6/8 355	¢	21 613 101		\$ 22 909 982	\$ 2	4 284 581	¢	25 7/1 656	¢	27 286 155	¢	28 923 324
Debt Service Revenues		11 913 260	Ψ	13,694,000	15 887 100	Ψ	18 479 247	Ψ	20 833 105	Ψ	23 270 617	Ψ	25 718 989		25 718 989	2	5 718 989	Ψ	25 718 989	Ψ	25 718 989	Ψ	25 718 989
Total ACSA All Revenues		\$23,864,560	\$	27 052 900	\$ 30 649 200	\$	34 717 557	\$	38 695 246	\$	42 918 972	\$	47 332 180		\$ 48 628 971	\$ 5	0 003 570	\$	51 460 645	\$	53 005 144	\$	54 642 313
	¢ Change	\$20,001,000	¢	3 188 3/0	\$ 3,596,300	¢	4 068 357	¢	3 977 689	¢	4 223 726	¢	4 413 208		\$ 1 206 701	¢	1 374 599	¢	1 457 075	¢	1 5// /99	¢ ¢	1 637 169
	% Change		Ψ	13.4%	13 3%	Ŷ	13 3%	Ŷ	11 5%	Ψ	10.9%	, Ť	10.3%		φ 1,200,701	Ŷ	1,014,000	Ψ	1,401,010	Ŷ	1,044,400	Ŷ	1,001,100
	76 Griange			13.4 /0	10.0 /0		13.3 /0		11.5 /6		10.976	,	10.5 /0										
10-Year CIP Debt Service							347,458		929,234		1.649.343		2,490,682	ł ⊢	2.857.773		2,660,491		2,345,940		2,562,393		3.003.685
Total Estimated Charge	-	\$23.864.560	\$	27.052.900	\$ 30.649.200	\$	35.065.015	\$	39.624.480	\$	44.568.315	\$	49.822.862		\$ 51,486,744	\$ 5	2.664.061	\$	53.806.585	\$	55.567.537	\$	57.645.999
% Change			\$	0	13.3%		14.4%		13.0%		12.5%		11.8%		3.3%		2.3%		2.2%		3.3%		3.7%
																			/0				
		Additional A	nnua	l Revenues	\$ 3,596,300	\$	4,415,815	\$	4,559,465	\$	4,943,835	\$	5,254,547		\$ 1,663,882	\$	1,177.317	\$	1,142,524	\$	1,760,952	\$	2,078.461
					13.3%		14.4%		13.0%		12.5%		11.8%		3.3%		2.3%		2.2%		3.3%		3.7%

Table 6 – RWSA

	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025	FY 2026	FY 2027	FY 2028	FY 2029	FY 2030	FY 2031	FY 2032	FY 2033	FY 2034
RWSA												
Operations Revenues												
Urban Water	\$ 9,014,900	\$ 10,021,400	\$ 11,425,300	\$ 12,567,830	\$ 13,824,613	\$ 15,207,074	\$ 16,727,782	\$ 17,731,4	49 \$ 18,795,336	\$ 19,923,056	\$ 21,118,439	\$ 22,385,545
Urban Wastewater	9,033,600	9,908,300	11,007,500	12,108,250	13,319,075	14,650,983	16,116,081	17,083,0	46 18,108,028	19,194,510	20,346,181	21,566,951
Other Rate Centers	2,565,900	2,797,300	3,101,200	3,411,320	3,752,452	4,127,697	4,540,467	4,812,8	95 5,101,669	5,407,769	5,732,235	6,076,169
Total	\$20,614,400	\$ 22,727,000	\$ 25,534,000	\$ 28,087,400	\$ 30,896,140	\$ 33,985,754	\$ 37,384,329	\$ 39,627,3	89 \$ 42,005,033	\$ 44,525,334	\$ 47,196,855	\$ 50,028,666
Change \$		2,112,600	2,807,000	2,553,400	2,808,740	3,089,614	3,398,575	2,243,0	60 2,377,643	2,520,302	2,671,520	2,831,811
Change %		10.2%	12.4%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	10.0%	6	0% 6.0%	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%
Debt Service Charge Revenues												
Urban Water	8,302,200	10,193,800	12,593,900	15,110,458	17,334,129	19,729,780	22,139,122					
Urban Wastewater	8,878,104	9,339,600	9,931,300	10,746,165	11,433,645	12,123,525	12,845,925					
Other Rate Centers	2,342,600	2,585,800	2,862,100	3,245,900	3,629,700	4,013,500	4,397,300					
	\$19,522,904	\$ 22,119,200	\$ 25,387,300	\$ 29,102,523	\$ 32,397,474	\$ 35,866,805	\$ 39,382,347	\$ 39,382,3	47 \$ 39,382,347	\$ 39,382,347	\$ 39,382,347	\$ 39,382,347
Change \$		2,596,296	3,268,100	3,715,223	3,294,951	3,469,331	3,515,542					
Change %		13.3%	14.8%	14.6%	11.3%	10.7%	9.8%					
Total RWSA Customer Revenues	\$40,137,304	\$ 44,846,200	\$ 50,921,300	\$ 57,189,923	\$ 63,293,614	\$ 69,852,559	\$ 76,766,676	\$ 79,009,7	36 \$ 81,387,380	\$ 83,907,681	\$ 86,579,202	\$ 89,411,013
Change \$		\$ 4,708,896	\$ 6,075,100	\$ 6,268,623	\$ 6,103,691	\$ 6,558,945	\$ 6,914,117	\$ 2,243,0	60 \$ 2,377,643	\$ 2,520,302	\$ 2,671,520	\$ 2,831,811
Change %		11.7%	13.5%	12.3%	10.7%	10.4%	9.9%	2	9% 3.0%	3.1%	3.2%	3.3%
Additional for 10-Year CIP				449,224	1,209,663	2,234,312	3,501,459	4,037,0	24 3,528,179	2,871,645	3,250,740	4,098,654
Total Estimated Charge	\$40,137,304	\$ 44,846,200	\$ 50,921,300	\$ 57,639,147	\$ 64,503,277	\$ 72,086,871	\$ 80,268,135	\$ 83,046,7	60 \$ 84,915,558	\$ 86,779,327	\$ 89,829,942	\$ 93,509,666
% Change		0.0%	13.5%	13.2%	11.9%	11.8%	11.3%	3	5% 2.3%	2.2%	3.5%	4.1%
			\$ 50,921,300	\$ 57,639,147	\$ 64,503,277	\$ 72,086,871	\$ 80,268,135	\$ 83,046,7	60 \$ 84,915,558	\$ 86,779,327	\$ 89,829,942	\$ 93,509,666
	Additional Ar	nnual Revenues	\$ 6,075,100	\$ 6,717,847	\$ 6,864,130	\$ 7,583,594	\$ 8,181,264	\$ 2,778,6	25 \$ 1,868,798	\$ 1,863,769	\$ 3,050,615	\$ 3,679,725
			13.5%	13.2%	11.9%	11.8%	11.3%	3.	5% 2.3%	2.2%	3.5%	4.1%

Total Revenue Bonds

FY 2016-2029



Outstanding Revenue Bonds

Summary FY 25-29 Capital Improvement Plan

64 Projects, \$371.0 M

Urban Water	Urban Wastewater	Non-Urban Projects & Shared	Total
\$223.4 M	\$76.6 M	\$71 M	\$371 M

	FY 25	FY 26	FY 27	FY 28	FY 29
City Utilities	13.9	11.4	10.2	10.6	10.6
ACSA	13.3	14.4	13.0	12.5	11.8
RWSA Overall	13.5	13.2	11.9	11.8	11.3



The Proposed CIP addresses the mission and goals of the RWSA and will:

- 1. Maintain our drinking water and wastewater infrastructure to provide reliable services which comply with or exceed regulatory requirements.
- 2. In preparation for the uncertainties of climate change, enhance the capacity, reliability and resilience of our community's drinking water supply by completing the Rivanna to Ragged Pipeline and Pumping project as soon as possible (by 2030 rather than 2033); completing the Ragged Mountain to Observatory Pipeline and Pumping Project, and raising the Ragged Mtn. Reservoir normal pool and begin filling by 2026 (as rainfall patterns allow).
- 3. Install critical drinking water infrastructure to reliably meet the needs of the entire service area now and in the future by constructing the Airport Road Pumping Station, the second South and North Fork Rivanna River crossings, the Central Waterline, and decommissioning of the North Fork WTP.
- 4. Continue to enhance our abilities to address emerging contaminants at both the water and wastewater facilities. Provide additional GAC filtering capacity at Red Hill and Crozet WTPs using grant funding from Albemarle County (\$400k) and VDH (\$3.4 M).
- 5. Leverage partnerships with City Utilities, UVA, and VDOT to reduce costs and disruption of piping projects in key roadways such as the Emmet Street and High Street corridors .
- 6. Complete the CIP in an environmentally protective and financially responsible manner.

Questions?